> HEK TAJEOTY THE GUEDN, upon tine Information of Constable $\overline{\mathrm{R}}$. I. Julian, storm the 15 th day of August A.D.: 1970, Informant:
(Respondent)
18-Aug-1970 and

DAVID KEIR, Defendant,
(Appellant)
Grover f.
REASONS HOR' JUDGMENT (ORAL)
Avior,
I want to thank both counsel for their frankness and assistance in this appeal. I want to thank partienarly
Hr. Troy for showing his usual willingness to speed up the ends of justice. Instead of canc ing down perhaps making an application to temporarily suspend the suspension until the appeal Is heard, he has now dispose of the whole which is, of course, streamlining the ends of justice, which we are all supposed to do. I also want to say that since this is the first appeal with respect to speeding that I have had in this jurisdiction, I am cooing to say a little more than I normally would.

I have every sympathy with the public authorities such as the Mayor and the Councilmen of the City of Yellowknife. I, myself, have observed a tremendous number of train violations,
particularly in the last eighteen: months. Precluded in the violators Some of the City's own trucks and vehicles $\wedge$ particularly in the way they block traffic; and perhaps tic Police mich ado well to consider that all vehicles, whether Government or private, are subject to the law. I agree that something should be done, and it is to know and note that the public authorities are doing something about cutting down on these violations. However, I do think it is a mistake that municipal bodies particularly, the homer courts particularly, sometimes observe a rash of a certain type of offence taking place, and they then crack down! How, that may mean $x$ to mex that a person who otherwise was doing very little wrong suddenly finds that he picked the wrong week to be caught, and therefore he pays the penalty for ell the other people who are not caught. I am just putting a caution in remark that this is not the way the system works, and if the authorities start to act this way they will undo more than they are attempting to do. They will get the very same public that respects the law x and expects enforcement and protection to lose respect, because that same public will begin to realize that the authorities are exceeding the limits of natural justice and hitting minor infracttins more severely than serious infractions.

I an mindful of the fact that not so many years ago, one to
of the first things I did in this jurisdiction wasnpreside over a Royal Commission, A great number of complaints
about tine handling of minor offences in another part of the hat Northwest Territories, resulted in that Commission. On another Royal Commission with which I was involved, the Porter Royal Cominission, a considerable amount of testimony was given with respect to police practice, recollection of the evidence

- at that time, fy en on oath from the Chief of Police of the City of Edinonton, (a City of four hundred thousand people), was that his instructions $\neq$ (and I. understand the same were tie instructions wee to the R.C-M P in Alberta) * were to allow a five-mile leeway to cover the fact that, particularly in cities and towns, the modern vehicles automatic transmission accelerates to thirty-five miles an hour in such a short time astr that a person, even starting from a cold start, is exceeding the speed limit before he has actually had time to regulate his car; also, because of the possible errors in speedometers and the machinery testing the speed.//So, I have always understood a certain amount of leeway was allowed, ant it seems to me that, if this man was clocked at thirty-t:No i.. sen an hour, that is getting io what one can almost call the me-picking stage. How ever, the fact is he has pleaded guilty. he has oroken the law as it stanàs, and must be punish od.

Sower = surges what serious consideration be bed given, tu instructing the City Solicitor of Yellowknife to revie:: u..e ticket, because if the Police are using a ticket under the rotor Vehicles Ordinance for violations under the

Bylaw, I candace some lawyer one of these days taring the his client position that the mun has ven improperly summonsed. So r I think consideration should ie given Fo ut the ticket because there sinculd be no doubt in a man's or a woman's mind, if they as to receive a ticket for an offence, whether they go to court, or whether they eeo and pay a fine somewhere, or whether they have an option. That should se very clearly set out on the document, and I think, if the cases, certainly in the Court of the purbene Appeal of Alberta, are reviewed, it will be found that has been battled out at that level, and certiorari, or whatever the proper writ was, hat been granted to set aside convictions. Similarly, this idea about whether a scheme has ongiser
then se amongst the public that they $=$ ind it easier to go in front of a Justice of the Peace, $* I$ don't think that should in any way occupy the thinking of the Police or the authorities. If the authorities have provided an alternate type of punishment, and purple want to ramble on one or the the pubic want to gamble on one or other, that, surely, is up to them, because, if the law is applied fairly, it should erne the same. We are practical enough to know it may be a dollar out one way or another, but, surely, we are not worried about that, and I should hope that the Justices of the Peace, if they have heard rumours that people are coming, to them because they are soft, arenct deliberately becoming tough. I hope they are applying hamas judicial principles in assessing the fine $\lim _{\theta \text { 侕 }}$ a riven case on the proven facts, and not also punishing because someone else may be speeding a lot worse.


So much for my cenoral remers. In this particular case, I think Mr. Keir, in view of the fact he has been caught speedinc once before, and particularly where his livelihood depends on having a licence to dirive had better be careful from now on in what he does. I can't understand a man whose livelihood depends on áriving takinc any chances. Haybe he should get his wire to drive him to and from work and save his drivinc for the tovixtruck. I do think that for thirty-two miles an hour, and a second oifence with two-years ${ }^{1}$ interval (as I understand the facts of this situation), that it is too severe to cancel a man's licence. I think that is the last thing that should be considered in this type of offence, and certainly, even thouch it may be that the fotor Vehicles Ordinance permits it, I would think the Justices of the Feace should be very reluctant to take a man's licence away when there is the opportunity of punishing him in other ways.

Accordingly, on appeal, on reconsidering the sentenee, I an not suspending the licence, but I am increasing the fine to fifty dollars, plus the costs in the lower Court. /There will be no costs in this Gourt.
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