IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

PITSEOLAK MITSIMA

Transcript of the Oral Sentencing Delivered by His Honour Judge T. B. Davis, sitting at Frobisher Bay in the Northwest Territories, on Wednesday, September 24th, A.D., 1986.

APPEARANCES:

MS. N. BOILLAT: Counsel for the Crown

MR. N. SHARKEY: Counsel for the Defence

| | INFORMATION (ON BEHALF OF HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN) |
|---|--|
| Northwest Territories Canada Adjudication | Ř |
| & DISPOSITION CHARGE READ: | COURT NUMBER |
| 4AL 88 SUDGE OR JUSTICE | 122323 |
| CROWN ELECTION: (AN) | J.P. or Judge's Number 635 bb 122 blx 870 bb |
| Summary Conviction: Indictment: ACCUSED ELECTION: | THIS IS THE INFORMATION OF W. W. I |
| Magistrate Judge & Juny: Judge: Judge: | a member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police |
| 1585 20 Divis | or Town of Frobisher Bay, Northwest Territories |
| DATE JUDGE OF JUSTICE | or its to its of the same of t |
| PLEA: Guilty: Not Guilty: | a peace officer HEREINAFTER CALLED THE INFORMANT |
| DATE JUDGE OF JUSTICE | THE INFORMANT SAYS THAT he has reasonable and probable |
| FAILURE TO APPEAR: 7.8. DAVE, JUDG | (If the informant has not personal knowledge, state that he has reasonable and probable grounds to believe and does believe and state the offence) grounds to believe and does believe that |
| Bench Warrant Issued: | Die da La Marranda |
| DATE JUDGE OR JUSTICE | Pitsiulaq MITSIMA |
| EVERY TIME THIS INFORMATION IS DEALT WITH APPROPRIATE ENTRIES SHALL BE MADE ON THE REVERSE | Count 1. |
| DISPOSITION | on or about the 4th day of April, 1986, at or near the |
| Convicted: Dismissed: Withdrawn: | break and enter a certain place, namely dwelling house 55, situate at Frobisher Bay in the Northwest Territorie |
| T FINE: | and did commit therein the indictable offence of theft |
| J.P. COSTS: | contrary to section 306(1)(b) of the criminal code. |
| POLICE COSTS: | Count 2. |
| RESTITUTION: | On or about the 4th day of April, 1986, at or near the |
| | Town of Frobisher Bay in the Northwest Territories, while at large on an undertaking entered before Justice of the |
| TOTAL: | Peace Jim TAYLOR at Frobisher Bay in the Northwest Territories on the 17th day of December, 1985, and being |
| DEFAULT: | bound to comply with the condition of that undertaking, did fail without lawful excuse to comply with that |
| TIME TO PAY: | condition, amely, keep/the peace and be of good behav- |
| SUSPENDED SENTENCE: | iour, contrary to section 133(3) of the criminal code. |
| CONDITIONAL DISCH: ABSOLUTE DISCH: | CARD Pords |
| PROBATION FOR: #21M Cayour | OJS |
| IMPRISONMENT FOR! Mr CONSEL | T.T.P. |
| SEP 24 1986 JUDGE OR JUSTICE | D.B.S. |
| STATISTICS 67/1/20 (XXX) | ARDIN V.B. |
| DRIVER'S LICENCE | |
| MARITAL STATUS: single | |
| Sworn before me this ## day of April | |
| A.D. 1986 | 10 |
| atFrohisher May N.W.T. | × X. (1/2) |
| 195.1 | Signature of Informant |
| A Judge or Justice of the Peack in and for the Northwest Territories | A Se |
| Appearance Promise to Recognizance Appear | 7 |
| | confirmed Justice of the Price |
| DISTRIBUTION 1 Clark of the Judge's Court 2 Repistrar of | Motor Vahialas 3 Police Copy 4 Crayer Atternay 5 Define |

