1 IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF: 5 HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN VS 10 11 JACQUES JOSEPH PERREAULT 12 13 14 15 Transcript of the Oral Sentencing Delivered by His Honour 16 Judge R. M. Bourassa, sitting at Yellowknife in the 17 Northwest Territories, on Tuesday, February 3rd, A.D., 18 19 1987. 20 21 JUN 25 1987 22 APPEARANCES: YELLOWKNIFE 23 the Crown MR. G. BICKERT: 24 Counsel for the Defence MR. L. GOWER: 25

26

27

	INFORMATION A
Northwest	ON BENALF OF HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN)
Territories Canada ADJUDICATION	.0
CHARGE READ:	COURT NUMBER
	123726
DATE JUDGE OR JUSTICE	
CROWN ELECTION: RIM BOURASCA MAN	J.P. or Judge's Number 061/6/K
Summary Conviction: Indictment: Which	1001/014
ACCUSED ELECTION:	THIS IS THE INFORMATION OF KEITH GREGORY WIENS (Insert full name, residence and occupation of informant)
Magistrate: Judge & Jury: Judge:	(most foil maile, residence and occupation of informant)
	A MEMBER OF THE ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE
RM Bourassa Sudopt	VELLOWENIES NORTHWEST TERRITORIES
DATE STORY OF WELLER	OF YELLOWKNIFE; NORTHWEST TERRITORIES
PLEA: Guilty: 2 Not Guilty:	(PEACE OFFICER) HEREINAFTER CALLED THE INFORMANT
	2 0
DATE REP BOWLDS ON JUSTICE	THE INFORMANT SAYS THAT  MOMENT HOW WITH THE PROPERTY OF THE P
FAILURE TO APPEAR:	nowanted was to believe and does believe 新祖 近代代表的教授。 THAT:
Bench Warrent Issued:	
	JACQUES JOSEPH PERREAULT
DATE JUDGE OR JUSTICE:	COUNT #1:
EVERY TIME THIS INFORMATION IS DEALT WITH APPROPRIATE ENTRIES SHALL BE MADE ON THE REVERSE	ON OR ABOUT THE TWENTY-FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER,
DISPOSITION DIES/8	1986, AT OR NEAR THE CITY OF YELLOWENTER
Convicted: Dismissed: Withdawn: Withdawn:	IN THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES, DID COMMIT MISCHIEF TO PROPERTY, NAMELY A 1979 MUSTANG,
FINE: 750	THE PROPERTY OF DENIS LABELLE, BY WILFULLY
J.P. COSTS: Dourgage Judy	DAMAGING IT, THE VALUE OF WHICH EXCEEDS ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS, CONTRARY TO SECTION
POLICE CONTR	387(3) OF THE CRIMENAL CODE.
POLICE COSTS:	AND FURTHER THAT:
RESTITUTION:	COUNT #2:
TOTAL:	
DEFAULT: 2 M CONSEC	ON OR ABOUT THE TWENTY-FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER;
TIME TO PAY: 4 MOS.	IN THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES, DID OPERATE
	A MOTOR VEHICLE ON A STREET, ROAD, HIGHWAY OR OTHER PUBLIC PLACE, NAMELY: LOW COST
SUSPENDED SENTENCE:	HOUSING PARKING LOT, IN A MANNER THAT WAS
CONDITIONAL DISCH: ABSOLUTE DISCH:	DANGEROUS TO THE PUBLIC, CONTRARY TO SECTION 233(1) OF THE CRIMINAL CODE.
PROBATION FOR: TO Sent served	
MPRISDIMENT FOR: 300 Intermi	
Pron Diva: 18M	CARD
DATE 1980 JUNE OF SHEET	
STATISTICS STATISTICS	TIP 3 gare for to
DATE OF SINTH 56-01-05 M X PF	0.8 9 ky 15/87 B
MARITAL STATUS:	M.V.B
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
Sworn before me this dev ofNOVEMBER	
of YELLOWKNIFE, NORTHWEST TERRITOR	TRS HEW Und
allow 10 ca	ct 206k.G. WIENS), CST.
A suder or Justice of the Peach in and for	Signature of Infojjinant
rue Mortuwest servicoles 3	
Appearance Appearance Recognizance	
Notice Appear Mecognizance	confirmed Justice of the Peace
DISTRIBUTION: 1. Clerk of the Judget Court 2. Registrar of M	oter Vehicles 3, Police Copy. 4. Crown Attorney 5. Defence
CRIMINAL CODE FORM 2 (Sections 455, 456-1 and 723) NWT 1961 - 80/1180	
🖍 - 14 martin a mart	

