3

6

1

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

26

27

## IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## IN THE MATTER:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

GERALD MELVIN BOND

Transcript of Proceedings of Facts and Sentencing held before His Honour Judge R. M. BOURASSA, sitting at Hay River in the Northwest Territories on Monday, August 19, A.D. 1985.

## **APPEARANCES:**

MR. J. SUTTON

Counsel for the Crown

MR. S. SHABALA

Counsel for the Defendant

FILED

规5 30 蓝5

HAMY RIMER

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

THE CLERK OF THE COURT: Gerald Melvin Bond. This is a matter for sentencing on break, enter, THE COURT: and committing a sexual assault. 3 You can sit down, Mr. Bond. Mr. Sutton, may I hear the facts. 5 I take it Counsel have received copies of the 6 Presentence Report. MR. SUTTON: Yes, Your Honour. 8 MR. SHABALA: Yes. Any objections to any portions of the Report, THE COURT: Mr. Sutton? 11 MR. SUTTON: 12 I have none, sir. MR. SHABALA: Perhaps--there is a comment with respect to 13 a psychological report being prepared. 14 It's indicated that my client didn't disclose the contents. Unfortunately, he 15 16 doesn't know what those contents are. That's your only comment on the Presentence 17 THE COURT: Report? 18 19 MR. SHABALA: That's correct, sir. THE COURT: The facts, then, Mr. Sutton. MR. SUTTON: 21 On the 2nd of August, 1985, at Hay River, an 22 individual by the name of Gilmore was at a house of the 23 Anglican Pastor. She was housesitting there for him. She 24 was asleep in a basement bedroom. This is at 3:00 in the 25 morning. 26 She heard the doorbell ring and someone knock-

ing at the front door. That woke her up. So it took her a

27

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

few minutes to get up and get going to investigate; but when she went downstairs, she put her housecoat on over her pajamas. That was before she came upstairs to investigate from the basement.

As she was coming upstairs, she heard glass breaking in the basement; so she immediately called the R.C.M. Police. A lone male person, the accused, Gerald Melvin Bond, walked upstairs to where she was and tried to have a conversation with her. She told him that he was not welcome and that he should leave immediately. She told him to get out, and they both walked towards the front door.

At the front door, the accused told her that he wanted to have sexual intercourse with her. She told him again, this time more forcefully, that he had to get out of the house. He grabbed her by the hair and told her to take off her clothes. She didn't do that and was struck in the face by the accused and again told to take off her clothes. Again, she didn't comply, and he hit her again. At this time, he also grabbed the front of her pajamas stating that if she didn't cooperate, he would punch her face in and raised his fist as if to strike another blow. At this point, she was officially threatened and afraid; and she took off her pajama top. He then took off her pajama bottoms and forced her onto the couch.

while on the couch, he caressed her breasts and asked her to perform fellatio on him. She managed to talk him out of that idea, and he performed cunnilingus on her at

that point.

Two Police Officers arrived, saw that the rear basement window had been smashed, and saw a red motorcycle helmut by the window. One Police Officer went to the rear door, and the victim Gilmore came down and opened the door. The Police Officer went upstairs and into the kitchen area where he found the accused, arrested him and placed him in handcuffs, advised him of his right to Counsel. When he was arrested, his zipper was in a down position; but apart from that, he was fully clothed.

Samples of his breath were demanded and given.

The analysis of those samples of his breath were 120 milligrams percent and 120 milligrams percent.

There was no sexual intercourse. The accused gave a statement to the Police indicating that he was aware of what he did and that he broke into the house with the intention of committing a sexual act and then leaving.

Those are the circumstances.

THE COURT: Are those facts admitted as true?

MR. SHABALA: Yes, sir. Just a preliminary matter: In order to protect the complainant in this matter, I would request a ban on publication.

