IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

and -

PETER LEE

Transcript of the Remarks on Sentencing delivered by His Honour Judge R. M. Bourassa, in Frobisher Bay, in the Northwest Territories, on April 9th, A.D. 1985.

APPEARANCES:

D. GATES, Esq.:

Counsel for the Crown.

J. BOVARD, Esq.:

Counsel for the Defence.

IUL OF 1985 THE TOTAL COURT OF THE PROPERTY OF

27

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

MR. BOVARD: I am prepared to hear your sentence, Your Honour.

THE COURT: Are there any further comments from counsel? MR. GATES: No, sir, other than that Miss Boillat, who I believe appeared before Your Honour at the time of sentencing on the last circuit, simply indicated when I spoke with her about this, the only additional comment she would wish to make was a suggestion to the Court that the warrant of committal might make some reference to the need for some psychiatric services being made available to Mr. Lee.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Gates.

I have considered the matter to some length. I have gone over the presentence report that's been prepared, as well as the medical reports that were attached to it. It would be appropriate for me to make some findings on that matter as it was argued strenuously by counsel. I have also considered the cases referred to me by counsel.

Briefly, the facts involve Peter Lee attempting sexual intercourse on a child, a four-year old child. Surely, children, even in less than an ideal world, can look to adults for guidance and stability and direction, for succour and protection. This little girl looked up and found the accused virtually climbing onto her for sexual gratification. It is obvious from the facts that were entered in on the sentencing hearing, as well as the medical reports that have

been brought forward that there was some penetration.

This child was injured and was certainly uncomfortable, as a result, for a period of time. Hopefully, at that young age, this incident will disappear into the fog of lost memories and have no further impact on her life as years go by.

I am prepared to find that the young girl contracted gonorrhoea from the accused. I have gone over the medical report a number of times, and I conclude that the doctor's conclusion, and I'm quoting:

"...it makes it highly unlikely that she could have contracted gonorrhoea from someone other than Peter."

fits within the very small area of doubt that's left over. After being satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt, I accept and I find that not only did he attempt sexual intercourse with her, but as a result of his actions, she contracted gonorrhoea.

Again, thankfully, there is apparently no permanent injury or trauma related to that disease. The gonorrhoea, itself, appeantly was cured, and the doctors are of the opinion that there will be no lasting repercussions, such as sterility.

Needless to say, it's a horrible thing to visit on a child of four years old. It is, unfortunately, something that the Courts have had to deal with on occasions in the past.

I am grateful to counsel for providing me with a number of cases that are somewhat related to this matter, by way of elucidating principles for the guidance of this Court.

The aggravating factors in this case are that, firstly, Peter Lee was in Loco Parentis with the child; that is to say, he was an individual, whether by blood or by habit, that this young child looked to as being in a parental or guiding role. He was left to babysit this child, which makes the situation, in my view and in the view of the Courts past, very aggravating.

Next, the accused comes from a very sad background.

The Court is now dealing with a man who has been virtually in and out of jails for his whole adult life. He has numerous convictions, better than 20, which include all of the, although I hate to use the word "normal" offences that one finds, such as break and enter and mischief and matters such as that, but it also includes a number of offences for assault: dangerous use of a firearm; possession of narcotics.

This accused person is not like many who have come before the Court for sexual offences, who were apparently acting out of character or acting in a way that is not particularly compatible with their antecedents. This accused, from the presentence report -- and it's obvious from his criminal record -- has been on one unending cycle

of antisocial conduct, for years.

In offences such as this matter, with the facts that are before me, a denunciatory sentence is called for.

The law makes that very clear. The law also makes it clear that the sentence imposed by the Court should not be one that crushes the accused, and there should always be a hope of rehabilitation and reformation. However, having said that and after hearing the facts and looking at the accused's presentence report and his record, I am of the view that at this point in Mr. Lee's career, the Court must be concerned about the protection of the public. It is one thing to commit a break and enter at the Bay or one of the other common targets. It is something totally different in terms of antisocial conduct to commit an offence against a small child, such as I've heard.

I note that in the Northwest Territories sentences for what used to be called rape, now sexual assault, in circumstances where there is absolutely no violence, in circumstances where the victim is more often than not unconscious because of the consumption of intoxicants, sentences of 18 months to two years are common. Should the sentence for this offender be any different? Is not the sexual assault, forced attempted intercourse with a four-year old child, meritorious, if I can use that word, of something more significant than a two year imprisonment? I believe it is!

I have gone over the cases provided me by counsel.

I recognize that there are a number of cases which have disposed of incest related offences with less than a penitentiary term. However, in many of those instances, the problems are within the family, the problems are unique, they don't seem to represent an ongoing continuing antisocial conduct in the offender, such as we have here today. Surely the Courts, in saying that offences against children must be responded to by denunciatory sentences, they mean sentences more severe than that handed out to an adult when an adult victim is involved.

With respect to the accused's reformation and rehabilitation, I am content to leave that to the administrative boards and agencies that are set up within the corrections system. And that aspect of sentencing has very little role and very little weight, in my consideration. I don't think there is anything the Court can do by way of reduction of sentence that will achieve such reformation.

I am of the view that the Court must respond to this case in a very firm fashion and one that is clearly understood by all, no matter how unfortunate their antecedents, that they can't start assaulting four-year old children.

In addition to the cases provided me by counsel, I have also considered the case of John Samuel Ogilvie, a recent decision of my brother, Judge Halifax, in Hay River, which involved sexual assault within a family setting.

With respect to the gonorrhoea, having, of course,

ruled that I am satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that this accused transmitted the disease to the victim, I must ask myself what do I do with that information, that fact. While one is tempted to say that that is an aggravating factor, and in some ways, I suppose it is -- but standing beside the actual act, alone, it's of little consequence. The act, itself, by itself, is so insidious, it can stand alone as the most aggravating factor and doesn't require any further complications in terms of arriving at the sentence, such as the contraction of gonorrhea. Of course, had the small child been injured, seriously injured, or had the disease taken its toll in terms of permanent, damage, then, by all means, that would be an aggravating factor.

I have attempted to balance all of the circumstances as best I can. I have sought, in vain, for justification or for some spark of hope, which would provide some mitigation against a severe sentence, but I can find nothing. I can only express the hope that the endorsement on the warrant will be acted upon by those in authority and that somehow the accused will respond, failing which there is very little hope for him.

Once again, I can only say that the offence is a terrible one and is totally and absolutely unacceptable. The response by the Courts to offences such as this must be no, no, a thousand times no!

Stand up, please, Mr. Lee. On this charge, I am going

to sentence you to 30 months imprisonment in Federal Penitentiary.

I should also note that I will endorse the warrant, strongly recommending that he be given psychiatric counselling as may be required.

(REMARKS ON SENTENCING CONCLUDED)

Certified a correct transcript,

Debora I. Chipperfield, Court Reporter.