## IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

vs

RODGER T. GRUBEN

---Before HIS HONOUR JUDGE T.B. DAVIS at Tuktoyaktuk,
Northwest Territories, on October 24th, 1984.

## APPEARANCES:

J.D. SUTTON

Counsel for the

YELLOWKNIFE

The accused appeared in person unrepresented by counse

His Honour's remarks on imposition of sentence

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

3 4 5

6

7

Ů

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Mr. Rodger Gruben has pleaded guilty to two charges, admitting that on the 23rd of July, 1984, at Aklavik, during a dance that was taking place, he became involved in a disturbance, and participated in the disturbance by fighting at the dance and, therefore, violating Section 17l of the Criminal Code, and he admits that following the dance, while being arrested by a police officer, he assaulted the officer by striking him, contrary to Section 246(1) of the Criminal Code.

It was early in the morning when the police were called to the dance because of the disturbance of three persons, one being the accused, who were then taken by the police vehicle to the police office and put under arrest. The accused was the third person to be involved with the fight because he observed that a relative of his was being struck by another person and, as a result of that, he became involved in the scuffle. When the accused was taken to the police office, he refused to remove his belt and refused also to go to the cells when requested and, upon being approached by the police officer, struck the officer and caused him to have a cut over his eye.

The accused himself feels that at the time the circumstances were such that he was not as culpable maybe as it might appear by the record of the facts as presented.

Crown Counsel has properly pointed out that at

E BOOK

10

16

17

18

21

23

24

26

27

various public functions fights do arise and disturbances do occur, and it is often appropriate to impose a fine on persons involved in fights because that might be, sufficient to cause them not to become involved in similar offences in the future, and it will show others that if they do become involved in disturbances that they might receive some penalties from the court. often are sufficient penalties to be imposed. The Crown has suggested, however, with regard to the assault on the police officer, the Court must recognize the difficulty of police jobs and the difficulty they have in enforcing the law for the protection of the public, because of the circumstances they sometimes find themselves in, and when coming upon a crowd in a public area where there is drinking, it sometimes is very difficult for the police to function without the assist-When persons are arrested and taken ance of the public. to the cells, they must submit themselves to the lawful authority of the police officers. That is necessary under the Criminal Code because that is the only way that the administration of justice can be controlled, and when persons refuse to do that, it is proper that they be charged with refusing to take directions by police officers, and if they resist and strike the officer, that they be charged.

In this case, the accused had lost his temper and struck the police officer, who had to force him into

the cell.

Faving heard Mr. Gruben, I am satisfied he understands the situation, and that the public would be protected because Mr. Gruben knows he is not to get involved in these types of offences in the future, and will not do so. I am also satisfied that sufficient penalties to be imposed would be fines rather than what is ordinarily considered necessary with regard to assaults on police officers and, as a result, I am prepared to impose fines today rather than requiring him to go to jail.

On the first offence, causing a disturbance, I am going to impose a fine in the amount of \$100.00, or in default thereof, 5 days in jail. On the second offence, I am going to recognize that is a more serious offence because of the fact that it involves a police officer who has the very difficult responsibility of enforcing the law, and on that charge I am going to impose a \$200.00 fine, and I am going to place the accused on probation. Because of his involvement in the community in the past, I am sure he can participate in some community service work. I am going to require that the accused perform 25 hours of community service work to the satisfaction of the probation officer. I am just wondering if, under the situation in the community, you might wish to have that work supervised by someone other than the Probation

27

26

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

24

25

27

Officer.

MISS LOUIE: I have somebody who can do that.

THE COURT: It may be a little awkward for somebody to take directions from another. Instead of saying, to the satisfaction of the Probation Officer, I will say, to the satisfaction of Social Services?

MISS LOUIE: Yes.

THE COURT: To the satisfaction of the Social Services

Department. That will mean somebody other than the ordinary Probation Officer.

Does that make sense, Mr. Gruben?

THE ACCUSED: Yes.

MR. SUTTON: What is the period of probation?

THE COURT: For 6 months, and the work to be performed within 3 months.

Mr. Gruben, the Clerk will be preparing a Probation Order that you will be required to sign. Do you understand that?

THE ACCUSED: Yes.

THE COURT: A \$200.00 fine, and in default, 10 days - 3 months in both instances for time to pay the fines.

Certified correct

(G. Mitchell - CSR RPR - Court Reporter)