

1,7

1.

IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

BOBBY (ROBERT) DRYBONES

Transcript of the Sentence delivered by His Honour Judge
T. B. Davis, sitting at Rae, in the Northwest Territories
on Wednesday, January 12, A.D. 1983.

APPEARANCES:

MR. G. BICKERT

On behalf of the Crown

MS C. WALKER

On behalf of the Defence



N.W.T. 5349 (3/77)



THE COURT: In this case, Bobby Drybones, who is a twenty-one year old resident of this community of Rae-Edzo, has pleaded guilty to possession of firearms dangerous to the public peace and being contrary to Section 85 of the Criminal Code.

On September 12, 1982, the accused, while having consumed alcohol, had pointed a firearm at his common-law wife, had been in a fight, had a loaded gun, and chased a person, became annoyed and shot a gun into the ceiling; and then, on another occasion, had also a loaded gun that was pointed at a lady with a child, which gun also went off when the lady pushed the gun to the side.

I look at these incidents as being extremely dangerous to those persons who were in his presence.

Following that, the accused then left the house and was up on the roadway, and again had possession of a dangerous weapon when another fight occurred. Fortunately, the gun was taken from him on that occasion.

These are matters that people in the community and people throughout Canada have considered to be very serious, because they can very easily not be as fortunate as they were in this instance when nobody was harmed even though guns were fired in the presence of children. My problem today, therefore, is to determine what would be an appropriate sentence by the Court to ensure that other people know that if they carry and fire guns in the presence of others and are dangerous in the way they deal with guns that they also will be subject to consideration of a serious crime by the



Court.

In this instance, it is usually not done to place a person in jail on the first offence, but because of the seriousness and the situation that had been in effect when these were occuring, I think that the recommendation by the Crown as to a short, sharp sentence in jail, followed by possibily a period of probation, might be the only proper and suitable sentence by the Court so that accused himself and those others who know of this sentence will realize that jail is likely when any offence occurs of this nature. I would hope that the accused who is a young man will realize that probation and counselling services required by probation will be to his benefit in the long run. I therefore feel a probation order is also apprioriate.

I am therefore going to try to both accomplish some deterrence and accomplish some rehabilitation for the accused by a sentence that will include a period of one month in jail, followed by one year of probation. Terms of the probation order will be to report within three weeks from release from jail and thereafter as required by probation services. That is, report to the probation services within three weeks, and thereafter as required.

The accused has indicated a willingness to take alcohol counselling or participate in it, and I am therefore not making a recommendation in that regard or requirement in that regard, but I have taken into account the attitude of the accused by giving one month rather than three months in



2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

I would therefore hope that he would follow through on that recommendation, but it will not be a part of the probation order as such.

Thank you, Your Honour. This is a case where I would submit I would be remiss if I didn't draw the Court's attention to the provision of Section 98 of the Criminal Code with respect to prohibition of possession of firearms. I submit its a case falling squarely within section (1) of Section 98 where violence against a person was used, threateaed or attempted. And while it is always unfortunate to take away someone's hunting privileges, I submit from what I know of this individual he does not--he's not a subsistance hunter, by any means. He may engage in sport hunting as any of us may, but I submit that he has forfeited that right by his misuse of a firearm for the minimum term required in Section 98(1).

I understand the minimum term is a five-year term? 17 THE COURT:

18MR. BICKERT: Yes.

Unfortunately, as well, because of the use of fire 19THE COURT: arms in a violent way, threatening to the public, I must order that the accused be restricted from possession or the use of any firearms or ammunition for a period of five years under Section 98 of the Criminal Code. I would ask Counsel to explain the details of that particular order as such to the accused at some time.

Certified a correct transcript: