IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

A. A.

Transcript of the oral sentencing delivered by His Honour Judge T.B. Davis, sitting at Coral Harbour, in the Northwest Territories, Thursday, June 27th, A.D. 1985.

APPEARANCES:

MS. L. ERICKSON

MR. M. BLANCHFLOWER Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the

27

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

THE COURT: W A. A admits that between the 15th day of December, 1984 and the 28th day of February, 1985, at Coral Harbour, in the Northwest Territories, he committed sexual assault on E A , his step-daughter, and therefore

violated Section 246.1 of the Criminal Code.

The accused admits that sometime in December, again in January and again in February of 1985, December being of 1984, of course, he had been drinking and was alone with his fifteen year old step-daughter when he asked her if she would participate in sexual activities with him, at all times in his residence. The first time she took off her panties. There was no force used, and the accused did not believe he used any threats. The second time he pulled off her pants, and she did resist and pushed him away. And the third time she again resisted by trying to push him away after he had had relations.

MS. ERICKSON: Sir, with respect, I believe the resistance only occurred on that last time.

MR. BLANCHFLOWER: For the pushing away.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Yes, the pushing away had only occurred on the third occasion. The second occasion she had indicated that she wanted him to stop.

The accused has been somewhat hesitant to discuss the matter with anybody, other than the social worker and the Police, to whom he gave a statement, and therefore is, I presume, a rather quiet person about his own attitudes and beliefs. However, he did today in public at this Court apologize

for having committed these offences, both to the public and to his family, and I take his apologies to be sincere and note that that would indicate to me that there is remorse shown by the accused.

when the accused had been drinking and that he recognizes that it is wrong to assault any other person. The accused comes before the Court as a person who has a very active background of good employment and has has a high level of income for a period of years, which he used to support his family. He is well thought of within the community, being the Chairman of the local Co-op, a Justice of the Peace and a Coroner in the community and has had until recently had a job as manager of the Housing Association, from which he was released from his job for other reasons.

matters, the pre-sentence report indicates that Mr. A
may not be a very suitable person to be placed on probation
and to be directed to take counselling. But he has expressed
through counsel that he is interested in taking counselling
and that he would be interested, therefore, in being placed
on probation. The report also indicates that Mr. A is
probably feeling some guilt and that he recognizes the
seriousness of the matter and is concerned about his appearance
in Court today and the penalties that could be imposed.

It is very natural that Mr. A would be concerned about the penalty to be imposed, because sentencing

 for sexual assaults are some of the most difficult cases that the Court must consider. The sentences range from a suspended sentence in special circumstances, as we dealt with this morning in Coral Harbour, to a number of years in jail.

a sever year jail term for a sexual assault. The Territorial Court in the Northwest Territories within the last two months had sentenced one man to nine months in jail on one sexual assault on a person in his care as a parent, because it was a step-daughter, and nine further months for a second similar offence that occurred within a relatively short period of time, and then one further period of jail for one year on a similar offence on the same thirteen year old step-daughter when the matter came before the Court in Hay River. This case has now been upheld by the N.W.T. Appeal Court.

Other cases that have been referred to me by counsel today have shown that the Appeal Court in Alberta indicates that ordinarily sexual assaults and incest offences require a period of time in jail so as to express the community and society's abhorrence for these matters and to denounce the acts. The Court also has suggested that in addition to a jail term there should be some consideration for the rehabilitation of the accused so as to avoid offences in the future. Many of the other cases that have been decided by the Appeal Court in Alberta and by trial Courts in Alberta and the Northwest Territories have referred to the Alberta Court of Appeal decision and recognize that the policy being expressed therein of a jail term plus some rehabilitative

sentence is an appropriate thing in most instances.

