IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

CHARLIE BELL KOE

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Judge R.M. Bourassa, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 19th day of March, A.D. 2002.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. L. Colton:

Counsel for the Crown

Ms. K. Payne:

(

Counsel for the Accused

(Charges under s. 733.1(1) x2, 348(1)(a) x2, 348(1)(b) x11, and 344 of the Criminal Code of Canada)

2009 NUSTIC ANS

T-1-CR-2002000144 and T-1-CR-2002000147

IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

CHARLIE BELL KOE



Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Judge R.M. Bourassa, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 19th day of March, A.D. 2002.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. L. Colton:

Counsel for the Crown

Ms. K. Payne:

Counsel for the Accused

(Charges under s. 733.1(1) x2, 348(1)(a) x2, 348(1)(b) x11, and 344 of the Criminal Code of Canada)

THE COURT:

Well, Charlie Bell Koe seems to

have an insatiable appetite for other people's property and still has not learned anything about the consequences of his behaviour. From the pre-sentence report, it appears that he really doesn't care. He says that break and enters is "what he does", it's all he knows how to do. And efforts in the past to stop him have had no impact.

He has a Grade 5 education, and presents through the two pre-sentence reports that have been filed someone who is essentially indifferent to the effect or impact he has on other people.

Almost single-handedly, he's responsible for ten break and enters, thousands of dollars of loss and damage, two safe attacks, the upset and discomfort of the many people involved. He had an eclectic interest in break and enters everywhere from a law office to the Metis office to the diocesan centre and the Jan Stirling Building.

I take into account he's pleaded guilty, but it doesn't seem to reflect any remorse. At least that is not what appears -- that is not what comes to mind when I read the pre-sentence report.

In aggravation, I take into account that many of these break and enters were effected with premeditation, the accumulation of tools and the use of tools. I take in great aggravation the fact that

young offenders were involved. A 12-year-old boy was involved in a number of these break and enters. Further in aggravation is the fact there were two safe attacks, which normally result in lengthy periods of imprisonment, thousands of dollars stolen, thousands of dollars of property destroyed wantonly (destroying a monitor because he can't find money, stealing a \$3,000 lap top and then just destroying it). He seems, as I've indicated, to have little understanding or remorse on all of this. He may have been under an early release regime, but certainly was on probation at the time he committed these offences, and the probation had absolutely no impact on him. First of all, he didn't even bother to report, and, secondly, the fact that being on probation didn't do anything in terms of making him think twice about committing break and enters. This is aggravating.

The consequences of the past of break and enters and theft have had absolutely no effect on his conduct. In 1984 he was convicted of break and enter and placed on probation for six months; 1998, convicted of theft under \$5,000 and given six months open custody; 1998 again, mischief and theft under, a short term of secure custody; 2000, break and enter, failure to comply with a disposition. He was given a break, a suspended sentence and probation. First offence, I take it, as an adult. Then in April 2001,

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

break and enter, theft over, breach of probation.

In my view, any one of these break and enters, particularly the break and enter involving the safe attacks, would merit a term of imprisonment approaching federal penitentiary time. In my view, a federal penitentiary sentence, under all of the circumstances before me, is arguably justifiable. Counsel says it is unfortunate if he has to be warehoused. However, I want to underline and point out that is up to Mr. Koe. He doesn't have to be here. It is his conduct that brings him here. didn't want to be warehoused, then all he has to do is change his conduct. Unfortunately, some people, perhaps Mr. Koe is one of them, seem bound and determined to serve a life sentence on the installment plan. They're in and out of jail on a regular and continuing basis. Maybe that is the future for Mr. Koe; I don't know. But if that is his future, it is as a result of choices he makes. And indeed it's unfortunate, but sometimes the only way of protecting the public is to warehouse people, and clearly the public needs to be protected from this man. litany of damage and theft and vandalism that the Crown just went through is astounding.

He says in the pre-sentence report that that's all he knows how to do, that's what he does. He's not even any good at it. Well, I don't see that he should

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

take any comfort in that.

I have to take totality into account and I do.

Stand up, Mr. Koe. Anything you want to say?

THE ACCUSED: Yeah. The probation officer

doesn't know what he's doing. I do feel remorse and I

am sorry. That's what I've been taught to do since I

was a kid.

THE COURT: Time to learn a new trade,

Mr. Koe, because you're no good at this one.

On the charge of the break and enter at the Jan Stirling Building, there will be a term of imprisonment of two years. On the charge of break and enter the diocesan centre, two years. On the charge of break and enter Boyd Denroche, two years. On the other break and enters, eighteen months. On each of the charges of breach of probation, four months. I really am stretched not to impose penitentiary time, and, in my view, a sentence in the neighbourhood of three years would be justifiable. I take into account the Crown position and the guilty plea. I'm going to make all of the sentences concurrent to two years less a day.

The accused will be placed on probation for eighteen months following his release. He is to report once a week to the probation officer for the first six months of his release and thereafter as often as required.

100				
	1		I want to make	it very clear to Mr. Koe that if
	2		he is involved in fu	rther break and enters such as
	3		this, I expect the C	rown won't be asking but will be
	4		demanding federal pe	nitentiary time.
	5		Mr. Koe, whatev	er you think of jail, believe me,
	6		federal penitentiary	is no fun.
	7		That's all.	
	8	MS.	COLTON:	Sir, I don't think you addressed
	9		the theft under.	
	10	THE	COURT:	I'm sorry. Yes. That's four
	11		months concurrent.	
	12	MS.	COLTON:	Concurrent. To be fair, it's
	13		globally two years less a day?	
	14	THE	COURT:	Yes.
	15	MS.	COLTON:	Thank you.
	16			
	17			
	18		1 a	Certified to be a true and
	19			accurate transcript, pursuant to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules of Court
	20			Court Rules of Court
	21			Jane Romanowich,
	22			Court Reporter
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
-				