## **DETERMINATION OF EXECUTIVE SECRETARY**

On March 24, 2000, Olen S. Jones ("Petitioner") filed a verified improper practice petition complaining that the New York City Police Department ("Department") violated several sections of the Civil Service Law concerning his civil service status. He also complains that no test was given for the title Traffic Enforcement Agent, even after completion of nine months of service.

Pursuant to Title 61, Section 1-07(d) of the Rules of the City of New York, a copy of which is annexed hereto, the undersigned has reviewed the petition and has determined that it does not allege facts sufficient as a matter of law to constitute an improper practice. The Petitioner herein has failed to state any facts to demonstrate that the Department may have committed acts which constitute an improper practice within the meaning of Section 12-306a of the New York City Collective Bargaining Law ("NYCCBL").<sup>1</sup> With respect to the Petitioner's

Improper public employer practices.

It shall be an improper practice for a public employer or its agents:

(1) to interfere with, restrain or coerce public employees in the exercise of their rights granted in Section 12-305 of this chapter;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NYCCBL §12-306a provides, in relevant part, as follows:

Decision No. B-8-2000(ES)

Docket No. BCB-2126-00

claims that the Department has violated the Civil Service Law, violations of laws external to the

2

NYCCBL are matters beyond the jurisdiction of the Board of Collective Bargaining and cannot

be the basis for an improper practice.<sup>2</sup>

It should be noted that the NYCCBL does not provide a remedy for every perceived

wrong or inequity. Its provisions and procedures are designed to safeguard the rights of public

employees set forth therein, i.e., the right to bargain collectively through certified public

employee organizations; the right to organize, form, join and assist public employee

organizations; and the right to refrain from such activities. Since the Petitioner does not allege

that he has been deprived of any of the rights protected by the NYCCBL, his petition must be

dismissed. This dismissal, of course, is without prejudice to any rights that the Petitioner may

have in another forum.

DATED: New York, New York

May 18, 2000

VICTORIA A. DONOGHUE

Executive Secretary

Board of Collective Bargaining

<sup>1</sup>(...continued)

(3) to discriminate against any employee for the purpose of encouraging or discouraging membership in, or participation in the activities of, any public

employee organization. . . .

Richard McAllen, et al. v. Emergency Medical Services; Decision No. B-14-83.