2

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

24

26

27

IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

JOHNNY OWINGAYAK

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered by His Honour Judge T. B. Davis, sitting at Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on Friday, May 17, A.D. 1985.

APPEARANCES:

MR. M. E. N. ZIGAYER

MR. C. ROGERS

On behalf of

On behalf of th



Mr. Johnny Owingayak, who is a resident of Baker Lake, in the Northwest Territories, has entered a plea of guilty to a charge that he did on or about the 18th of Apri1, 1985, at Baker Lake, have in his possession some cannibus marijuana for the purposes of trafficking, and therefore violated Section 4(2) of the Narcotics Control Act. accused had the matter adjourned for sentencing and appears before the Court today admitting to the facts as presented, which indicate that while he was a student in Yellowknife, in February, had purchased one-quarter ounce of marijuana and had taken it home to Baker Lake where he made a number of cigarettes, which he sold then for ten dollars each. accused had been found with \$730.00 in his possession, which indicates that he recently had sold approximately 73 cigarettes, and there were 19 more found in the residence of the accused when a search was done by the police; and the accused then co-operated to the extent that he produced a further eight more marijuana cigarettes, which would have totalled approximately one thousand dollars in sale value, after having purchased the original quantity for seventy dollars, indicating therefore a very substantial profit margin.

The accused had the knowledge and the ability to roll the cigarettes himself, and therefore I must recognize that although the accused himself has no criminal record and is not a sophisticated drug trafficker, that he acted in a way that showed his ability to traffic in this drug and acted with obvious greed and a very strong profit motive.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The accused unfortunately as well was on probation at the time that this offence occurred; and it is often the opinion of the Court that a person on probation is not entitled to be treated as a first offender, because they had been before the courts previously and were aware of the fact that they were expected to obey the law.

I am prepared today to order that the \$730.00 that had been found in the possession of the accused and admitted to have been gained by the sale of the soft drugs will be forfeited as allowed under the Narcotics Control Act, and also direct that at the expiration of the appeal period, the narcotics that have been seized shall be destroyed in the usual way.

Both Crown and defence counsel have referred a number of cases to me that have been cases presented by both the Supreme and the Appeal Courts in the Northwest Territories, as well as some cases of a similar nature that have been decided by the Territorial Court in the past. It is obvious that the courts have found that drug trafficking or possession for the purposes of trafficking is something that should be discouraged, and discouraged to the extent that usually jail is required if a person is convicted of the offence, because it is believed that jail will be the deterrent to protect small communities from the adverse effects of the importation of drugs of any type. Various cases have referred to the delicate social and economic characteristics of the small communities. Crown counsel has pointed out properly that

Baker Lake itself is a small northern community, which is isolated, and therefore ordinarily not subject to the availability of drugs that often are found in the southern areas or in larger communities.

I also recognize that the accused, although a young man, is certainly an intelligent enough young twenty-year-old, that he has completed grade twelve education during the past few years in Yellowknife, and that he took advantage of people in his own community when he went home and made these cigarettes and sold them at such a high profit. I also feel that the accused, who has had a good education, is a person that should be setting an example for people in the community, because with privilege comes responsibility, and when a young person who has left the community and comes back with a good education, I expect him to be a person that sets an example rather than act as an outsider and a person who is indifferent to the community.

All of the judgments in the past have indicated that courts should, in their sentencing, discourage outsiders from bringing drugs into small communities, and I feel that is as important that courts discourage insiders or people who are from the north also from being in a position where they benefit by bringing drugs to a small community and having them available for the purposes of sale. It's necessary for the Court to follow the directions of the appeal decisions and the Supreme Court of the Territories in letting the community and the young people in the various communities

know that the courts wish to discourage the importation of any drugs and the sale of even soft drugs in small communities, and therefore protect others in the community from this particular act.

The cases that have been referred to have generally ranged between six months and two years in jail for various offences; and I have had the opportunity also of reviewing some relatively recent cases of either trafficking or having possession for the purposes of trafficking filed by Mr. Justice Ducros, visiting judge of the Northwest Territories in Frobisher Bay in April of 1985, when in the case of R. v. Dubeau, a twenty-eight year old, was given six months in jail for trafficking in a soft narcotic in Frobisher Bay Moffat, a twenty-nine year old resident also of Frobisher Bay got nine months in jail consecutive on two different offences for trafficking on two different occasions. thirty-four year old named Murray was sentenced to three months in jail consecutive to other charges on which he received four months in jail for the same offence. cases generally indicate that if it's a soft drug and a person has trafficked in it or has possession for the purposes of trafficking, and the amount is not substantial, then six to twelve month period is appropriate. If the amount of money is substantial, of course it can go up to seven years as was recently decided in the Alberta Court of Appeal when a person had a substantial amount of hashish for the purposes of trafficking, and it followed a case in Nova Socita where

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

a person named <u>Cranston</u> in 1985 had been placed in jail for seven years for having fifty-two pounds of hashish worth between \$230,000 and \$350,000 in value. That man, who was married and had four children, had a good reputation, but had one previous conviction under the Income Tax Act, the Appeal Court felt that seven years was not excessive at all for trafficking in soft drugs.

I think today generally that it's necessary for me to consider jail in the range that has been referred to both by Crown and defence counsel, and I wish to thank both Crown and defence counsel for reviewing the cases and analyzing them in a proper and accurate way, because I felt the analyses were very realistic and fair and that it would be proper for me therefore to consider between six and twelve months. On that basis, I feel today that I am going to impose an eight month total, since the accused was on probation. it might be that I would consider a few weeks off that term; but because of the high amount of profit in this instance, even taking into account the fact that the accused has no record and that it was a very small amount--only a quarter ounce--but the value was as substantial as in many other cases which include more than a quarter ounce; and even also recognizing that the accused would have been subjected to the introduction of soft drugs because of being in school in Yellwoknife, I do feel still that the community must be advised that the courts will impose penalties that mean something if people are caught with drugs in small communities

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

that can have such a disasterous effect in a community over a period of time; and therefore today I'm going to impose a period of eight months in jail on the accused.

MR. ZIGAYER: Thank you, sir.

(AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS CONCLUDED.)

Certified a correct transcript

Edna Thiessen, Court Reporter