IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

RONALD JOSEPH PAYNE

Transcript of the Oral Sentencing Delivered by His Honour Judge T. B. Davis, sitting at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on Thursday, March 28th, A.D., 1985.

APPEARANCES:

MR. N. SHARKEY:

MS. L. ERICKSON:

Counsel for

Counsel for the Defence

THE COURT:

Mr. Ronald Joseph Payne appears before the court as a twenty-two year old resident of Yellowknife and admits that on October the 27th of 1983, while he was in Hay River, he and another person who had been drinking for some number of hours before the offence occurred broke and entered the Red Rooster Convenient Store at Hay River with intent to commit an offence. He therefore violated Section 306(1) of the Criminal Code when they entered that building through a rear air vent.

The co-accused was a young man who had no previous criminal record and was at least two years younger in age than the accused, and he received a suspended sentence for the similar offence.

Mr. Payne comes before the court in completely different circumstances because even though his co-accused had been the instigator or the person who suggested that the offence should occur, Mr. Payne has a substantial record which includes a number of periods of times in jail between 1979 and 1983.

Break and enter, of course, is still a very serious matter and has: .. a maximum jail term available of fourteen I think Mr. Payne is in a rather special set of circumstances which makes it very difficult for the court to know what would be an appropriate sentence today because he is today being dealt with on a charge that occurred There is no doubt in my mind that if I were to $^{+}$ in 1983. have dealt with it in 1983 I would have had to consider a

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

3

10

11

12 13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

26

very long period of time in jail, because it was not a long delay between other offences which were dealt with in March of 1983 and the offence before the court.

Those are not the circumstances in which he appears before me today after having stayed out of trouble and not had any convictions registered against him for now over a year and a half or possibly more, because the last conviction was in March of 1983, and he has proven that his change in circumstances has caused him to have become more concerned about his own rehabilitation. It appears that his association with his common-law wife and the birth of a child, who is nine months of age must have caused Mr. Payne to mature substantially because he is showing concern for his family and seems to have improved in his own self-worth and therefore has accomplished what the courts often are hoping for when sentences are imposed to deter people from committing offences and as well to hope that their rehabilitation is of some benefit to society.

The Tree of Peace and the Broomball Association, both organizations in Yellowknife, have indicated that Ronald Payne has been involved with sports and with taking counselling over the past two years, and he seems to have matured into a fine young man who is involved and willing to participate in worthwhile projects.

I also can take into account the fact that while a person is waiting for a charge to be dealt with by a

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

1 2

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

26

court it is emotionally a strain because one never knows what to expect and that also must have been a burden carried by the accused since October of 1983. Therefore, I think it is appropriate that the court can consider some benefit to the accused for the delay that has occurred especially since the delay has caused him to have reconsidered his whole way of life and has possibly assisted in his own acceptance of responsibility for both himself and his family and what appears to have been straightening out of his life.

I think the expression by Defence counsel, Miss

Erickson, that the record does not accurately reflect the

present character of the accused is one that I should

take special note of because even though the accused has

a record, it doesn't appear to show the present circumstances.

of the accused and therefore, I believe that I must put

less emphasis on the fact that the accused had been in

trouble before since there does appear to have been a

substantial change in his attitude and his way of life.

Therefore, I now come to the point of trying to determine what would be an appropriate sentence taking all those matters into account for the benefit of the accused, and although I agree with Crown that the matter is a serious matter and ordinarily is one that would require a substantial period of time in jail, considering the fact that the accused has a record, I feel those special circumstances that he is in does qualify him for

much, much less then in the form of a penalty than might otherwise be considered to the extent that I am prepared to impose less than three months in jail so that the accused could serve his time intermittently at a convenience to himself and therefore allow him to still maintain his family and maintain his employment if suitable times can be worked out.

I do want Mr. Payne to know, however, that he is getting this special consideration and what I feel to be special consideration because he himself seems to have made the change in his own life and he is deserving of some concern and consideration by the court on that basis.

Miss Erickson, what do you think as to intermittent times serving Friday evening until Monday morning?

ERICKSON: That would be fine, sir.

THE COURT:

a jail term on the accused in the amount of, I suppose it would be convenient to put it about eighty days. That is going to be less for sure than the term required in the Criminal Code as a maximum of niney. Eighty days in jail to be served intermittently. So that the accused will be required to report to the Correctional Centre in Yellowknife on Friday evenings at 7 p.m., and remain until 7 a.m. on Monday mornings until such time as his sentence is served. He will be required to attend then commencing on March the 29th, 1985. Mr. Payne, you understand that a you have to attend each weekend and you have to be in a

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

proper state, completely sober, to serve the time. I don't feel that it is necessary for me to at this time place the accused on probation because I think he is making substantial efforts at his own rehabilitation and that it would be unnecessary for the court to--

MR. SHARKEY: Sir, there is a probation requirement with that period of incarceration.