THE COURT:

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

At the outset I would like to thank Miss Gruben for the presentence report. It is one of the more comprehensive that the court has had the opportunity of It is of significant assistance in this matter.

The accused is convicted on his plea of the offence of dangerous driving. I think it is clear on the evidence, and I am prepared to find, that the accused was bound and determine to hurt as best he could Mr. Labelle, and he determined that that hurt could be administered by, in his own words, destroying his vehicle. That was his intent, and he executed his intent.

In that sense, he armed himself with his own vehicle using it as a battering ram to smash the car. process, certainly Mr. Stirrett's life and health were put in jeopardy and to a lesser degree, Mr. Labelle's life and health were put in jeopardy. It would be of no assistance to Mr. Perreault to say that he didn't mean to run over one of them and didn't mean to kill them. There was a real danger involved.

As far as the damages with respect to the vehicle go, in my view of the situation it would appear that Labelle's damages are in the neighborhood of \$500. I arrive at that conclusion from a combination of what he paid for the vehicle and the information contained in Exhibit 4. I can't see that the vehicle was worth what was paid for it, and that was \$2,600. There was approximately \$1,500 in pre-existing damage, and there is a \$600 salvage value.

That leads me to conclude that the damages are in the neighborhood of \$500.

The accused has a criminal record. In the last two years he has crossed that threshold from acting out as "one of the boys", I suppose, to acting out in a criminal way. I note as well in the presentence report that he is heavily into the use of drugs. I would, as an aside, caution Mr. Perreault that people go to jail for using drugs. He can do what he chooses to do about that, but he may very well cross the threshold into criminality again in the future.

The offences in the last two years involve one of assault, in which he obviously lost control of himself, and one in 1987 of driving with over 80 milligrams of alcohol in his blood.

The accused admits that he was out of control and admits a criminal intention. The Defence and the presentence report put before the court that the reasoning or at least the background behind this loss of control which was apparently a spousal dispute surrounding a sexual connection between the accused's common-law spouse or wife and Mr. Labelle and that that led to two confrontations. Obviously it led to a build up in rage in Mr. Perreault, which rage exploded on the 24th of October. That puts everything in perspective, I suppose, but be that as it may, it doesn't justify, excuse or explain away what occurred.

J

The Defence argues persuasively for a substantial fine by way of penalty - a penalty I believe would be considered as lenient - and the presentence report is a positive one.

The court has to carefully consider the offence and the penalties. Surely it is higher on the scale of harm to the public, it is more grievous in its potential than say, for example, driving with over 80 milligrams of alcohol in the blood. The penalties are greater at first instance. Surely that has to be reflected where the court starts in imposing penalty or sentence.

I recognize that the court has to address the offender and the offence, and that the offender is usually the most important consideration. If there is a minimal record or no previous criminal convictions, notwithstanding that it is one thing to go after someone with a pair of fists, it is something else to go after their property, and incidentally them, with a ton or so of steel. The injuries can just become totally disproportionate. The potential for harm is great. People get killed like this, Mr. Perreault, whether you intend it or not.

I diverge for a moment and say clearly by his actions Mr. Perreault has forfeited his priviledge of driving for an extended period of time until he learns how to control himself. He can't be left on the road regardless of his employment, regardless of anything else.