THE COURT:

I can't ban publication of my reasons or sentencing here, unless you're aware of some section of the Code--

MR. SHABALA:

I thought it was already in effect--an Order was previously made by His Honour Judge Halifax.

```
THE COURT:
                      I know of no reason that I can ban publication
       of what is transpiring here today.
2
   MR. SHABALA:
                      Is the Order of His Honour Judge Halifax still
       binding, then?
                      I don't know what Order he made.
   THE COURT:
                      Sir, I'm just looking for a section. It occurs
   MR. SUTTON:
6
       to me that there is one that prevents publication of the
7
      indentity of the victim.
8
   THE COURT:
                      Oh, yes, but not proceedings.
9
   MR. SUTTON:
                      That's as far as it goes, though.
10
   MR. SHABALA:
                      I'd request such an Order be given.
   THE COURT:
                      Are the facts admitted as true?
   MR. SHABALA:
                     Yes, sir.
   THE COURT:
                      Mr. Sutton, what do you say is the Crown's
       position with respect to sentence?
   MR. SUTTON:
                      Your Honour, it's a serious offence in the
16
       Crown's respectful submission. Perhaps, first, I'll give you
17
       a copy of the Record of the accused.
18
19
   MR. SHABALA:
                      The Record is admitted.
20
   THE COURT:
                      Thank you.
21
   MR. SUTTON:
                      It's one of the more serious charges in the
22
       Criminal Code, punishable by life imprisonment: break and
23
       enter into a dwelling house. That would be without the
24
     resulting particular act of this case. In my submission,
25
      it's almost as serious a break and enter as the Court can be
26
       faced with. It's very high, at least, on the scale of
27
       offences as to seriousness of the offence.
```

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

6 7 8

11

10

14

16

15

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

The offender before the Court has a good Presentence Report. To some extent, it's favourable; but he does have a Criminal Record which shows, to some extent, a predisposition to sexual conduct that's unlawful. In my submission, that makes him a threat or danger to society; and he needs to be specifically deterred.

Protection of the public in their homes in the middle of the night from this type of assault is a serious matter for the Court's consideration here; and in my submission, the general deterrence necessary to protect the public from this type of break, entry, and assault is a significant period of incarceration.

Those are my submissions, sir.

MR. SHABALA:

As the Presentence Report indicates, my client is 35 years of age. He has been a drifter for quite some time. He does show remorse, and I understand he was cooperative with the Police in this matter.

He does state that the drinking is the reason for the offence, and he also believes that it would be beneficial also to receive some pschological help.

Since his last appearance in this Court, he nas spent some time at Yellowknife Correctional Centre. I understand he had to be put in a protective cell because the inmates were giving him a hard time. Apparently, this was because of a publication that was in the paper; and the other inmates got wind of it and gave him a hard time.

My client is concerned that he's already 35

years old. He certainly doesn't want this offence to happen again. He's hoping that perhaps—if he is going to spend some time, he would request to pick up a trade in order to further him in that respect and, of course, all the attendant help which could be given to him. He doesn't feel that could be acquired in the Yellowknife Correctional Centre. In this regard, if Your Honour sees fit to impose a jail sentence, you would perhaps make a recommendation that he be sent to Fort Saskatchewan, which, I understand, is an institution to deal with offenders who are serving two years less a day.

Those are my submissions, sir.

T

THE COURT: Did I understand you correctly, Mr. Sutton, that the break and enter was committed with the intention in advance of committing a sexual assault?

MR. SUTTON:

Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Well, it is the Court's duty today to impose a sentence on Gerald Melvin Bond with respect to an offence of break, enter, and committing the indictable offence of sexual assault.

The accused is 35 years of age. In the Presentence Report, it appears he is originally from Nova Scotia. He has drifted around the Country, taking odd jobs here and there and, accordingly, described as a jack-of-all-trades. Alcohol--quoting from the Presentence Report--has been a major problem all his life, and he was drinking at the time of this offence; although, the levels indicated by the Breathalyzer readings of 120 do not indicate gross intoxication.

The accused is before the Court. His previous criminal convictions are of a related nature. In 1977 in Strathmore, Alberta, he was convicted of indecent exposure; and a fine of \$100 was imposed. In 1979 in Quesnel, British Columbia, indecent assault for which he received a prison term of nine months and Probation for a year. In 1982 in Thompson, Manitoba, indecent act; and he was placed on Probation for six months. In 1984 in Whitehorse, making harassing telephone calls for which a fine and Probation was imposed.

