



TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF: HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN - and -GLEN HENRY KOE Transcript of Proceedings held before His Honour Judge R. M. Bourassa, sitting at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories on Tuesday, January 11, A.D. 1983. APPEARANCES: MS L. MINISH COOPER On behalf of the Crown MS J. MORRIS On behalf of the Defence 



25

26

Glen Henry Koe. THE CLERK: Your Honour, Mr. Koe is in custody. MS MORRIS: Yes, Ms Morris? THE COURT: We are going to enter an election and plea on MS MORRIS: these matters. 5 Mr. Koe, with respect to the offence alleging THE COURT: 6 break, enter and theft, you have the option to elect to be tried by Territorial Court judge sitting without a jury--8 that's this Court; a judge without a jury; or a Court com-9 posed of a judge and a jury. How do you elect to be tried? 10 THE ACCUSED: Magistrate. THE COURT: Having elected trial by Territorial Court judge 12 how do you plead, guilty or not guilty? 13 14 THE ACCUSED: Guilty. And Mr. Koe, with respect to the offence of THE COURT: 15 breach of probation, how do you plead to that charge, guilty 16 or not quilty? 17 18 THE ACCUSED: Guilty. 19 THE COURT: Ms Morris, do you want to proceed with this 20 matter this morning? 21 MS MORRIS: I am prepared to proceed this morning. 22 THE COURT: Ms Minish Cooper, if I could have the facts? 23 MS MINISH COOPER: Circumstances are, sir, that on the eighteenth

of October, 1982, at sometime near midnight in Fort McPhermale
son in the Northwest Territories, three mail individuals
approached the residence of one Fred Jerome in Fort McPherson. The residence was unoccupied at the time, undergoing



renovation. A break-in resulted in which a can of glue-that is, contact cement--and a Sony AM-FM cassette radio
were stolen. Entry was gained by sliding an unsecured window open, which lead to the kitchen area.

The subsequent investigation by the R.C.M.P. revealed that the persons responsible were Glen Henry Koe, Leslie Kevin Snowshow, and a juvenile, Norman Koe.

Glen Henry Koe provided a warned statement to the effect that he and Norman Koe slid the window open and then followed Koe into the house. Snowshoe was hiding under the house. He did not admit to taking the radio, just the contact cement, which was later sniffed.

Glen Koe's fingerprints were found on the outside of the glass window.

Mr. Koe--Glen Koe, that is--the accused, was on a probation order of Judge Slaven issued the seventh of January, 1982, at the time of this offence. The conditions were that he keep the peace and be of good behaviour. In addition, there was a curfew imposed upon him between ten p.m. and seven a.m. in the mornings.

Those are the circumstances as provided by the police in Fort McPherson, sir.

THE COURT: Are those facts admitted, Ms Morris?

MS MORRIS: Yes, Your Honour.

MS MINISH COOPER: Sir, for the information of the Court, I do have a copy of the probation order upon which Mr. Koe was subject at the time of this offence.



THE COURT: Ms Morris, you've seen the probation order?

MS MORRIS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you. On sentence, Ms Minish Cooper?

MS MINISH COOPER: I have a copy of Mr. Koe's record, sir.

MS MORRIS: The record is admitted.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms Morris.

MS MINISH COOPER: Sir, I would submit that looking at the record it is fairly obvious that Mr. Koe has been in substantial difficulty with the Courts over the period of 1982, commencing i January of 1982. I would suggest also, sir, that there are many--or a number, at least, of property related offences on his record. He is not an individual who has not been in jail before. In fact, over the period of 1982, I would suggest that he has spent a great deal of time in jail. It apppears that it has had no great deterrent effect on this individual; and I would submit, sir, that Mr. Koe does face an additional period of incarceration as a result of these charges. I would have no objection, sir, that because these events essentially took place on the same day, if the Court is so disposed after hearing submissions from Ms Morris, to impose concurrent sentences as a result of the breach of probation and the break and enter; but I would request of the Court that a substantial period of incarceration be imposed on the accused at this time.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms Minish Cooper. Ms Morris, does the accused wish to give evidence or call any witnesses?

1-

1

3

4

5

Ų

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26



MS MORRIS:

No, Your Honour.

THE COURT:

Submissions?

