IN THE RERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

LEO ANGOTINGOAR

Transcript of the Oral Sentencing delivered by His Honour Judge R. M. Bourassa, sitting at Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on Friday, September 7, A.D. 1984.

APPEARANCES:

MS. N. BOILLAT

On behalf of the

MS. V. SCHULER

On behalf of the Defence

25

26

27

Some day I hope to find out, I hope some bright counsel will enlighten me, or some accused person will stanablaup and explain to me why they continue to commit offences, such as this man, who was been before the courts on numerous occasions for more or less the same charges -- break and enter, possession of stolen property, forged documents. because a person can commit so many crimes and only get caught on such a small percentage of them that it becomes worthwhile? Surely, that is not the case. Of all the jurisdictions in Canada, the clearance rate by the police here is such that it must make their chests glow with pride. I just don't understand what makes a man like Leo Angotingoar, who went to jail for seven months last August, and who couldn't have been out of jail very long--maybe a few months-steal another man's passbook and go right back to what he was doing before. He doesn't strike me as being a stupid man; he's obviously seen the consequences of being caught and convicted in the past, yet he's out of jail and right back into someone else's bank account. Is it the fact that the courts are not being severe enough and not, in fact, deterring? If that's the case, that can be remedied by imposing terms of imprisonment close to penitentiary time. I don't believe it's because the man lacks intelligence. He has skills. It is difficult to understand.

In any event, notwithstanding that disability that I'm under, I have to impose a sentence with respect to this individual. It was a carefully premeditated, thoughtful plan

executed between this individual and two others to steal money from another person. This accused stole the bank passbook, got together with two other individuals and planned \sim out how to divide up responsibility and how to extract money from that account. Their plan, after being organized, was in fact carried out. Six hundred dollars was stolen on the sixteenth of May by presenting a forged withdrawal slip signed by this accused; and then on the twenty-second of May, a further nine hundred dollars was to be withdrawn. Thanks to the prompt action of the police here in Yellowknife, the plan was cut short at that point. They waited a few days, almost a week, between presenting the false docu-They divided up responsibility between the three of ments. them, perhaps to deflect suspicion; but I find and I am satisfied that Leo Angotingoar played a preeminent role. was the one that stole the passbook, he is the one that had the knowledge and experience gleaned from past conduct of this nature to come up with the plan and the scheme to steal the other man's money.

One co-accused remains at large, and I am certain he will be before the courts eventually.

Another co-accused has been convicted and was given three months' imprisonment on this offence.

I am satisfied that Counts Two and Three represent one continuing offence and should be dealt with by way of concurrent sentence. It was one plan, one criminal course of conduct manifesting itself in two withdrawals. I speak

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

of it as the offence, it should be dealt with as one.

The other fellow received three months; he, as well, had a criminal record indicating some personal violence and some minor charges of mischief, but nothing of this nature, whereas this accused was convicted in December of 1980 of eighteen charges of forgery. He was convicted in January of 1981 of break, enter and theft, possession of stolen property, and uttering a forged document, and another unrelated one in Eighty-three. In August of 1983, two charges of forgery, for which he received a total of seven I am satisfied that the circumstances, at least as months. I understand them as presented to me, warrant treating this offender a little bit differently than his co-accused. recognize the principle that where possible co-accused should be dealt with in a similar fashion; however, that principle recognizes as well where circumstances are similar -- and I don't believe that the circumstances surrounding each offender here are that similar -- while they may both be of the same age and both have a criminal record, Leo Angotingoar is the accused that knows what's going on. He's the accused that knows how to do this kind of thing.

I make it very clear, I'm not taking his criminal record in aggravation. I look at the record only as a reflection of his experience in the past and his antecedents. There is no mitigation available for him as a result of not having a record. I don't accept it in aggravation.

I've already stated that I believe both these

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

counts should be dealt with as one continuing offence.

I believe a term of imprisonment is obvious. I may be just wasting my breath and the Court Reporter's paper in pointing out to Mr. Angotingoar—I'm sure it's been done in the past—that if you are going to steal from other people, you are going to get caught. There's no way around it here. You'll get caught, and you'll end up in front of a court, and at one point or another may end up being convicted on an offence. At thirty—one, you've spent over a year in jail. I would think time becomes pretty valuable at your age, Leo, and that you would be more interested in spending your time on the street than in the Yellowknife Correction Centre with the teenagers that are in there. I don't think I can honestly and legally do anything else than impose a term of imprisonment.

Crown counsel is suggesting a very significant term of imprisonment, in the range of a year or a year and a half. If I had an answer to the dilemma I posed earlier, perhaps I could be a little more accurate in my sentencing, but attempting to balance the factors I have before me as best I can and taking into account what your counsel has said on your behalf, on Count Two, I'm going to sentence you to a term of imprisonment of seven months; on Count Three, a term of imprisonment of seven months concurrent. With respect to Count One, in addition to imprisonment of seven months concurrent, I'm going to place you on probation for a further six months following your release. During

that term of probation you are to keep the peace and be of good behaviour; secondly, pay restitution to the Clerk of the Court in the amount of two hundred dollars within five months, which will in turn be paid to Mr. Allan Frank.

(AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS CONCLUDED.)

Certified a correct transcript

Edna Thiessen, Court Reporter