McGill v. Dep't of Environ., Employee Retire. System, 47 OCB 58 (BCB 1991) [Decision No. B-58-91 (ES)]

OFFICE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING BOARD OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING

----- X

In the Matter of the Improper Practice Proceeding

-between-

DECISION NO. B-58-91 (ES) DOCKET NO. BCB-1425-91

LLOYD H. McGILL,
Petitioner,

-and-

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT
OF ENVIRONMENT and NEW YORK CITY
EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM,
Respondents.

DETERMINATION OF EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

On October 1, 1991, Lloyd H. McGill ("Petitioner") filed a verified improper practice petition against the New York City Department of Environment and the New York City Employee Retirement System ("Respondents"), in which he claims that he has been disabled since March of 1985 and he is entitled to a disability pension.

Specifically, Petitioner alleges that:

Having worked for the City of N.Y. since June 1970 until 3:45 AM 18 March 1985 when I slip and fell from the truck I was operating, I have been disabled since the City has removed my name from payroll without disability. I'm entitled to disability pension.

Petitioner requests that the Board order the Respondents to "pay the hospital bill and pay pension disability."

In documents attached to the improper practice petition, Petitioner details the accident of March, 1985 and asserts that he "[has] seen the Doctor regularly since then." In addition, he notes that he "was treated for vascular blockage at the veins for four (4) days [in] July 1991." Petitioner asserts that he was

taken off the City payroll in March, 1985 and has been collecting "\$135.00 weekly workmen Compensation and Social Security, Disability to pay Doctors, Hospital and drugs prescriptions." Petitioner claims that the hospital bills from his recent visit are not being paid "[b]ecause [his] insurance refused to pay since these bills are job related." Petitioner also attaches documents indicating that his insurance claims were not paid.

Pursuant to Section 7.4 of the Revised Consolidated Rules of the Office of Collective Bargaining ("OCB Rules"), a copy of which is annexed hereto, the undersigned has reviewed the petition and has determined that the improper practice claim asserted therein must be dismissed because it does not allege facts sufficient as a matter of law to constitute an improper practice within the meaning of the New York City Collective Bargaining Law ("NYCCBL"). The NYCCBL does not provide a remedy for every perceived wrong or inequity. Its provisions and procedures are designed to safeguard the rights of public employees set forth therein <u>i.e.</u>, the right to bargain collectively through certified public employee organizations; the right to organize, form, join, and assist public employee organizations; and the right to refrain from such activities.

Petitioner has failed to allege that Respondents have committed any acts in violation of \$12-306a of the NYCCBL, which

Section 12-306a of the NYCCBL provides as follows:

defines improper employer practices. Since the instant petition does not allege that Respondents' actions were intended to, or did, affect any rights protected under the NYCCBL, it must be dismissed. I note, however, that dismissal of the petition is without prejudice to any rights the Petitioner may have in another forum.

Dated: New York, New York December 18, 1991

> Loran Krause Luzmore Executive Secretary Board of Collective Bargaining

¹(...continued)

improper practice for a public employer or its agents:

⁽¹⁾ to interfere with, restrain or coerce public employees in the exercise of their rights granted in section 12-305 of this chapter;

⁽²⁾ to dominate or interfere with the formation or administration of any public employee organization;

⁽³⁾ to discriminate against any employee for the purpose of encouraging or discouraging membership in, or participation in the activities of, any public employee organization;

⁽⁴⁾ to refuse to bargain collectively in good faith on matters within the scope of collective bargaining with certified or designated representatives of its public employees.

REVISED CONSOLIDATED RULES OF THE OFFICE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING

- § 7.4 Improper Practices. A petition alleging that a public employer or its agents or a public employee organization or its agents has engaged in or is engaging in an improper practice in violation of Section 1173-4.2 [12-306] of the statute may be filed with the Board within four (4) months thereof by one (1) or more public employees or any public employee organization acting in their behalf or by a public employer together with a request to the Board for a final determination of the matter and for an appropriate remedial order. Within ten (10) days after a petition alleging improper practice is filed, the Executive Secretary shall review the allegations thereof to determine whether the facts as alleged may constitute an improper practice as set forth in section 1173-4.2 [12-306) of the statute. If it is determined that the petition, on its face, does not contain facts sufficient as a matter of law constitute a violation, or that the alleged violation occurred more than four (4) months prior to the filing of the charge, it shall be dismissed by the Executive Secretary and copies of such determination shall be served upon the parties by certified mail. If, upon such review, the Executive Secretary shall determine that the petition is not, on its face, untimely or insufficient, notice of the determination shall be served on the parties by certified mail, provided, however, that such determination shall not constitute a bar to the assertion by respondent of defenses or challenges to the petition based upon allegations of untimeliness or insufficiency and supported by probative evidence available to the respondent. Within ten (10) days after receipt of a decision of the Executive Secretary dismissing an improper practice petition as provided in this subdivision, the petitioner may file with the Board of Collective Bargaining an original and three (3) copies of a statement-in writing setting forth an appeal from the decision together with proof of service thereof upon all other parties. The statement shall set forth the reasons for the appeal.
- § 7.8 Answer Service and Filing. Within ten (10) days after service of the petition, or, where the petition contains allegations of improper practice, within ten (10) days of the receipt of notice of finding by the Executive Secretary, pursuant to Rule 7.4, that the petition is not, on its face, untimely or insufficient, respondent shall serve and file its answer upon the petitioner and any other party respondent, and shall file the original and three (3) copies thereof, with proof of service, with the Board. Where special circumstances exist that warrant an expedited determination, it shall be within the discretionary authority of the Director to order respondent to serve and file its answer within less than ten (10) days.

OTHER SECTIONS OF THE LAW AND RULES MAY BE APPLICABLE.

CONSULT THE COMPLETE TEXT