

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

FRANK MERCREDI

Transcript of the Oral Sentencing Delivered by His Honour Judge R. M. Bourassa, sitting at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on Friday March 2nd, A.D., 1984.

APPEARANCES:

MR. J. SHIPLEY:

Counsel for the Crown

MR. D. COOPER:

Counsel for the Defence

MAR 1 9 1984

YELLOWKNIFE

26 27

N.W.T. 5349 (3/77)



THE COURT:

Frank Mercredi is convicted of two offences, one under Section 666, and one under Section 133(3), and I want you to listen carefully to what I say, because I am not saying it to the walls. I am saying it to you.

Normally, in almost every instance, when someone has wilfully breached a probation order or an undertaking, which is just a fancy word for promise, the courts take that as being virtually a contempt of court. It is a refusal to comply with a court order, and a jail term almost invariably results.

Frank Mercredi is, in my estimation, eligible for a jail term. He has been placed on probation in the past and has been convicted of breach of probation in the past. has been fined to bring home the seriousness of probation.but that didn't work, and he was given a jail term of ten days, and that didn't work.

In my view, a jail term in the neighborhood of a month consecutive on each charge would be appropriate, and I say that to you because I want you to understand how seriously the court looks at this matter. You may not like reporting, but you have forfeited your right to live your life without the court looking over your shoulder. forfeited that right when you got involved in criminal activities. If you stay out of criminal activities, then you will be able to live your life without people looking over your shoulder.

In November of 1982 when you were convicted of assault

N.W.T. 5349 (3/77)

1 2 3

5

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27



and placed on probation for two years, as I say, in the court's wisdom at that time the probation order was deemed to be appropriate, and for the next two years at least you forfeited your right to live your life without accounting to the court.

I point out to you, as I am sure your lawyer can confirm and provide you with the details, that if a person is subject to a probation order and circumstances arise where the probation order may no longer be wholly appropriate, application can be made to vary the orders terms You should be taking steps like that instead of ignoring your obligations.

I am hard pressed to find any good reason why you shouldn't be sentenced to a term of imprisonment. The breach of probation was a straight forward decision by you that it was inappropriate for you to report because you didn't like what happened, but that is no longer in your control whether you like the things that happen or not.

The failure to report to the police, again, that is a serious matter. It is an order by the court that you report to the police, and babysitting an eleven year old is no excuse. As Mr. Cooper has suggested, you would have been far wiser to phone up the officer in charge and make a note of his name, make a note of what you had told him, and then gone to report later that day or the next day, but to simply walk away from your obligations that you

N.W.T. 5349 (3/77)



2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

are subject to is no answer, and if it is going to mean jail terms to bring that lesson home to you, it is indeed unfortunate, but that is going to have to be.

No court, no judge, likes to send people to jail, but if they don't live up to their obligations, jail may be the only way of bringing home to them that those obligations are real.

I take into account the Crown's position in this matter, and I take into account what your lawyer has said on your behalf, and I take into account that your lawyer has indicated to me that you are promising that this won't happen again. I will accept that assurance on this one occasion.

I am going to direct the reporter here who is taking down everything that I am saying to make a copy of these reasons and make them available for your file, and if you are back before the courts again on a breach of probation or a failure to comply with a promise, the next judge, if it is a different judge who is hearing the case if it comes to a sentence, will clearly understand that what I am doing today I consider to be an exception to the normal way that these offences are treated, and that you are being treated extremely leniently at least as far as I am concerned. That leniency may not be available to you again in the future. You are getting a second chance, as it were, more than a second chance. I am taking you up on your promise, but I want to caution you, Mr. Mercredi, N.W.T. 5349 (3/77)

24252627



that your promises aren't going to mean very much if you are back before the court for similar offences.

On the charge of breach of probation, there will be a fine of \$300, in default, thirty days in jail. On the charge of failing to comply with your undertaking, there will be a fine of \$300, in default, thirty days in jail consecutive. How long does the accused require to pay, Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: In the circumstances, sir, I would think four months would be appropriate.

THE COURT: Well, I will give the accused three months to pay the fines. If the circumstances warrant, there is the possibility that the court can extend the terms for payment.

MR. COOPER: Thank you, sir.

(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

Certified a correct transcript,

Laurie Ann Young

Court Reporter