MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK — NEW YORK COUNTY PRESENT: Hon. MICHAEL D. STALLMAN PART 21

Justice

ndex Number : 400464/2007	INDEX NO.	400464/07
ITY OF NEW YORK	MOTION DATE	3/29/10
S.	MOTION SEQ. NO.	004
OARD OF CERTIFICATION	MOTION CAL. NO.	16
EQUENCE NUMBER : 004		
THER RELIEFS		
The following papers, numbered 1 to3_ were re	ead on this Article 78 petition	า
	<u> </u>	APERS NUMBERED
Re-Notice of Amended Petition— Amended Verified Petition — Exhibits 1-6; A	1	1-2
Verified Answer		3
Replying Affidavits — Exhibits		
Cross-Motion: Yes X No		
ORDERED that the parties shall file with the Clerk before the Office of Collective Bargaining Board of Clerk land II (Title Code No. 06752) are eligible for collection bargaining Board of Certification, which found that the empland II (Title Code No. 06752) are eligible for collection bargaining Board of Certification ordered a hearing "to consist the New York City Office of Labor Relations Bargaining Board of Certification ordered a hearing "to conserving in the NPA title are managerial and/or confidential bargaining." The Board decision cites to pages of the Upon review of the parties' legal arguments, the Consultantial evidence supports the Board's decision, which whether the Board applied the correct legal standard in decollective bargaining. Accordingly, the petition must be transcript with the Appendix Petitioner to file the hearing transcript with the Appendix Period Consultantial Petitioner to file the hearing transcript with the Appendix Period Consultantial Petitioner to file the hearing transcript with the Appendix Period Consultantial Period Consultant	cof the Appellate Division a transcertification. Its to overturn the decision of the ployees in the title NYCAPS Programming, except for the NYCAPS. Paragraph 44 states that the letermine whether employees employees and, therefore, exclude hearing transcript. Petitic purt sees the petition presents a his intertwined with, among of termining whether the employensferred to the Appellative Divinscript was filed with this petitions.	e Office of Collections Analyst, Level APS Process Analyst Office of Collection who were presented from collection, Ex 2, at 4. question of whether things, issues ees' were eligible fision. CPLR 7804 (gon, the Court direction)
Copies/to counsel.		
Dated: New York, New York		
Check one: X FINAL DISPOSITION	☐ NON-FINAL DI	
Check if appropriate: DO NOT PO	,	ERENCE