1	IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT
2	OF THE
3	NORTHWEST TERRITORIES
4	
5	
6	
7	REGINA
8	
9	
10	vs
11	
12	
13	P A
14	
15	
16	
17	Transcript of Excerpt of Hearing held before His Honour
18	Judge T.B. Davis, sitting at the Courthouse, Frobisher Bay,
19	in the Northwest Territories, on Wednesday, June 18th,
20	A.D. 1986.
21	
22	L.J. Wall, Ms., Appeared for the Crown,
23	N. Sharkey, Esq., Appeared for the Defence,
24	Carolyn Ouellette, Court Reporter.
25	
26	
27	



MR. SHARKEY: 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Sir, you had asked P if he understood some of the reasons why he is here today, the programs that the jail had for him; and he understands that he was given time last year as an adult in the Criminal Court, and then he got 14 months, two months secure custody and 12 months open custody as a young offender. understands that his adult time is up and a decision has to be made if he is going to go into an open custody facility or if he is going to serve his custody either a secured portion of it or just the open part of it now in the jail. So, he understands that his adult time that is up and now we have to decide if he still he got wants to do his -- among other things, if he wants to do his time in B.C.C. or that will be okay.

The papers before you which brings this hearing on may make reference to Section 28, but we think that this is a hearing under Section 24(15), and for the record, the Defence does not take any objection to short notice and I was made aware of it by the Department of Social Services that they would be bringing this application on, so, we consider this a proper hearing before you under Section 24(14), for the record.

My friend has indicated that she is not sure that a reading of Section 24(14) when we are dealing with open custody, our Court is with the spirit of Legislation or the intent of the drafter of the Legislation, I thank her for those comments on behalf of my client because I share them,



27

but I do differ in one respect. I think that it is clear what the intent of the Legislators was or the drafters was in drafting up the Legislation. I think their purposes is clear and that is a side comment to create a cottage industry and confusion and they certainly have done that; but nonetheless, if Section 24(14) says that where a young person is committed to custody, a provincial director may, upon notifying the young person, have a hearing to see if the custody can be finished in adult facilities, and if the Court considers it to be in the best interest of the young person or the public -- that that be done. Now, if you don't order that, he would do time in an open custody facility. If you do order it, he will do it at B.C.C. It looks like the only open custody facility suitable would be not on Baffin Island because of the people he knows here.

This makes me -- puts me in a couple of positions as counsel because I am both his lawyer as well as acting as a judge, I personally find that uncomfortable in giving him the best advice, so, I listen to him very carefully.

P would like to stay on Baffin Island. He would like to go to general population if he is in the jail. He understands that there is a program set up for him, although he does not understand the specifics of it, at least in my brief conversation with him. It would be fair that while I reviewed on his behalf the survey of summaries and services available which has been placed in the report,



26

27

the review report by the youth worker, Mary-Lou Sutton-Fennell had agreed that the program designed by Jan Riddell would be in his best interests and he is willing to go through with it. In the long run, in the end, again, if a person is moved from young-offender custody to adult custody and here it is going to be a year, he will lose some of the remission he would otherwise get as an adult, I think that the section is designed to catch the secure custody situation more ideally. I think it is designed to catch the situation where the offender may be 16, where he is sentenced, and only has a short time left. Here we have a person who the Crown does not apply to raise, and this is not a criticism at all of the Crown, but that the state does not apply to raise him and then he winds up probably doing more time as a youth than he would if he were sentenced as an adult with the benefit of remission.

All of that having been said, again, I scratch my head here and try to act in P's best interest, in the long run at the end of the day, we take no objection to the position that it may be in his best interest and he does want to remain on Baffin Island. Accordingly, we do not oppose the application made on behalf of the Department and the recommendations contained on Page 3 of 3.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Having heard the submissions made on behalf of the application that is before the Court, that is an application to have PA serve the balance of



24

25

26

27

his custodial sentence selected in the Youth Court, in an adult facility, I am satisfied that it appears to be in the best interest of the accused because of his attitude and his desire to remain in the Baffin area, and therefore in the Baffin facilities, and I am going to grant the order that is being applied for today, recognizing that there has been a waiver of any notices or required periods of time for notices by both Crown and Defence.

I do, however, in making the order ask that Social Services officers sometime within a short period following the next two months, because that is the period in which $^{\rm P}$

has been directed to serve as secure custody, sometime shortly after that period, that the Department contact P with an investigation being made to determine whether or not it would be appropriate for him to remain in adult facilities at that time or whether he again should apply, or he or the Department should apply for reconsideration of the serving of the balance of his time because there are certain advantages that do exist in the Young Offenders' Act, and one of them being, I think, a major advantage even though the Act is somewhat a confusion to us at the moment, does allow to deal with sentences by the same Court rather than having to go to the Appeal Court to review them and to amend them or change It may be that since the accused is going to remain them. within the jurisdiction of the Court, even though he is serving his time in an adult facility, that some



amendment to the custodial order might be able to be considered because the provisions of this Act under Section 24, Subsection 14 shall continue to apply in respect of that person, and on that basis I am asking the Department to make that further inquiry and possibly if it is requested by P or recommended by the Department, that we have another hearing on that basis.

P, that means that you are going to be serving time in the adult facility and after a few months if you feel that you do not wish to continue to serve it in the adult facility, you can ask Social Services Department or someone at the Correctional Centre to possibly come back and review the matter before this Court. Do you understand that? All right. The Order is so granted then.

(ADJOURNED)

I, Carolyn Ouellette, Court Reporter, hereby certify that I attended the above Excerpt of Hearing and took faithful and accurate shorthand notes and the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability.

Dated at the City of Calgary, in the Province of Alberta, this 25th day of June, A.D. 1986.

Carolyn Odellette, C.S.R. (A)
Court Reporter.

