WORKERS COMPENSION

-1-



THE COURT: This matter came on by appeal by way of stated case from Deputy Magistrate Eckardt, L. Eckardt.

The main point, that is the one dealing with the definition of worker or workman as it was under the Ordinance that applied at the time, and at that time it was called the Workmen's Compensation Ordinance and it is now called the Worker's Compensation Ordinance. It is now Chapter W4 in the Revised Ordinances, 1974.

The facts are not in dispute. It appears that two persons in the employ of the respondent company were sent up to Yellowknife from Edmonton to examine, for brevity we will call it 'problems' in the supply of the steel by virtue of the sub-contract. They were here approximately two hours on that effort. They were the only two persons associated with Collins Steel Products Limited that appear to have set their feet within the territorial boundaries of the Northwest Territories.

Looking at Section 4 subsection (1) of the Statute, which says,

"This Ordinance applies to employers in respect of employment by them of workers in all industries except the industries exempted by regulation made pursuant to subsection (2)."

There is no evidence, of course, that there is an exemption in this case. I would be prepared to say that the two persons here were probably workers within the meaning of worker set forth in subsection (8) of Section 2 if I was satisfied that the respondent company was an industry



Covered by the Ordinance, but I think I have to go from Section 4(1) to the definition of industry in Section 2(h) which says,

""Industry" includes every establishment, undertaking, business or trade that is carried on in the territories."

I think that imports more than merely supplying or sub-contracting a product from outside of the Territories. Therefore, the appeal is dismissed.