T-3-CR-2002001328

IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

ALBERT JOHNNY KOE



Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Judge B.A. Bruser, sitting in Fort McPherson, in the Northwest Territories, on the 8th day of January, A.D. 2003.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. B. Lepage:

Counsel for the Crown

Mr. T. Boyd:

Counsel for the Defendant

(Charges under s. 267(b), 264.1(1)(a), 145(5.1) x2, and 92 of the Criminal Code of Canada)

```
Mr. Koe, do you have anything
       THE COURT:
1
            more to say?
2
                                 That's all I have to say for now.
        THE ACCUSED:
3
                                 That's quite a lot. It shows you
        THE COURT:
4
            do have some deep insight into your problems except
            you don't know why you beat her up. You say you wish
            this was a dream. I think what you really meant to
            say was that you wish it was a nightmare that would go
8
            away. Dreams are nice things usually. Nightmares are
9
            the bad part of a dream.
10
                 The sad fact is, and I think you can appreciate
11
            why I'm saying this, you are a danger to her. You are
12
            a danger to other people. You are at a very high risk
13
            of re-offending again unless you get help quickly.
14
                 The record goes back to 1972. That's 30 years
15
16
            ago.
                                 Closer to 1980.
        THE ACCUSED:
17
                                  Pardon me?
        THE COURT:
18
                                  '82.
        THE ACCUSED:
19
                                  No, 1972, and then there's a jump
        THE COURT:
20
            to 1982. 1972 was a theft under, and then 1982, a
21
            possession of a weapon.
22
        MR. BOYD:
                                  Sir, I believe that entry is an
23
            error because he would have only been seven.
24
                                  Cross that off. Good.
                                                           The
        THE COURT:
25
            record is corrected. The thing I'm saying, though,
26
            remains in tact. The record goes back 20 years, two
27
```

could have seen a sentence of certainly two to three years.

There will be a DNA order. There will be a firearm prohibition order - it will begin today and end ten years after your release from imprisonment.

One advantage of keeping the sentence at 15 months is that when I add on the one month, as I do for the first failure to obey the undertaking, and two months, which I feel to be more appropriate than what the Crown sought for the second breach, I arrive at a total of 18 months' imprisonment. Because the sentence is not over two years, I can impose probation, and this may give you the help that you need, it may help to save a life in the future, it may help to make your lives more meaningful, and may help to protect the public ultimately. I could not make a probation order if the sentence overall were more than two years.

There will be, on the aggravated assault, a three-year probation order, to begin after release from imprisonment. Apart from the statutory terms, you are to report to a probation officer within three days of your release, and thereafter, when and as directed by that person.

I see that you have not had a meaningful period of probation since 1993, that's about ten years ago, and that's another reason for making a probation

order. To do that, a sentence has to be, as I said
before, less than two years in total. Or two years or
less. The difference is without importance.

I continue.

You are to actively participate in whatever
counselling the probation officer may direct from time

You are to actively participate in whatever counselling the probation officer may direct from time to time. You are not to be in the presence of Norma Norman while you are to any degree under the influence of alcohol. If she wants to drink, you better go somewhere else because the temptation will be too great for you.

THE COURT CLERK: I'm sorry, sir. Would you say that again?

I continue. You will obey a No. THE COURT: breathalyzer demand made to you by a peace officer who has reasonable grounds to believe that you have violated that condition. I'm not going to prohibit you from drinking. To do that would be to set you up for a fall. You will drink. It's going to happen. You're too sick not to. But when you take that first drink, you better plan to be away from her. You are, as part of the counselling, to participate not only in alcohol counselling but, also, you are to be assessed by a psychologist as soon as the probation officer can get you in to see one. You are to continue seeing a psychologist as long as the probation officer directs you to do so.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

I think this is a better way to deal with it than
simply to give you 30 months' imprisonment, which is
really what I did have in mind before, because then
there couldn't be probation.

I don't know what will happen with the other matters. If you are convicted and sentenced, the sentence will probably be consecutive. You may end up in the penitentiary. But I'm not going to try to second-guess what may happen.

Does the Crown have anything further?

11 MR. LEPAGE: No, Your Honour. Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Does the defence?

MR. BOYD: No, sir.

14 THE COURT CLERK: Are we doing a Form 8 for the

remainder?

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

16 THE COURT: Thank you for raising that. In

fact, I had that on my paper to speak to that.

I know I suggested that there be a Form 8 before, but he's not going to be out before the April 30th date in any event; and if I do make a Form 8 on the other matters, it may be that someone at the correctional centre may decide to keep him in remand despite the sentence. I don't know what they'll do, so maybe it's better not to have a Form 8 and simple leave it this way: The undertaking that Justice of the Peace Gowans put him on can remain, and the Crown is to prepare a Removal Order for April 30th, 10 a.m.

	1	Anything more fr	com the defence?
	2 MR.	BOYD:	No, Your Honour.
	3 THE	COURT:	Madam Clerk, I'll go over that
	4	term that you missed	later on.
	5 THE	COURT CLERK:	Thank you, sir.
	6 THE	COURT:	I should add to the reasons,
	7	because the Court of	Appeal, if the matter should go
	8	that far, or if some	one else is reviewing this later
	9	on after one of the	two of them is dead, the record
1	. 0	should reflect that	the accused is truly remorseful.
1	.1	There's no doubt. I	have seen that here today. The
1	2	problem is he can't	control himself. That's it,
1	13	Mr. Koe.	
]	L 4	The Warrant of	Committal will have a term on it
	15	that he be permitted	to be assessed by a psychologist
	16	forthwith.	
1	17 (co	NCLUSION OF REASONS F	OR SENTENCE)
	18		
-	19		
2	20		Cortified Pursuant to Rule 723 of the Rules of Court
2	21		
2	22		Jame Romanowich,
1	23	•	Court Reporter
1	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
)			