C UN 413 VUV

IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

ROBERT ABEL

Transcript of the Submissions on Sentence and Reasons for Sentence of the The Honourable Judge R. M Bourassa, sitting at Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on June 9th, A.D., 1995.

APPEARANCES:

MS. U. ARVANETES

MS. S. KAY

On behalf of the Crown

On behalf of the Defence

1 THE COURT: On sentence then, globally.

2 MS. ARVANETES: Sir, a criminal record is alleged.

MS. KAY: The record as alleged is admitted,

4 sir.

5 THE COURT: Exhibit S-1.

EXHIBIT S-1: ACCUSED'S CRIMINAL RECORD

MS. ARVANETES: I attach a certified copy of the
probation order that I was speaking of, it wasn't
included on the record so I have just written it in.

Sir, Mr. Abel is 32 years old and has an extensive record dating back to 1979. By my count he shows some 14 convictions and 22 appearances before the court. The offences occurring primarily in Yellowknife. The convictions run the gamut from property offences to offences against the administration of justice to offences of violence.

Mr. Abel has also served some lengthy periods of custody in recent years. He received 30 months in 1990 for robbery and 14 months in 1992 for assault causing bodily harm. His most recent convictions were the July 1994 conviction some 11 months ago. At that time he was sentenced to 8 months of custody. So even considering early release Mr. Abel was only out of custody for a short period of time before he committed the March theft and then the April assault.

Sir, with respect to the theft it was fairly blatant though the accused did try to hide the food

bags to presumably make the staff think that the groceries had already been purchased and bagged for him. I would note that what was stolen, as you heard sir, was some very nice cuts of meat - he wasn't taking hot dogs, it was a value of some \$230.

With respect to the assault charge, I would note as aggravating factors his relationship to his uncle, the victim, as well as Mr. Fatte's age is 66 years old. There is not much this gentleman could have done to protect himself against an unprovoked, totally unexpected and armed attack.

Aggravating to this also is the injuries that Mr. Fatte suffered. The pain and the bruising on his side and back lasted for at least two weeks. Mr. Abel is obviously a violent person who has little control of himself, especially when he is drinking.

Concerning his whole record, sir, individual deterrence is not very effective against Mr. Abel.

The primary sentencing goal today, sir, would be that of general deterrence and protecting the public. The Crown would ask for a lengthy period of custody for the assault with a weapon and the probation breach.

We would ask for a short period of custody with respect to the theft charge; considering his record he's already served time for theft under one thousand dollars.

Mr. Abel has been in remand custody since April

1 25th where he was detained after a show cause. Those are my submissions, sir. THE COURT: 3 Thank you. Ms. Kay? MS. KAY: Sir, as you have heard, Mr. Abel is 32, he considers Snowdrift home. He advises me that during the time that he is there he does enjoy being on the land and advises me that prior to being 7 remanded it had been his home and, had he been be able to do so, he would return to Lutselke in order to do 9 10 some spring trapping. 11 Sir, there is an extensive record before you, and 12 in my discussions with Mr. Abel he has indicated that in his view what some of the problem is that he lacks 13 14 the tools necessary to make it and as as a result finds himself getting into alcohol and finds himself 15 16 back once again before the court. 17 Sir, as the Crown has pointed out, he has been in remand since April 25, which by my count is 35 days, 18 which I would ask you to take into consideration in 19 20 dispensing sentence. 21 Those are my submissions. 22 THE COURT: I have to sentence the accused for 23 assault with a weapon, a charge of theft under five 24 thousand dollars and a charge of breach of probation. 25 The accused has a terrible criminal record 26 consisting of approximately 14 criminal convictions. He has been in jail almost every single year since 27

1980 on numerous property offences, narcotics offences and offences against process. But more disturbingly, there are many offences of violence in many of them.

Offences of robbery, assault, uttering threats, assault causing bodily harm, two more robberies.

The evidence before me on the theft was that he threatened to hurt Mr. Sangris, who was totally innocently wrapped up or involved in Mr. Abel's conduct. The attack on this old man is incomprehensible. There is absolutely no reason, one couldn't even grace it, one couldn't even preface it with the most modest of feeble excuses. Abel smashed his way in, forced his way in, and picked up a piece of wood and attacked an old man 66 years old.

The accused is a chronic alcoholic. There are alcoholics and there are alcoholics, I suppose. There are a number who may be a nuisance but are basically harmless. One may steal a package of meat, a few dollars or a package of cigarettes. Then there is Mr. Abel. He is hurting people. In my respectful view, it's only a matter of time before he kills someone, rapes someone, causes someone really gross bodily harm. The man is a bully; he is out of control.

What possible reason could there be to attack a 66 year old man with a club? - to get his liquor perhaps? I don't know. But there is no reason good

enough.

There is nothing before me that would indicate that there is any possibility of rehabilitation. The desire to go back home and go trapping is a fantasy. There is nothing in mitigation in my respectful view. I am deeply concerned about the violence and this man's inability to control himself, and also his ability to use his obvious reputation for violence to intimidate.

The theft was a blatant exploitation of one individual, and he was inescapably caught. He then comes to court and tries to wiggle out of it by lying. I am not taking that in aggravation, but it's pathetic that Mr. Abel should think so little of the system; no one is fooled.

In terms of sentence I have to take totality into account and I do. With respect to the pre-trial custody, Mr. Abel was in custody because he had a show cause hearing and was unable to obtain his release. He was awaiting trial on the charge of assault causing bodily harm and he got involved in the theft. He was kept in custody for the protection and safety of the public undoubtedly. That's his problem in the sense that he is in jail because he was unable to control himself. While I acknowledge he has spent some time in custody and that should reflect on the sentence, it is going to be reflected in a modest way.

1	I have to take into account totality and I do.
2	In my view the Court of Appeal of Ontario is correct
3	when they say that at one stage in a man's criminal
4	carreer the rehabilitation would best be left to the
5	administrative boards and tribunals that are set up
6	within the correctional system to take care of that.
7	I cannot see rehabilitation as a principle of my
8	sentence, in my view my sentence has to be deterrence;
9	the man is frightening. In my view public safety
10	demands that he be locked up. That is a terrible
11	thing to say about someone, but on all of the evidence
12	before me I have no other choice. He is a danger.
13	Stand up Mr. Abel. On the charge of assault
14	causing bodily harm - two years, four months in a
15	federal penitentiary.
16	On the charge of breach of probation - two
17	months, concurrent.
18	On the charge of theft - two months consecutive.
19	(AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS CONCLUDED)
20	
21	Combission
22	Certified correct,
23	
24	Lavitta. I of
25	Loretta Mott, Court Reporter
26	
27	