IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE MORTHHEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF: HER MAJESTY THE QUFEN - and -DAVID AMEGAINIK Transcript of the oral sentencing delivered by His Honour Judge T.B. Davis, sitting at Cambridge Bay, in the Northwest Territories, Wednesday, March 13th, A.D. APPEARANCES: MR. M. ZIGAYER Counsel for the Crown MR. G. CARTER Counsel for the Defence 

I have accepted the facts that Mr. Amegainik

THE COURT:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

and his wife had gone to some neighbour's residence and were drinking together and returned to their own residence. From an argument that had developed the accused struck his wife and beat her severely and pulled her hair and twisted her arm behind her back. The wife had to wait until the next day to go to the nursing station because the accused would not allow her to leave her residence. After the wife told the accused that she was visiting her mother, she was treated at the nursing station and found to have a number of bruises and other injuries. The victim then was found to have had a broken jaw and a dislocated shoulder and bruises to her face and eyes and other parts of her body. She was taken to Yellowknife for treatment, but was subsequently medivaced to Edmonton because of the seriousness of the dislocated shoulder. The victim also received cuts to the inner part of her mouth and another part of her body and had to wipe up a substantial amount of blood before visiting the nursing I take from the facts as presented that the assault was a very serious one resulting in serious injury to his wife.

The accused comes before the Court for the third time on a similar charge, being assault involving bodily harm. When he and his wife were drinking in the past in 1981 and in 1982 the accused assaulted his wife and caused her bodily harm on those occasions as well. Both the accused and his wife are on the interdict list, or are

interdicted persons in the Northwest Territories, which means they are not allowed to possess or consume alcohol. At this time I want to let people know that those persons who supply liquor to others who are on the interdicted list or are interdicted persons in actual fact participate in the offences to some extent in that they encourage drinking which can result in injury to other persons. Although this in no way reduces the responsibility of the accused, it certainly is something that indicates his friends have not assisted him in trying to stay away from liquor.

Although there was no weapon used in the assault that caused injury, it is obvious that the assault was serious and requires serious consequences by this Court. It is obvious that the accused did not learn to control himself or avoid beating his wife up when he appeared before the Courts on two previous occasions. The Court has the responsibility of now imposing a penalty that will protect the public generally by letting everybody know that they must not commit these offences or they will be punished for them.

Having heard recommendations by Crown and reviewing the cases that have been presented to me this afternoon on this and other cases before me, I feel that it is reasonable for the Court to consider a jail term in the range of nine months as appropriate for the penalty in this instance. I consider nine months to be proper, even knowing that the accused is not a young person, because he is now

forty-one years of age and has a family, two children, one of them being ten years of age. The Court must consider the number of offences of this type that occur in a community and must impose penalties so that people will know that they are to be deterred from committing that similar type of offence. That will be all, then.

Certified a correct transcript,

Jill MacBonals Court Reporter