IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

EDWIN GEORGE ERUTSE

Transcript of Proceedings held before His Honour Judge T: B. Davis, sitting at Fort Good Hope, in the Northwest Territories, on Wednesday, November 14th, A.D. 1984.

APPEARANCES:

MR. N. SHARKEY

Counsel for the Crown

MR. J. VERTES

Counsel for the Defence

(Facts, submissions and sentencing)



N.W.T. 5348-80/0284

2

3

5 6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

1 THE CLERK:

THE COURT:

Edwin George Erutse.

2

MR. VERTES: I appear for Mr. Erutse, Your Honour. We're

prepared to enter a plea.

4

3

Mr. Erutse, on this charge of an assault which

5

caused bodily harm, you have an option to elect to be tried

6

by a Magistrate without a jury, or you may elect to be

7

tried by a Judge without a jury, or you may elect to be

8

tried by a Court composed of a Judge and jury. How do you

0

elect to be tried?

10

MR. ERUTSE: Magistrate, Your Honour.

11

THE COURT: And how do you plead to the charge that on the

12

29th of September you assaulted a person and caused bodily

13

harm, guilty or not guilty?

14

MR. ERUTSE: Guilty.

15

THE COURT: Have a seat, please.

16

Betty Grandjambe, was walking home when her boyfriend,

17 18

the Defendant, grabbed her and threw her down and started

What happened here, sir, was that the Complainant,

19

to kick her in the head and kept kicking her until she

20

lost consciousness. She didn't know why he assaulted her,

21

although she does say he was drunk at the time he assaulted

23

her. The Police were then shortly after this called to the

24

nursing station, and the nurse there was attending upon Betty Grandjambe. She had severe bruising and swelling

25

around both eyes and her forehead. As well, she had bumps

26

27

and more marks on the back of her head. She was kept under

observation in Fort Good Hope until the 2nd of October.

MR. SHARKEY:

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

Q

Mr. Vertes.

MR. VERTES: Thank you, Your Honour. Your Honour, Mr. Erutse is nineteen years of age. He completed a grade eight

This, by the way, happened around two in the morning of the 29th of September. And then she was flown to Inuvik for closer examination. The reason she was flown to Inuvik is, of course, for closer examination as to whether or not there was any more extensive head injuries. It appears from the report that there were not. Those are our facts.

MR. VERTES: The facts are admitted, Your Honour.

THE COURT: A conviction will be entered, then, under Section 245.1 of the Code.

MR. SHARKEY: There is a record of previous conviction, sir.

It is a mischief conviction in February of '84 here in Fort Good Hope. The Defendant received a suspended sentence and was placed on probation for six months. He was also ordered to pay One hundred and forty dollars restitution.

MR. VERTES: That is admitted, Your Honour.

MR. SHARKEY: The Crown's position in this case with respect to the most appropriate disposition is that the Defendant should be sent to jail where he could think about it for a while, what he did when he was drunk. And the reason we say that is that the repetitive nature of the accused kicking someone until they're unconscious is the type of offence which does draw society's abhorrence and which should draw a penalty that is the strongest form of penalty. That is jail.

THE COURT:

education in 1982. He lives here in Fort Good Hope with his mother, who is employed as a field worker for the Band Council, I am advised, and his brother. He was last employed last winter for this Delta seismic company, earning at the time approximately Three hundred dollars per week. He, too, is anticipating starting their training program this winter and hoping to obtain employment with them this winter once again in the seismic exploration program.

With respect to the offence, Your Honour, the accused indicates his explanation for this occurrence as being that he was mad at his ex-girlfriend, the victim in this case. They had a relationship and, in fact, lived together for seven or eight months. She, I am advised, is twenty-two years of age. The only explanation that Mr. Erutse can give for his actions is that he became angry with the lady earlier in the evening at a party when she had apparently said some things and done some things which made him angry. This is, of course, certainly not excusing his conduct by any means, but I think combined with his intoxication perhaps going more towards an explanation for this act.

My colleague suggests a sentence of imprisonment as being appropriate in this case. I think it is generally held that in cases of personal violence where there are injuries suffered by the victim who did nothing, in effect, to bring it upon herself, an unprovoked attack such as many that the Courts see, the only appropriate disposition is one

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

of jail, and not only to teach the individual, but to teach That consideration, however, in my respectful submission must still be viewed within the parameters or the principles of sentencing that have been developed by the Courts both in the Northwest Territories and across Canada. One of those fundametal principles of sentencing being that when the Court is faced with a young offender, and I would submit that Mr. Erutse qualifies for that designation, being only nineteen years of age, with a young offender who has had no previous contact with the law, or at least minimal previous contact with the law, and I would suggest that Mr. Erutse's previous record revealing only one conviction for mischief, that being disposed of by a suspended sentence, would certainly qualify in the category of being minimal contact In those circumstances, our Courts have with the law. developed a principle that they should emphasize the prospect of the individual's reformation and rehabilitation and the individual circumstances of the accused rather than what we normally call either general deterrence or deterrence to the public and to make an example of the accused. that is, of course, because our Courts recognize the fact that young people should be given every opportunity to reform their actions, that in many cases imprisonment serves as a detrimental influence upon young people, and that incarceration should be viewed upon as really the remedy of last resort when someone has run out of all other options, then should incarceration be looked at, indeed, only when

there is no other disposition that would be appropriate.

So, therefore, it is my respectful submission that notwithstanding the fact that this is a case involving personal violence and where the victim could have suffered extensive injuries, but, indeed, did not suffer more extensive injuries than she did, considering the young age of the accused, his propects for employment, it is my respectful submission that the principles of deterrence both for this accused and for others when balanced with the other principles of sentencing can be satisfied by the imposition of an alternative form of sentencing to merely incarceration. That alternative form of sentencing, I would suggest, being the imposition of a sentence of one day in jail to be served by his attendance in Court, which would show on Mr. Erutse's record that, indeed, this was not a trivial matter, by the imposition of a fine with time to pay, and by the imposition of a period of probation which in itself would act as a check upon his behaviour in the future, and, of course, if he exhibits a pattern of conduct then the appropriate measures can be taken. Those are my submissions, Your Honour.

THE COURT: Mr. Edwin George Erutse, who is a nineteen year old resident of Fort Good Hope in the Northwest Territories has entered a plea of guilty to a charge that he did on the 29th of September, 1984 commit an assault on his girlfriend, Betty Grandjambe, that caused her bodily harm when he threw her down and kicked her until she became unconscious about

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

two o'clock in the morning. He, the accused, was drunk and in striking and kicking his girlfriend caused her to have swollen eyes and a sore forehead and soreness on the back of her head. After a couple of days the girl was taken to the hospital in Inuvik for further examination because of the injuries that had occurred around her face and head.

conviction of mischief in February of 1984. He was dealt with then by the Court in a way that most first offenders are dealt with in the hope that their activities will in future be such that they would have learned a lesson by being placed on probation and having the sentence suspended. The accused doesn't seem to have learned, but he still is a young person of nineteen and has the opportunity of again getting employment during the winter with a firm that he worked with last year who soon will be providing him with an opportunity for taking training in preparation for the winter's work.

Ordinarily, the Courts feel that on assaults causing bodily harm there is no alternative but to show that the public and that society wishes to stop assaults by following the direction of the Parliament of Canada when it increased the penalties that were available to be imposed on assaults and assaults causing bodily harm.

I have thought very seriously of putting the accused in jail for a period of time, even though he is young and even though he has only had one previous offence

but instead of that I am going to give him another chance to try and rehabilitate himself and realize that if he were to appear before the Courts in future, he would have to be dealt with more seriously and thereby hope that he has learned something by his attendance today.

I am going to place the accused in jail for one day, and I would be satisfied that his attendance in Court today would be suitable for satisfying that requirement.

It will therefore be on his record as having now been sentenced to jail so that Courts in the future will take that into account. I am also going to place the accused on probation for a period of eight months. I am going to require that the accused report to the Probation Officer forthwith and as directed. I am going to require that the accused perform two hundred hours of community service work to the satisfaction of the Probation Officer within a period of six months.

Mr. Erutse, are you prepared to do two hundred hours of completely good valuable work in place of any kind of other punishment? All right. The Clerk will be preparing the Probation Order, and you will be required to sign it before you leave here. Because you are on probation for that period of time you have to realize that to disobey the law in any way or to disobey the probation order itself is a criminal offence, and you can be charged with an offence in doing so. And you could be called back to the Court and also be charged with violation of the order. That makes

it doubly important to you to ensure that you stay out of trouble.

Certified a correct transcript,

Jill MacDonald Court Reporter