#### STATE OF WASHINGTON

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TACOMA HOUSING	AUTHORITY, )	
	Employer. )	
SAUL PADILLA,	)	CASE 14252-U-97-3534
	Complainant, )	DECISION 6817 - PECB
vs.	)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
PIERCE COUNTY, BUILDING AND CO TRADES COUNCIL,	ONSTRUCTION )	
	Respondent. )	
SAUL PADILLA,	)	
	Complainant, )	CASE 14087-U-98-3487
VS.	)	DECISION 6816 - PECB
	)	
TACOMA HOUSING	AUTHORITY, )	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
	Respondent. )	

On August 17, 1998, Saul Padilla filed a complaint charging unfair labor practices with the Public Employment Relations under Chapter 391-45 WAC. Allegations that the Tacoma Housing Authority (employer) discriminated against Padilla by requiring his presence at a "mandatory EAP referral" meeting without permitting him to have union representation were docketed as Case 14087-U-98-3487. Allegations that the Pierce County, Washington, Building and Construction Trades Council (union) has breached its duty of fair

representation, by agreeing with the employer that union representation was unnecessary, were docketed as Case 14252-U-98-3534. The cases were combined for processing.

A Deficiency Notice was issued on August 3, 1999, under WAC 391-45-110, pointing out several problems with the complaints, as filed. The complainant was given a period of 14 days to file and serve an amended complaint that stated a cause for action or face dismissal of the complaint.

Nothing further has been heard from the complainant. The complaints are thus dismissed.

#### **DISCUSSION**

WAC 391-45-050 requires the filing of a complaint containing sufficient details to put the agency and other parties on notice of the alleged unlawful conduct. The Commission maintains an impartial posture in the processing of unfair labor practice cases, and its staff does not "investigate" complaints in a manner that would be familiar to those who practice before the National Labor Relations Board. The complaint did not contain the required details about the disputed meeting, and did not name the participants at the meeting.

At this stage of the proceedings, all of the facts alleged in a complaint are assumed to be true and provable. The question at hand is whether, as a matter of law, the complaint states a claim for relief available through unfair labor practice proceedings before the Public Employment Relations Commission.

Although a right to union representation at investigatory interviews is recognized under <u>National Labor Relations Board v.</u>
<u>Weingarten, Inc.</u>, 420 U.S. 251 (1975) and Commission precedents adopting the principles set forth in that federal court ruling, the right to union representation only applies where the employee makes a request for union representation, and it does not apply to all meetings between employers and employees. The complaints in these cases fall short of suggesting that Padilla had a reasonable expectation that discipline could result from questions to be asked of him at the meeting held in June of 1998.

A request for union representation for a meeting held in July of 1998 appeared to be premature, because the employer had not informed Padilla of the nature of the meeting.

The propriety of the "mandatory EAP referral" appeared to fall into the category of a violation of contract claim under the collective bargaining agreement. The Commission does not assert jurisdiction to remedy violations of collective bargaining agreements through the unfair labor practice provisions of the statute. City of Walla Walla, Decision 104 (PECB, 1976). Such claims must be pursued through the grievance and arbitration machinery of the contract, or through the courts.

The propriety of the union's refusal to file a grievance appeared to fall into the category of "breach of duty of fair representation" claims over which the Commission does not assert jurisdiction. See, <u>Mukilteo School District (Public School Employees of Washington)</u>, Decision 1381 (PECB, 1982). Such claims must be pursued in a court which can assert jurisdiction to determine and remedy (if appropriate) any underlying contract violation.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

#### ORDERED

- 1. Case 14252-U-97-3534; DECISION 6817 PECB: The complaint filed in this matter is <u>DISMISSED</u>.
- 2. Case 14087-U-98-3487; DECISION 6816 PECB: The complaint filed in this matter is <u>DISMISSED</u>.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, this <u>3rd</u> day of September, 1999.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

This order will be the final order of the agency unless a notice of appeal is filed with the Commission under WAC 391-45-350.

## PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

603 EVERGREEN PLAZA BUILDING P. O. BOX 40919 OLYMPIA, WA 98504-0919

MARILYN GLENN SAYAN, CHAIRPERSON
SAM KINVILLE, COMMISSIONER
JOSEPH W. DUFFY, COMMISSIONER
MARVIN L. SCHURKE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

## RECORD OF SERVICE

THE ATTACHED DOCUMENT, IDENTIFIED AS: DECISION 6816 - PECB HAS BEEN SERVED BY THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION BY DEPOSIT IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL, ON THE DATE ISSUED INDICATED BELOW, POSTAGE PREPAID, ADDRESSED TO THE PARTIES AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVES LISTED IN THE DOCKET RECORDS OF THE COMMISSION AS INDICATED BELOW:

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

BY: /S/ BETTY PASSMORE

CASE NUMBER: 14087-U-98-03487

FILED: 08/17/98

ISSUED: 09/03/99

FILED BY: PARTY 2

DISPUTE: ER INTERFERENCE

DETAILS: Mandatory attendence at EAP

meeting.

COMMENTS:

Attn:

Employer:

TACOMA HOUSING AUTHORITY

LONELL PHILLIP

901 SOUTH L STREET

TACOMA, WA 98405

253-207-4400

Rep by:

NANCY KLICH

TACOMA HOUSING AUTHORITY 901 SOUTH L STREET STE 2A

TACOMA, WA 98405 (253) 274-5582

Party # 2 Attn: SAUL PADILLA

3981 EVERETT AVE E

TACOMA, WA 98404

253-472-2838

Rep by:

WARREN E MARTIN

GORDON, THOMAS, ET AL 1201 PACIFIC AVE, STE 2200

PO BOX 1157

TACOMA, WA 98401-1157

(253) 620-6479

## PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

603 EVERGREEN PLAZA BUILDING P. O. BOX 40919 OLYMPIA, WA 98504-0919

MARILYN GLENN SAYAN, CHAIRPERSON
SAM KINVILLE, COMMISSIONER
JOSEPH W. DUFFY, COMMISSIONER
MARVIN L. SCHURKE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

# RECORD OF SERVICE

THE ATTACHED DOCUMENT, IDENTIFIED AS: DECISION 6817 - PECB HAS BEEN SERVED BY THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION BY DEPOSIT IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL, ON THE DATE ISSUED INDICATED BELOW, POSTAGE PREPAID, ADDRESSED TO THE PARTIES AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVES LISTED IN THE DOCKET RECORDS OF THE COMMISSION AS INDICATED BELOW:

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

BY: /S/ BETTY PASSMORE

CASE NUMBER: 14252-U-98-03534

FILED: 11/18/98

ISSUED: 09/03/99

FILED BY: PARTY 2

DISPUTE: UN INTERFERENCE

DETAILS: RE: 14087-U

COMMENTS:

Employer:

Attn:

TACOMA HOUSING AUTHORITY

LONELL PHILLIP

901 SOUTH L STREET

TACOMA, WA 98405

253-207-4400

Rep by:

NANCY KLICH

TACOMA HOUSING AUTHORITY 901 SOUTH L STREET STE 2A

TACOMA, WA 98405 (253) 274-5582

Party # 2

SAUL PADILLA

Attn:

3981 EVERETT AVE E

**TACOMA, WA 98404** 

253-472-2838

Rep by:

Party # 3

PIERCE CO BLDG & TRADES CNCL

Attn: JOHN H MEIER

3049 S 36TH STREET, RM 206

TACOMA, WA 98409

253-475-7441

Rep by:

WARREN E MARTIN

GORDON, THOMAS, ET AL 1201 PACIFIC AVE, STE 2200

PO BOX 1157

TACOMA, WA 98401-1157

(253) 620-6479