IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN, upon the Information of Constable R.L. Julyan, sworn the 15th day of August A.D., 1970, Informant,

(Respondent)

18-Aug-1970

and

DAVID KEIR, Defendant,

(Appellant)

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT (ORAL)

I want to first thank both counsel for their frankness and assistance in this appeal. I want to perticularly thank it. Troy, for showing his usual willingness to speed up the ends of justice. Instead of coming down and perhaps making an application to temporarily suspend the suspension until the appeal is heard, he has now disposed of the whole thing which is, of course, streamlining the ends of justice, which is what we are all supposed to do. I also want to say that since this is the first appeal with respect to speeding that I have had in this jurisdiction, I am going to say a little more than I normally would.

I have every sympathy with the public authorities such as the Mayor and the Councilmen of the City of Yellowknife. I, myself have observed a tremendous number of traffic violations,

particularly in the last eighteen months. Included in the violators have been Some of the City's own trucks and vehicles, particularly in the way they block traffic; and perhaps the police might do well to consider that all vehicles, whether Evernment or private, or whether City, are subject to the law. I agree that something should be done, and it is nice to know and note that the public authorities are doing something about cutting down on these violations. However, I do think it is a mistake that municipal bodies particularly, and the minor courts particularly, sometimes observe a rash of a certain type of offence taking place, and they then crack down. Now, that may mean to me that a person who otherwise was doing very little wrong suddenly finds that he picked the wrong week to be caught, and therefore he pays the penalty for all the other people who are not caught. I am just putting out a caution in my remarks - that this is not the way the system works, and if the authorities start to act this way they will undo more than they are attempting to do. They will get the very same public that respects the lawx and expects the enforcement and protection, to lose respect because that same public will begin to realize that the authorities are exceeding the limits of natural justice and hitting minor infractions more severely than serious infractions.

I am mindful of the fact that not so many years ago, one of the first things I did in this jurisdiction was preside over a Royal Commission, where A great number of complaints.

about the handling of minor offences in another part of the Northwest Territories resulted in that Commission. Royal Commission with which I was involved, the Porter Royal Commission, a considerable amount of testimony was given with respect to police practice, and my recollection of the evidence at that time, taken on oath from the Chief of Police of the City of Edmonton, (a City of four hundred thousand people), was that his instructions, + (and I understand the same were the instructions, given to the Mounted Police in Alberta were to allow a five-mile leeway to cover the fact that, particularly in cities and towns, the modern vehicles automatic transmission accelerates to thirty-five miles an hour in such a short time that a person, even starting from a cold start, is exceeding the speed limit before he has actually had time to regulate his also, because of the possible errors in speedometers and the machinery testing the speed. // So, I have always understood a certain amount of leeway was allowed, and it seems to me that, if this man was clocked at thirty-two maler an hour, that is getting to what one can almost call the met-picking stage. However, the fact is he has pleaded guilty, he has proken the law as it stands, and must be punished.

given, to instructing the City Solicitor of Yellowknife to review the ticket, because if the Bolice are using a ticket under the Hotor Vehicles Ordinance for via ations under the

event to ennuent Similarly, this idea about whether a scheme has arisen amongst the public that they find it easier to go in front of a Justice of the Peace, * I don't think that should in any way occupy the thinking of the police or the authorities. If the authorities have provided an alternate type of punishment, and people the public want to gamble on one or another, that, surely, is up to them, because, if the law is applied fairly, it should come out the same. We are practical enough to know it may be a dollar out one way or another, but surely, we are not worried about that, and I should hope that the Justices of the Peace, if they have heard rumours that people are coming to them because they are soft, aren't deliberately becoming tough. hope they are applying normal judicial principles in assessing the fine on a given case on the given facts, and not also punishing because someone else may be speeding a lot worse. .

So much for my general remarks. In this particular case, I think Mr. Keir, in view of the fact he has been caught speeding once before, and particularly where his livelihood depends on having a licence he can drive under, had better be careful from now on in what he does. I can't understand a man whose livelihood depends on driving taking any chances. Maybe he should get his wife to drive him to and from work and save his driving for the tow-truck. I do think that for thirty-two miles an hour, and a second offence with two-years interval (as I understand the facts of this situation, that it is too severe to cancel a man's licence. I think that is the last thing that should be considered in this type of offence, and certainly, even though it may be that the Motor Vehicles Ordinance permits it, I would think the Justices of the Peace should be very reluctant to take a man's licence away when there is the opportunity of punishing him in other ways.

Accordingly, on appeal, on reconsidering the sentence, I am not suspending the licence, but I am increasing the fine to fifty dollars, plus the costs in the lower Court. There will be no costs in this Court.

J.T.C.

Yellowknife, N.W.T. August 18, 1970.

Counsel:

O.J.T. Troy, Esq., for the Crown (Respondent)

M.M. deWeerdt, Esq., Q.C., for the Defendant (Appellant)