

IN THE MATTER OF:

THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

MALLE

Transcript of the Reasons for Judgment of His Honour Judge R. M. Bourassa, sitting at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on Tuesday, November 15th, A.D., 1983.

APPEARNCES:

MS. N. BOILLAT:

Counsel for the Crown

MR. G. PHILLIPS:

Counsel for the





THE COURT: Malle is convicted of three offences: Theft under two hundred dollars, break, enter and theft, and possession of a narcotic. I won't spend a long time reading his record. Suffice it to say that there is in the neighborhood of sixty or more criminal convictions of the same nature as the ones the court is dealing with today.

The problem is what we do with Malle. A long time ago a court could have ordered Malle to be placed in stocks or to walk around with a sign around his neck saying "thief" so the public could avoid him. We can't do that now. In some countries, even today, they cut off the hand that steals. That might work in this case, but we are beyond that kind of punishment in Canada.

The only thing that this court can do with this offender is probation, a jail term, or a fine. In my view a fine is pointless in this instance; The default time will be taken in lieu of payment. Probation is long gone as a reasonable alternative; Jail has done absolutely nothing for society or for the accused. Society is protected, I suppose, for the time he has been in jail, but it certainly hasn't modified or corrected the accused's behavior in any way It has definitely not deterred him.

I note that the most the accused has received is one year in jail at a time. That is subject to a thorough reading of the record.

It would seem to me that given the grave number of break and enter and theft offences the accused is convicted of, I

N.W.T. 5349 (3/77)



believe this court would be justified in sentencing the accused to a lengthy term of imprisonment in a penitentiary.

As far as I can see there is no hope of rehabilitation for this man at forty years of age. There is no hope for that. One is tempted to pooh-pooh the break and enter by saying it is just \$160 and it is just a continuation of nuisance behavior, but on the other hand, it is an offence punishable by life imprisonment.

The theft of the two sunglasses is picayune. The circumstances surrounding the Marcotic Control Act offence is not at all different than those surrounding his past 3(1) convictions.

Malle is a nuisance. Malle is a pain in the neck.

Malle must fall into one of those categories of people
that are sent down here by God to trouble us and use up the
tax payers' money and the resources of the state, and now
Malle invites us to put him back in jail for the winter.

It seems to me that this court can only do one of two things: one is to put Malle away for a term of imprisonment for at least three years in a federal penitentiary, or to do nothing. If Malle is in fact a social problem, then unfortunately he is going to have to remain a pain in the neck to society and jail is inappropriate. If he is a master crimimal, then certainly he belongs in this court and in jail for a long time.

I am at a loss as to what to do with him - I believe him to be a social problem rather than a master criminal.

N.W.T. 5349 (3/77)

-13



-- 1.3

It is obvious from past dealings with Malle in this court and looking at his past record, that Malle goes on binges, and while on binges, he does stupid things. He finds himself in court and is usually given a short term of imprisonment, and then shortly after release, he is again back in court. If the businessmen in Yellowknife aren't going to protect themselves from Malle, I don't think they can look to the court to protect them. They are going to have to protect themselves and refuse him admittance to their businesses. The police can't, and the corrections system cannot "correct" Malle.

We will try it one way, and if that doesn't work, we will try it another way.

Malle, would you stand, please. On the Section 294 offence, I sentence you to one day in jail served by you being here today. On the Section 306(1)(b) offence, I sentence you to one day in jail served by you being here today. On the narcotics offence, I sentence you to one day in jail served by you being here today. You are free to go.

20 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, sir.

(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

Certified a correct transcript,

Laurie Ann Young

Court Reporter