TCCR 86,025

IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

LYTA JOSEPHEE

Transcript of the Oral Sentencing Delivered by His Honour Judge T. B. Davis, sitting at Frobisher Bay in the Northwest Territories, on Tuesday, September 23rd, A.D., 1986.

APPEARANCES:

MS. N. BOILLA

MR. N. SHARKEY:

Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the Defence

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

THE COURT: Lyta Josephee admits that while he was under the influence of alcohol on April the 28th he broke and entered the Frobisher Bay High Rise, which is an apartment building in Frobisher Bay, and at that time entered one apartment building looking for liquor, and then in addition thereto broke the doors in two other apartments on the same floor and rummaged through one of the apartments and took some keys, and in the same apartment, or in one of the apartments did some damage totalling a number of hundreds of dollars to a speaker of a stereo set, a VCR, and took a carving, so there were a number of hundreds of dollars done in damage.

He was later arrested at the Pool Hall, and he still had in his possession some keys that were taken from one of the apartments. Damage to the door frames themselves and replacement of the doors came to \$550.

It would seem to me that Mr. Josephee, who has been in jail for a period of time now on another offence that occurred subsequent to the break and enter charge before the court today, has been serving a total of seven months on two offences and might have some difficulty making payment of restitution for the damage done. I certainly feel that it would be appropriate for me to consider having some form of partial restitution, at least for the carving that was missing and the damage to the speaker and the VCR.

However, with regard to the penalty to be imposed,

I have listened to counsel, and I do feel that an additional

period of time in jail is required, because I am of the opinion that if this matter had been dealt with at the time that the seven month jail term was imposed, it would have been increased by a short period to take into account the additional acts of the accused that has been dealt with tonight.

Therefore I feel that it is appropriate for me to

Therefore, I feel that it is appropriate for me to impose a short additional jail term as would have occurred had all of this been dealt with together. That is giving the benefit of the delay to the accused, because there have been some decisions of courts which indicate that even though it did occur in advance that it could be dealt with separately, and that totality need not be taken into account to the same extent that I feel is appropriate to take it into account tonight.

Mr. Josephee is a 21 year old man who has a substantial criminal record, but he also has shown to the public that he is a person who has contributed substantially in the form of coaching and participation in sports, and if he could stay away from alcohol and intoxicants, he probably could make a success of his life.

He has shown that he is willing to try to improve himself by upgrading while he has been in prison. I would hope that he will soon do something more to put himself in a position where he can overcome his problems because it certainly is interfering substantially with his life.

He has now been in jail a good portion of the last

two years. Even though the additional jail term might be considered very low, I feel that an additional two months in jail would be sufficient for my purposes to satisfy what I think would have been the additional term in jail had I dealt with it on a previous occasion.

But in addition thereto, I am going to place the accused on probation for a period of one year and require that he report to the probation officer when and as directed. He will also be required to participate in any alcohol counselling programs directed by the probation officer. I am considering having the accused make some effort at making payment of restitution to the person whose television and speaker was damaged. I know that is not going to be easy, but if the accused is taking his educational programs, it may not be even possible.

Mr. Sharkey, is he going to have any income while he is taking his educational programs?

MR. SHARKEY: I don't think sufficient eventually to make restitution in a short time. I know a probation order can only be three years long, and I would suggest some community service in the alternative.

THE COURT: Yes. The only thing is that the benefit doesn't go to the person who has had the goods damaged then. If I put the probation order at a two year period, would it be worthwhile having him make payment of restitution of \$500 or partial restitution of \$500 to the person who had the injury done?

MR. SHARKEY: He could do that in two years.

THE COURT: Alright. The probation term will be for a period of two years, Madam Clerk, and Madam Prosecutor, do you have the name of the apartment dweller who has the damage?

MS. BOILLAT: That is Mary Ashoona, and she lives at apartment 416.

THE COURT: Thank you. Then in addition to the participating in counselling, I am going to require that the accused make payment in the amount of \$400 as partial restitution to the Clerk of the Court for the benefit of Mary Ashoona of apartment 416 in the High Rise at Frobisher Bay. I think the \$400 will have to be paid within 14 months. It should be no problem over that period of time if he has been taking his educational training and keeping out of trouble during that time, and if he can't pay it by the 14th month, he can apply for an extension for a couple of months and still be within the probation period.

expected to pay \$400 to this lady as soon as you can do so.

CLERK:

Is the two months to be consecutive or

Do you understand that, Mr. Josephee, that you are

THE CLERK: Is the two months to be consecutive or concurrent, sir?

THE COURT: Oh, yes, I'm sorry. It has to be consecutive.

The clerk will be preparing the probation order. It may

be that that won't be available until tomorrow for signature,

but at the convenience of the clerk it will have to be

signed later.

THE CLERK: Counts two and three, sir? Yes, thank you. What about counts two and THE COURT: 2 three? 3 Yes, sir. The Crown is not proceeding on MS. BOILLAT: 5 those. Thank you. Counts two and three then are 6 THE COURT: 7 dismissed for lack of prosecution. Thank you. 8 (AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.) 9 10 Certified a correct transcript, 11 in Young Govern 12 Laurie Ann Young 13 Court Reporter 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26