

IN THE MATTER:

IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

2

1

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

٧S

PAULETTE ANNE BROEKAERT

Transcript of Proceedings of an Oral Judgment given by His Honour Judge R. W. HALIFAX, sitting at Hay River in the Northwest Territories on Wednesday, April 11, A.D. 1984.

APPEARANCES :

MR. W. CORBETT

MR. G. BOYD

Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the Defence

FILED

JUN 1 1 1984

HAY RIVER

137.

75 × 3



THE COURT:

1

2

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are dealing with a matter under Section 294(a) this morning for sentencing of one Paulette Anne Broekaert. Sentencing is one of the most difficult areas in dealing with the criminal justice system. One must balance the various aims of sentencing, namely, the protection of the public and general deterrence to the public as a whole, specific deterrence to this accused to deter her from committing a similar type of offence in the future, punishment, which must be a part of any sentence, and the rehabilitation of the accused. In many cases, these three aims are difficult to balance in order to reach what is considered a fit and proper sentence. Various factors must be considered, namely, the age of the accused, any prior criminal record, the attitude of the accused, the character of the accused, the circumstances surrounding the commission of the offence, the conduct of the accused both prior and after the commission $\dot{\boldsymbol{h}}$ of the offence, the education and intelligence of the accused the family obligations of the accused, her financial means, and the fact that there has been a guilty plea, which has saved the courts and the taxpayers a substantial expense from not requiring a trial. It must be considered whether or not there was any premeditation involved in the commission of the offence. The work record of the accused is another consideration, the gravity of the offence and, finally, but not, in my view, of least importance, whether or not there has been a breach of trust involved in the commission of the offence.

We have before the Court this morning a

27



40-year old woman who is a single parent with a teen-aged daughter. She has had a good work record and has been involved in numerous volunteer activities in the community over a number of years. She has a Grade 12 education and has self taught herself some typing and office procedure skills and is presently employed by the Government of the Northwest Territories in the Public Library at Hay River. The accused and her daughter have no doubt sufferred embarrassment as a result of this matter, but that it must be remembered is a result of the accused's own conduct; and it must be expected when such occurs in a small community like Hay River.

The amount involved in the theft is over \$3,000 which was taken from the funds of the Hay River Figure Skating Club. The accused was responsible for the funds and resonsible for accounting for them. She was entrusted to do so by the Executive of the Club. By appropriating some of the funds under her control to her own use, she committed not only a theft but a breach of trust. Now, breach of trust in criminal law is treated very seriously, and a deterrent sentence is normally imposed.

The amount involved is the sum of \$3,201.52 of which \$955.80 has been repaid, and there are five post-dated cheques for \$75 each received by the R.C.M.P. during the course of their investigation.

The accused advised the President of the Club what she had done in April of 1983, and the accused was elected again to the Executive of the Club on May 4, 1983, at



the annual general meeting. However, it seems that the members of the Club were not aware of the situation involving the missing funds; nor did members of the Executive who were aware of the situation feel obligated to advise the general meeting. Under these circumstances, I do not feel that the accused's reelection to the Executive is a mitigating factor when the persons involved were not aware of the theft.

The figure of \$3,201.52 as the amount taken by the accused is at the best a guesstimate as the books of the Club were in such a condition that a proper audit could not be carried out. This figure was arrived at by the President and first Vice-President of the Club in conjunction with the accused; and under these circumstances, it must be suspect as to whether it really represents the amount appropriated by the accused. Be that as it may, the figure is one agreed on by Counsel as to the amount taken.

The accused's attitude was that the appropriation of the funds was in the nature of a loan which she would pay back. It seems after the situation came to the attention of several members of the Executive of the Club that they were prepared to treat it as such as well. Firstly, the accused's view that the money was in the nature of a loan is, in my view, not only naive but self serving. To be anything of a nature of a loan would have to require agreement that the accused could use the funds, and no such agreement existed in this matter. The taking of the funds by the accused was a theft under the law in this country. Of this, there can be no doubt.

--



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The accused's ability to repay the funds within a reasonable time is limited. Her financial situation over the last year has been difficult, but she has been able to repay \$955.80 which, to a degree, should be considered a mitigating factor. Representations indicated she could pay \$75 per month for the next six months and \$125 per month thereafter until the total amount was repaid. Now, the Figure Skating Club has been without the use of these funds for approximately one year at this point. It seems to me to be expecting a little too much for the Club to continue without the use of the funds for a substantially longer period so that the accused can continue her lifestyle and repay the amount at her convenience. There is no reason why the Club should, in effect, be put in a the position of a bank, being forced to accept repayment over a lengthy period of time. accused should be in a position in the near future to make alternate arrangements so that the Club can be repaid in full

It seems to me to be appropriate to make some comment on the conduct of the Executive of the Figure Skating Club. When the situation came to their attention, it was decided by a majority vote to deal with the matter internally. This was not a unanimous vote as some members of the Executive abstained from voting. Thereafter, a member of the Executive complained to the R.C.M.P. which resulted in an investigation which culminated in the present charge before the Court. In my view, the Executive members were, to a degree, in a position of trust to see that the funds raised on behalf



7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the Club were properly accounted for and put to use for the uses and objects of the Club and the benefit of the members and the children involved in the figure skating program. seems to me the Executive fell short of this obligation in the manner that this situation was handled. The Executive or, at least, the majority that voted, in effect, were prepared to cover up or perhaps a better word "condone" a criminal offence, an offence of a value exceeding \$200 which carries a maximum penalty of ten-years imprisonment under our criminal Further, I get the impression from submissions made and evidence put before the Court on sentencing that some of the members were less than cooperative with the investigating authorities. If people want the protection of the law, they also have an obligation as a citizen to cooperate with the investigation and the investigating authorities.