In the case of The Queen v. Richardson, reported in 6 Nova Scotia Reports, the Nova Scotia Court of Appeal imposed a three-year jail sentence on a father who had relations with his daughter and followed the principles as set out in sentencing in the Morrissette case of 1971. The Manitoba Court of Appeal in 1979 in The Queen v. Savage indicated that a forty-five year old widower who had regular sex with his two daughters for a three-year period when they were between twelve and fifteen years of age should be imprisoned for a three year period. In March of 1985, the case that I referred to by the Nova Scotia Court of Appeal was The Queen v. Gagoler. The father and also an uncle of two young girls, who were victims, with no criminal record but was of borderline intelligence was placed in jail for five years on those offences. In Frobisher Bay recently under Supreme Court 2660 in the Northwest Territories Supreme Court in The Queen v. Wally Shoviga Mr. Justice Boilard imposed eighteen months in jail for a sexual assault.

Courts have also gone in a much more lenient way on special circumstances, as I have expressed, because Mr. Justice Marshall, after hearing substantial evidence in the case where a thirty-five year old man sexually assaulted his sixteen year old step-daughter while he was intoxicated by pulling her legs apart and putting his hand on her vagina and who was appearing before the Court because he had one previous assault charge on the same daughter and a number of

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

 other liquor offences, gave him only three months in jail and two year's probation, because members of the Native community in which he lived indicated that they wanted to help the accused. The Snare Lake community seemed to be substantially in support of his rehabilitation.

The Appeal Court in Alberta recently in Appeal Court D-7510-03, November of 1983, had allowed a ninety day jail term to be served intermittently when a father of a young person had felt her breasts and pubic area over a four year period before she left home at the age of sixteen. They felt that a denunciatory sentence was appropriate even though he was the breadwinner in the family, although they kept the sentence to a minimum on that occasion because they felt that it was unnecessary to cause any further family disruption.

Therefore, the range of cases that have been decided is such that I must resort to the general principles of sentencing, which include as the major factor the protection of the public, and that includes protection of young people as well as children within a family setting. The sentence that the Court must consider has to be severe enough that people will be deterred or stopped or influenced to stop, at least, from committing similar offences and must be severe enough that the accused himself will understand the seriousness of them and also be deterred from committing them again. But in balancing those needs, the Court must also consider the actual circumstances of the accused himself and how much is necessary in order to have the accused avoid any offences in

the future. In taking that into consideration, the Court is allowed to review the background of the accused, his present circumstances, his attitude and other matters that might influence the Court in determining what is necessary specifically to assist in not only ensuring that the accused does not commit offences, but to ensure that he can have some benefit from any rehabilitation that he is prepared to participate in, as well as the effect that such will have on his family.

Noting the background of the accused and his involvement with the community, the fact that he has today apologized to the community and to his family publicly in Court and recognizing that the sentence today and the appearance in Court and the conviction today probably will substan+ tially change his entire way of life in the future and, therefore, will be a long lasting effect on him, I feel that I can probably impose a shorter jail term than otherwise would be expected for this offence. I think I can, therefore, fall within the lesser time or middle ground, at least, required by the Appeal Court in Alberta in the case of R. v. R.P.T. and R. v. T.S. as a combined case reported in 1983 7 Canadian Criminal Cases (3d) at page 109. I do, however, feel that I must obey that direction and policy of the Court and in addition to a short jail term impose terms in the form of a probation order so that the accused will do as he has indicated he is interested in doing, that is, through counse! indicated that he is interested in taking counselling and try

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3

.

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

25

56

47

to rehabilitate his state and reassemble himself.

Therefore, I believe that a period of jail of nine months at this time would be sufficient for the penalty of the accused. I am going to place him on probation for a period of one year following his release from jail and require that he report to the probation officer as directed and that he participate in any counselling services recommended by the probation officer. Are there any matters that were suggested to me with regard to rehabilitation and probation that I did not cover in those two items?

MR. BLANCHFLOWER: I submit the length of the sentence is a very rehabilitative factor, Your Honour.

THE COURT:

Thank you. Any other matters?

MS. ERICKSON:

Sir, my concern was counselling, which has been

done.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. A

ou. Mr. A , you have heard what I

have suggested here and why I have made what I consider to be the lowest possible time in jail available. Are you prepared to obey the terms of the probation order and take counselling if it is directed and available? All right. The Clerk will be preparing the probation order for your signature and will review it with you and review the effect of the Criminal Code on the probation order.

Certified a correct transcript,

Jill MacDonald, court Reporter.