THE COURT: Yes. The accused will be on probation for the period that is required for his attendance at jail, but there will be no special reporting conditions other than his attendance to serve his term. Mr. Payne, you will be required to stay in the building until such time as the clerk has the probation order available for your signature and you know that while you are on probation during that time it is a criminal offence to disobey any terms of the order. You must keep the peace and be of good behavior and report to the court as required, and also obey the special terms and that is reporting for incarceration on weekends. Do you understand that?

MS. ERICKSON: Yes, thank you, sir.

THE CLERK: Your Honour, what happened to the Section 306(1)(b) charge?

THE COURT: Mr. Prosecutor, I understand that for lack of prosecution on the second charge that you wish to have that matter dismissed?

MR. SHARKEY: Yes, one thing at a time. With respect to the 306 charge, there is no evidence.

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

THE COURT:

Alright, thank you.

----RECESS FOLLOWS.

point.

(UPON RESUMING AFTER A BRIEF ADJOURNMENT.)

THE CLERK:

Ronald Joseph Payne.

MR. SHARKEY: Thank you, sir. This matter is brought on before you. You will note that the sentence you imposed just shortly before we opened court just now was a sentence that the accused commence his sentence on Friday, March the 29th. I believe it is my friend's application to have that changed to commence on April the 19th. From the Crown's point of view we don't have any objection to that nor do I take issue if the court is functus at this point. The warrant of committal is not issued at this

MS. ERICKSON: Sir, I'm sorry. I omitted to say before as was in the letter from the Broomball Association, they are having the National Championships during the time of April the 9th to the 15th which cuts into one of the weekends that Mr. Payne was to have been serving. They still would like it if he could go to those championships and after discussing it with my friend it would appear that the easiest way to change the order would be simply to have the sentence start April 19th when he would be back.

THE COURT:

I have no difficulty in allowing that. I

think the Crown is being reasonable with not having any
objection to the time of the commencement of the serving of
the sentence at this time, and we can amend the time to

-

.

commence serving--

is simply your endorsement on the warrant of committal and endorsement on the back of the information prior to final endorsement that you vary the time of the commencement of the sentence. That is the first thing it involves. Secondly, technically it is an application to vary the probation order and simply change the time on the probation order. Again, we consent to that variation. We suspect that in any event the jail would somehow through their temporary absence system make it so that he could go.

THE COURT: That is what I was relying on previously, and the Crown had suggested that that would be the proper way to deal with it.

MR. SHARKEY: I don't see that there is any sense for us to object to it.

THE COURT: We will put on the probation order, Madam Clerk, we will put that the probation order, that the accused will commence serving his sentence on April the 19th, 1985, at 7 o'clock.

THE CLERK: The probation order is attached to the information, Your Honour. I assume the warrant for committal is attached also.

THE COURT: The probation order and the warrant then will be prepared in the form that shows it as commencing Friday, and I will amend the March 29th date to April 19th, 1985. I will initial this and I may have Mr. Payne also

12 13

15

16 17

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

initial it here.

MR. SHARKEY: You have an original warrant of committal sir which has been given out to the court officer. is the one that should be initialled.

Yes, I was initialling the probation THE COURT: order. Do you wish to have that one destroyed and replaced, or do you wish to have it amended?

MR. SHARKEY: Since it is not finalized, yes. If it has been finalized and signed, we will just amend it.

Alright, I will make the amendment. THE COURT:

Perhaps, as well, it might be a matter of MR. SHARKEY: convenience. Let me consult with the police officer and see what is easier with her. As long as it is clear, sir, on the amended copy. I don't think, sir, we are dealing with anything of substance here. As long as the jail understands clearly when he is supposed to start his time.

Maybe you could just check that amended THE COURT: form then, Mr. Sharkey, and see if it is suitable, and if not, we can have a new one typed up if you prefer it. Madam Clerk, will you have the accused initial that.

That is good enough, sir. MR. SHARKEY:

THE COURT: If the copies of the probation order have not been distributed, we can amend all the copies as well. Mr. Paybe probably already has his copy; and it should be amended. Madam Clerk, I can initial his as well if he would like.

. I think that that does complete matters MR. SHARKEY:

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

then with Mr. Payne.

THE COURT:

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Thank you.

MS. ERICKSON:

Thank you, sir.

(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

Certified a correct transcript,

Laurie Ann Young

Court Reporter