26

27

IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

FRANK JOHN NADARY

Transcript of Proceedings of an Oral Judgment given by His Honour Judge R. W. HALIFAX, sitting at Fort Smith in the Northwest Territories on Thursday, August 1, A.D. 1985.

APPEARANCES:

MS. N. BOILLAT

Counsel for the Crown

MR. J. VERTES

Counsel for the Defendation

FILED

AUG 6 1985

HAY RIVER

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

19.

THE COURT: In sentencing, we have two matters before

the Court: break, enter, and theft, which involves a dwelling house carrying a maximum penalty of life imprisonment, although not a serious break and enter; the other matter is one of sexual assault some three days later, which in the circumstances before the Court is no doubt the most serious offence of the two. I should say firstly that it is my view that a jail term is necessary in these circumstances; but at the same time, the totality of the sentences must be taken into consideration.

Firstly, with regard to the break and enter: It occurred some five days after the accused was released from custody after serving a sentence for the same offence of break, enter, and theft. From April 19, 1983, up to and including the offence of today's date of break and enter, this is the fourth conviction for break and enter, just over two years. There are also some other property offences with regard to automobiles. As well, the accused was on Probation at the time this offence occurred. Those things have to be taken into consideration and, as I say, five days after he was released from doing a three-month term for a similar offence. With regard to that charge, it seems to me as well that it should be one for which consecutive sentences should be involved between the two offences.

Now, there has been an agreed Statement of Facts with regard to the sexual assault which, in my view, raises some serious questions: the age of the victim, the

nature and circumstances surrounding the offence, the threats of death, and the fact that a weapon was used by the accused. Noboday can say and knows what the long-term results on the 13-year old victim will be, particularly when this is her first sexual experience and is of the nature as set out in the agreed Statement of Facts. I think one can expect from experience that there is no doubt going to be negative results.

Now, the accused has just turned 18. There is no doubt that the background from which he comes has been a great deal less than satisfactory. It is a sad situation. It is not just him in the family; there are others in the family that suffer borderline or retarded growth or development, both intellectually and in regard to relationships. There has no doubt been continued alcohol abuse in the family. They live in substandard housing. There has been a transition from a more land based type of environment to a community environment. Of course, some of the skills have been lost with regard to the land; and it is unfortunate that that is the situation this young person comes from.

On the other hand, however, it becomes obvious from the Reports that although at times the accused indicated verbally he would like to try doing something to get ahead, the Reports indicate that he does not make any effort. Of course, that may be as a result of his borderline intelligence; but at some point, it seems to me that the continued alcohol abuse, granted, has not given the accused

19.

a chance; and maybe he has not had, at least inside the family, any role models of which to follow which would get him out of what this family has got into: a rut. On the other hand, just because somebody comes from that type of background, it is my view that the public has to be protected, particularly women being subject to sexual assaults; and I must say women of any age are entitled to protection from our society and from our system of criminal justice with regard to sexual assaults and assaults upon their person.

What is even more aggravating is the age and the circumstances of this victim and the threats and the use of a weapon. In fact, the only way it ended was when the victim completely became hysterical after almost three-quarters of an hour.

In this situation, it is my view that the Court's sentence must be such to be not only a deterrent to this accused but to anybody else who may be of like-mind. It also must contain and be of such nature to show the repugnance society has for this type of offence; and in fact, in my view, the public protection becomes a major consideration in this type of offence.

Now, it is not as if the accused is a first offender. He does have a criminal record; although, there is no previous indication on his criminal record of any violence or such offences. They have been property offences and offences under the Liquor Act for drinking under age, basically.

Having said all of that, I must say that it is most difficult to decide what the totality of the sentence should be. On the one hand, I do not want to make it so onerous that any possible rehabilitation of the accused would be crushed and extinguished; but I must say that the rehabilitation of the accused is not a major consideration, in my view, in this type of offence. There is no doubt that the Courts have said over and over again that deterrence and protection of the public in these type of circumstances should be of more concern. Hopefully, during the term of incarceration, some assistance can be given to the accused to assist him in reentering society to become a useful citizen. It seems to me for that to be anywhere close to any minor success at all, it takes some effort from the accused, which has not been shown in the past.

However, as I have already said, I take into consideration the disadvantaged background he comes from. He was under the influence of alcohol, and that is another factor to be considered, although not to be treated as an excuse. I almost get the feeling from the Reports that, at times, alcohol becomes more of an excuse to the accused because it is used. Again, though, because of his borderline intelligence and his background, maybe much more cannot be expected.

With regard to this matter, I should also raise the case of \underline{R} . versus Beaulieu, which Mr. Justice Marshall in the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories

has dealt with just recently: a sexual assault from Fort Resolution. That accused had a previous criminal record, and a sentence of four years was given. There was some minor violence involved but, surely, nothing of this nature and no weapon involved. It seems to me that is an indication from what I have noted in the last year or two that sentences with regard to sexual offences seem to be getting a little lengthier.

Having said all that, that is my view; and I have taken this into consideration in trying to decide what is a fit and proper sentence.

With regard to the break, enter, and theft-considering the last sentence was one of three months, and
this happened within five days of release--I sentence the
accused to six-months imprisonment.

With regard to the offence of sexual assault contrary to Section 246.2, during the commission of which was used a weapon, I can only visualize the terror that this young girl has gone through. It seems to me a sentence has to be sufficient in length, as I have said, to show the repugnance of society plus deterrence and protection of the public.

Taking into consideration Mr. Justice Marshall's decision on the <u>Beaulieu</u> case--although that accused was somewhat older than this accused, it seems to me, in the circumstances, this case is in a lot of ways more aggravating although in some ways not so bad; but considering the aggra-

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

.

19-

19-

vating circumstances in both cases, it seems to me this one may be a little worse. Considering the accused's age, I see no reason why the sentence should be much different.

I therefore sentence the accused on the sexual assault to four-years imprisonment to be served consecutively.

I would make the recommendation that the accused receive the benefit of any programs that can be made available to him to assist him in his reentry into society.

That will be all, Mr. Nadary.

Certified a Correct Transcript:

Margaret Andruniak Court Reporter

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284