The accused, who had formed the intention to commit a sexual assault, broke and entered, in the early hours of the morning, the Anglican Pastor's home, found a woman who was housesitting; and as a result of violence, punching her in the face and threatening her with severe bodily harm, she partially disrobed. He removed the rest of her clothing, placed her on a couch, and sexually assaulted her.

I agree with the Crown that this is one of the worse examples; or at least, it is on that end of the scale of a break and enter.

The Courts and the whole judicial system promise the public that they will protect the public. It is the way that system works, I suppose, that the only way of protecting is by making an example of one individual who is convicted but cannot stop people from committing offences before the offences are committed. There is no provision in

law for the Police simply to arrest someone because they do not like the look of them. The offence has to be committed; and hopefully, by the sentence that is imposed, the public will realize that they will find protection through the Courts and that wrongdoers and offenders will be punished in a meaningful way or sentenced, I should say, in a meaningful way that may deter them as well as deter others.

In this case, we have the combination of the sole, all-too-frequent crimes in the Northwest Territories: break and enter, sexual assault, and the consumption of alcohol.

In this case, a totally innocent woman was in the wrong place at the wrong time. I am not attributing any fault whatsoever to her. Awakened 3:00 in the morning and sexually assaulted. I think the Court has to have that kind of person in mind when it imposes sentence, that that kind of person will be protected, that people can sleep safely in their homes at night without fear of this kind of thing happening.

It has been a long, old saw in our legal system that a person's home is their castle; and there is a certain sanctity to the home. There is also a certain sanctity to one's personal integrity; and in this instance, the accused not only violated the sanctity of the home but he certainly and grossly violated the integrity and sanctity of the victim that was involved. To be subjected to such indecencies and violence is totally unacceptable.

The accused has a history of sexual offences. It would strike me--and I am only speculating because I have nothing from Counsel in this regard--that he has a problem that could possibly be addressed; but be that as it may, the public has to be protected. Women like this particular victim have to be protected, and they have to see that the Courts are making the efforts to protect them.

The accused has had the opportunity in the past to recognize any problems he may have with respect to sexual desires and acting out.

There was premeditation in this particular case. There was violence in the commission of the assault.

I accept in mitigation that the accused has pleaded guilty at virtually the first available instance. The offence occurred on the 2nd of August. He was apprehended on that date. He was before the Court on the 6th of August and pleaded guilty at that time. The matter was simply adjourned to today's date for a Presentence Report. His remorse and early plea of guilty certainly goes to mitigation. It has relieved the victim of the ordeal of testifying in both a Preliminary Inquiry and a Trial; although, I have to note that it would appear that he was caught virtually in the act by the Members of the R.C.M. Police. It appears that he was almost inescapably caught.

I do not want to impose a sentence that is going to crush the accused. I am aware and cognizant of a number of decisions of the Supreme Court of the Northwest

Territories in dealing with sexual assaults involving intercourse and the sentences that have been imposed in some of those instances. Of course, the primary offence here is that of break, enter, and the indictable offence of sexual assault. There was violence involved as we have already noted.

The accused is not a Northerner. He is reasonably sophisticated. He has been around the Country. Alcohol was involved; but as I indicated earlier, there is nothing before me that would indicate it was so involved as to reduce any inhibitions he may have to the point of non-existence. The victim was not involved with alcohol. The accused has been cooperative with the Police. Generally speaking, the Presentence Report is reasonably positive.

I am of the view that a significant term of imprisonment is called for. The only thing that remains in my mind is that women have to be secure in their knowledge that they can sleep in their homes at night without someone breaking in and beating them up for the purposes of sexual gratification.

Stand up, please, Mr. Bond.

On this charge, I am going to sentence you to four-years Federal penitentiary. I will endorse the Warrant with the recommendation that you be considered eligible for treatment with respect to any sexual problems you may have.

That is all.

Certified a Correct Transcript:

Margaret Andruniak