I am inclined to accept the Crown's perspective that

a jail sentence is called for under all of the circumstances. I have grave concerns because of the accused being apparently solely responsible for four small children. I don't want to particularly penalize the children. The court's only concern is that Mr. Perreault get his act together, and whatever his problems are resolve them, walk away from them, and solve them, because this is a small community. He is going to run into Labelle again in the future. He is going to run into his wife in the future, and it may very well be that Perreault will be in the Rec Hall, and he will see his wife with someone else, or Labelle or whoever and he is going to have to control himself. He can't hide from his problems, and this court is concerned about what he does when he is out of control. He is too dangerous, and I think for that reason, if only that reason, to bring home to Mr. Perreault that enough is enough, there must I think there must be a be a jail sentence imposed. It has to be balanced with the need deterrent sentence. of his children and to reflect his personal situation as described in the presentence report.

I don't want him to lose his job particularly. Nor do I want to see him enter into further complications or debts with respect to his children.

Stand up, please, Mr. Perreault. Taking into account what has been said or your behalf and the argument by your counsel, your plea of guilty as well as what was said by the Crown attorney, for the reasons that I have given I

1

2

3

5

ñ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 2

3

5

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

THE COURT:

THE ACCUSED:

day.

THE ACCUSED:

18

19

20 21

23 24

25 26

27

am going to sentence you to a term of imprisonment of thirty days together with a fine of \$750, in default of payment, a futher two months in jail consecutive. going to prohibit you from driving a motor vehicle anywhere in the dominion of Canada for a period of 18 months by virtue of the Criminal Code. I am going to direct that your term of imprisonment be served on the 6th of February commencing at 8 p.m. until the 9th of February at 7 a.m., and thereafter each Friday to each Monday at the hours indicated until your sentence is served in full.

While you are at liberty during the week, Mr. Perreault, you will be subject to a probation order to keep the peace and be of good behavior. Do you require time to pay the fine?

THE ACCUSED: Yeah, because I have another outstanding one for the impaired. It is due on February 6th.

How long do you require to pay the fine?

I just started to work. It is my fourth

Well, you have got \$1,500 in fines now, THE COURT: How long do you have to pay the other fine, Mr. Perreault. the other \$750?

It is due on the 6th of February, three days from now.

My understanding, sir, is Mr. Perreault MR. GOWER: intended to make application for an extention on that fine.

1 THE COURT: Was this court not the presiding court? 2 MR. GOWER: Yes, it is, sir. He is going to be dealing with that on his own through the native court worker. THE COURT: I will give you four months from today, 5 Mr. Perreault, to pay this fine. 6 MR. BICKERT: Sir, may I make some comment with respect 7 to restitution? 8 THE COURT: I am not going to make an order with respect 9 to restitution. 10 MR. BICKERT: Sir, the submission of the Crown, and 11 I appreciate Your Honour has made the decision, but the 12 submission of the Crown is that he has no other recourse 13 than to sue him for it, and that is clearly the direct 14 result of a criminal act of the accused in this case. 15 Either restitution by means of a probation order or a 16 compensation order under Section 653 could clearly be imposed 17 at the discretion of the court, and in my submission, it 18 would be a necessary part of rehabilitation of the accused. 19 THE COURT: I understand that, Mr. Bickert, but in 20 mulling this matter over and arriving at my reasons, the 21 accused is paying a fine with respect to this. There is 22 another fine outstanding. He has only commenced employment, 23 and my concern is that he not be totally stripped of 24 financial resources in order that he can continue to provide 25 for his children. Finally that this matter has to come 26 to an end, and I really don't see that the interests of 27 justice would be advanced by having him paying fines and

N.W.T. 5349-80/0284

and being on probation or making applications for extentions for five or six or seven months after this. Mr. Labelle has his recourse in Territorial Court on a civil claim and the exact amount or value of the vehicle, whether or not he sells it, can be established if that is the case. Is that everything then?

MR. BICKERT:

Yes, that is, thank you.

THE COURT:

Thank you, counsel.

(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

Certified a correct transcript,

Source Chan Shoung
Laurie Ann Young
Court Reporter