I hope my comments in this regard assist not only the Figure Skating Club but other similar organizations to realize their obligations and responsibilities and their positions as Executive members of those organizations. I am sure the actions of the Executive in this case were conducive to and supportive to the accused's improper view that the theft of the funds was in the nature of a loan.

Moving on to what is a fit and proper sentence in the circumstances, as I said earlier, normally, a breach of trust results in a deterrent sentence which, in most cases attaches a term of imprisonment.

I would refer to the case of R. versus Johnson



and R. versus Wynnes, both judgments of the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories. The first case involved a woman misappropriating approximately \$10,000 from the Coordinated Home Care Program in Yellowknife; and if my memory serves me correctly, I believe the sentence was one-year imprisonment followed by probation and an order that restitution be paid. The second case involved an employee of the Government misappropriating approximately \$6,000 from the weigh scales at Enterprise, Northwest Territories. It should be pointed out the maximum penalty for the offence charged in that case was one of five years, not one of ten. In that case, the accused was sentenced to eight-months imprisonment followed by a term of probation providing for restitution.

Then, there is the <u>Ketchison</u> case from Fort Smith which Mr. Boyd raised which involved the sum of \$500. No jail term was imposed. However, there was a fine and probation.

Now, the accused has only one previous conviction for an unrelated matter, namely, a drinking and driving offence. Although not a young offender, she is not a person who requires, in my view, a substantial jail term. She has made part restitution. She has pleaded guilty at the first opportunity and otherwise seems to be of good character. The Crown has indicated that a jail term is not being requested in the circumstances. The accused seems to be repentant and has the support of her family.

I must say that I have some difficulty in

N.W.T. 5349 (3/77)



coming to what I think is a fit and proper sentence in this case. The demand for protection of the public and punishment balanced against the rehabilitation of the accused is not an easy matter. The accused must accept responsibility for her actions, but the penalty should not be so onerous as to crush the accused or the possibility of rehabilitation. It seems to me the rehabilitation process has already commenced, and I doubt that the accused will be involved in further offences of this nature.

In my view, the conditional discharge requested by the Defence is not a sufficient penalty. The repayment of the funds would result in no penalty at all other than the embarrassment that the accused and her family suffer. However, it is my view that something more than mere repayment of the money taken is required in the interests of protection of the public and punishment together with deterrence of this accused or other persons of like mind in the future.

Would you stand up, please, Ms. Broekaert.

Ms. Broekaert, you have heard what I have had to say this morning, and I trust you realize now the seriousness of this matter. I have taken into consideration your circumstances, what occurred; and I am satisfied what can be expected from you in the future as to your behavior and hope that you will not be caused any further embarrassment; but you must accept the responsibility for that as a direct result of your own actions.

I feel that probably a jail term is the



2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

27

proper sentence, but you are employed; and I do not wish to see you lose your employment. Presently, the facilities in Hay River do not provide properly for holding women on intermittent sentences. Because the Government cannot provide the facilities, however, in my view, should not result in any harsher punishment to you.

As a result, there will be a fine in the sum of \$750, in default of payment of the fine, three-months imprisonment.

Do you understand that?

MS. BROEKAERT:

Yes, sir.

THE COURT:

As well, there will be a Probation Order for a period of one year. The terms of that Probation Order will be that you keep the peace and be of good behavior; you appear before the Court when you are required to do so; you make restitution in the sum of \$1,870.72 to the Clerk of the Court for distribution to the Hay River Figure Skating Club no later than September 1, 1984.

Do you understand?

20 MS. BROEKAERT:

Yes, sir.

21 THE COURT:

With regard to time to pay the fine, Mr. Boyd.

22 MR. BOYD:

30 days, sir.

23 THE COURT:

Very well, one month. Anything further with

regard to this matter?

25 MR. BOYD:

No, sir.

26 MR. CORBETT:

No, Your Honour.

THE COURT:

That will be all, Ms. Broekaert. I trust



you realize what has been said this morning and that you won' get involved in this kind of thing again. If you have the responsibility for handling funds for any organization, the responsibility is to handle them properly and keep them properly accounted for. It is also the responsibility of the other Directors of your Club to ensure that the money is used for the proper objects of the Club. I can say that I am very surprised that the Club would even consider covering it up.

You will remain in the courtroom until you sign your Probation Order, and then you will be free to go.

Adjourn until 10.

THE CLERK OF THE COURT: All rise. This Court stands adjourned until 10 a.m.

Certified a Correct Transcript:

Margaret Andruniak
Court Reporter

Court Reporter