MS MORRIS:

Glen Koe is seventeen years of age; he is from

Fort McPherson and has lived there all his life. His parents, five sisters and one brother live at home. He has grade seven education. His occupation is primarily that of trapping. Since he has turned sixteen, he has been before the Courts on three occasions. The record shows that he was before the Court first in January of 1982, then again in July o. 1982, and once again in October. Although his record is a lengthy one, the actual number of times in which he has been before the Court is only three times.

On many of the offences shown in the past, he has received concurrent sentences. Similarly, with the case before the Court, Mr. Koe was sentenced in October of last year for a 294(b) offence for which he received six months in jail. This offence happened on the same date as the offence which is presently before the Court. I do have copies—unfortunately, not certified copies—of the information. It seems that on the 294(b) offence, Mr. Koe received a suspended sentence, but on the related breach of probation on the same date he received six months. So, I assume he was sentenced on the breach of probation to serve six months and received a concurrent sentence on the break and entry.

He is therefore—as shown on his criminal record, he is presently serving nine months, being six months



for the one offence which occurred on the same day as the present break and enter and three months for the careless use of a firearm, for a total of nine months.

THE COURT:

The three months is concurrent, isn't it?

MS MORRIS:

I'm sorry, yes.

THE COURT:

So, he is serving six months.

MS MORRIS:

I believe that the three months then on the

theft under the first one shown here, October twentieth, Eighty-two, three months on a theft under, and six months consecutive on breach of probation.

His expected date of release is April now. He's earned remission time and expects to be released April first.

It is admitted that in the facts which have been admitted, Mr. Koe--there is no alleged damage to the premises. Mr. Koe was aware that they were vacant, and therefore he was not putting any occupants in danger or fear of The purpose of this break and enter was to obtain danger. It is submitted glue, which was taken from the premises. that because there was no damage or no occupancy, these are mitigating circumstances. Also mitigating is the fact that Mr. Koe is a youthful offender. Although he was been before the Courts on three previous occasions, he is yet only seventeen years of age. I would submit that since he is serving nine months--unfortunately, this offence became separated from the other ones which were before the Court October tenth--October twentieth, he is serving nine months and the totality of the sentencing should be considered

2

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2425

26



in sentencing for the present offence.

The other three offences which are shown on the twentieth of October took place on the tenth, so that we have three which took place on the tenth of October, and two for which he has been sentenced on the eighteenth; then there are the two which are before the Court today which also occurred on the eighteenth. I would therefore submit concurrent sentencing, concurrent to the times he received on the offences for the eighteenth of October should be considered in sentencing for the present offence.

Those are my submissions.

THE COURT:

Thank you, Ms Morris.

Glen Henry Koe is convicted in this Court of two offences of break, enter and theft. The facts reveal breaking into an unoccupied building, stealing glue for sniffing and a radio, and a breach of probation.

Mr. Koe, age seventeen, has accummulated a criminal record showing seventeen criminal convictions.

It is just incomprehensible to me that Mr. Koe has not yet learned that he has certain responsibilities as a citizen and certain obligations. Included in those seventeen criminal convictions are six breaches of probation—and again, the breaches of probations have been treated in the past more severely than the substantive offences—and it is incomprehensible to me that Mr. Koe has not yet learned and has not been deterred. I can appreciate that he is young, that lessons may be difficult for young people to learn, but with



the penalties that Mr. Koe has received, and the consequences flowing from his criminal activity, it's incredible to me that Mr. Koe has not made the connection that it doesn't pay to commit crimes.

In those circumstances, I think the only course the Court has is to impose a sentence that is going to be one that is going to reflect the principle of deterrence, and deterrence generally to deter anyone else from committing the kind of offences that Mr. Koe has committed.

The probation that Mr. Koe was subject to was breached in a substantial way in that not only did he breach the statutory condition of failing to keep the peace, but he also breached the probation order by failing to observe a curfew. In aggravation is the fact that Mr. Koe was in Court in July of this year and sentenced to a period of jail for breach of probation and shortly after his release was involved in further criminal activities.

For the breach of probation, Mr. Koe--would you stand, please? I want to make it clear to any persons, Mr. Koe, that when they make a promise to the Court to be of good behaviour and not breach the peace and one put on probation that that promise is important; and if they fail to comply with that promise they can expect to be treated severely by the Courts, especially in light of the fact that they have ignored their obligations in the past. On this charge, I sentence you to six months in jail



consecutive to any other term you are now serving. With respect to the break and enter, Mr. Koe, I sentence you to one month in jail concurrent.

(AT WHICH TIME THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)

Certified a correct transcript

Edna Thiessen Court Reporter