CRIMINAL CODE FORM 2 (Sections 455, 455-1 and 723) NWT 1961 - 80/1180

| | · |
|--|--|
| | INFORMATION (ON BEHALF OF HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN) |
| Northwest Territories Canada | |
| ADJUDICATION & DISPOSITION | COURT NUMBER |
| CHARGE READ: | 122324 |
| DATE JUDGE OR JUSTICE | |
| CROWN ELECTION: | 3.P. or Judge's Number 912168 1347 610 |
| Summary Conviction: Indictment: | THIS IS THE INFORMATION OF Kenneth William DALEY |
| ACCUSED ELECTION: | (Insert full name, residence and occupation of informant) |
| Magistrate: Judge & Jun Judge: Judge: | A Member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police |
| ASG- 1 9 1986 j | |
| DATE JUDGE OR USTICE | OF the Town of Frobisher Bay in the Northwest Territories, |
| PLEA: Guilty: Not Guilty: | a Peace Officer Hereinafter Called the Informant |
| ASS 19 1986 | 1 1 |
| DATE JUDGE OF JEST SONDEASEA | THE INFORMANT SAYS THAT he has reasonable and probable und informant has not personal knowledge, state that he has reasonable and |
| FAILURE TO APPEAR: | probable grounds to believe and does believe and state the offence) grounds to believe and does believe that |
| Bench Warrant Issued: | Pitseolak MITSIMA |
| DATE JUDGE OR JUSTICE | Between the first day of December, A.D., 1985 and the sixteenth day of December, A.D., 1985, at or near the |
| EVERY TIME THIS INFORMATION IS DEALT WITH | Town of Frobisher Bay in the Northwest Territories, id commit a sexual assault on Nala ALAINGA, contrary |
| APPROPRIATE ENTRIES SHALL BE MADE ON THE REVERSE | th Section 246.1 of the Criminal Code. |
| DISPOSITION Convicted: Dismissed: Withdrawn: | I \ |
| fine: | |
| J.P. COSTS: | \ |
| POLICE COSTS: | \ |
| RESTITUTION: | |
| | CARD |
| TOTAL: | ojs 🔲 / |
| DEFAULT: | TITIP. |
| TIME TO PAY: | D.B.S. \\[\vec{\pi} \] |
| SUSPENDED SENTENCE: | M.V.B. |
| CONDITIONAL DISCH: ABSOLUTE DISCH: | The state of the s |
| PROBATION FOR: 2 years | |
| IMPRISONMENT FOR: 6 Months | |
| SEP 2 1 1986 20 Aure | |
| DATE JUDGE OR JUSTICE | I Cylliber |
| STATISTICS DATE OF BIRTH: 67-JAN-20 M XX F | |
| DRIVER'S LICENCE: | |
| August | _ |
| Sworn before me this day of AURUSC | 100 |
| at Frobisher May, Northwest Territori | es K |
| (/ Hallandi) | Signature of Informant |
| A Judge or Justick of the Hand for the Northwest Territories | , |
| Appearance C Promise to C Recognitive | |
| Appearance Promise to Appear Recognizar | confirmed Justice of the Peace |
| | |
| DISTRIBUTION: 1. Clerk of the Judge's Cour 2. Registra | r of Motor Vehicles 3, Police Copy 4, Crown Attorney 5, Defence |

| / | _ | |
|--|--|--|
| Northwest | INFORMATION (ON BEHALF OF HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN) | ٠ |
| Territories Canada ADJUDICATION | THE QUEEN) | |
| & DISPOSITION CHARGE READ: | COURT NUM | IRED |
| 17 Drox A. T. | | |
| DATE JUDGE OR JUSTICE | | 122325 |
| CROWN ELECTION: | J.P. or Judge's Number | R 8691613 |
| Summary Conviction: Indictment: ACCUSED ELECTION: | | |
| Magistrate: Judge & Jury: Judge: | THIS IS THE INFORMATION OF | ETER |
| | _ | • |
| | A Member of the Royal Canadian Mounted | Police |
| DATE JUDGE OR JUSTICE | of the Town of Frobisher Bay in Hehe Nor | rthwest |
| PLEA: Guilty: Not Guilty: | refricories, | |
| 407 1 9 1986 | <u>a Peace Officer</u> HEREINAFTER CALLED THE IN | FORMANT |
| DATE PUDGE OF PLAST | THE INFORMANT SAYS THAT he has reasonable a | nd probable |
| FAILURE TO APPEAR: | ig (If the informant has not personal knowledge, state that he has rease probable grounds to believe and does believe and state the offence grounds to believe and does believe that | nable and |
| Bench Warrant Issued: | A | · . |
| DATE | Pitseolak MITSIMA | \$ - T |
| EVERY TIME THIS INCOMMETERS OF JUSTICE | Detween the first day of December, A.D., saxteenth day of December, A.D., 1985, a Town of Frobisher Bay in the Navel | 1985 and the |
| THE REVERSE | Town of Frobisher Bay in the Northwest To while bound by a probetion order | c or near the erritories, did |
| DISPOSITION Convicted: Dismissed: Withdrawa | SLAVIN, on the sixteenth day of | Judge J.R. |
| Convicted: Dismissed: Withdrawn: FINE: | the peace and be of good behavior | to wit: keep |
| J:P. COSTS: | Section 666(1) of the Criminal Code. | ary to |
| POLICE COSTS: | \ | |
| | \ / | |
| RESTITUTION: | | ***** <u>*</u> |
| TOTAL: | | |
| DEFAULT: | CARD | |
| TIME TO PAY: | OIS AND | , |
| SUSPENDED SENTENCE: | T.T.P. | į |
| CONDITIONAL DISCH: ABSOLUTE DISCH: | [J.P. [4] | 1 |
| | [M.V.B.] | |
| PROBATION FOR: | | • |
| MPRISONMENT FOR A WILLES CONCUMENT | | |
| DATE JUDGE OF JUSTICE | | the second of the second |
| STATISTICS 167 The control of the co | rl abor | A STATE OF THE STA |
| DRIVER'S LICENCE: | уч | |
| MARITAL STATUS: | • | : :1: |
| Sworn before me this 1714 day of December | | |
| A.D. 19 85 | | |
| at Frobisher Bay, Northwest Territories | TS: 01 + | |
| 1 / \1 | | |
| Judge or Justice of the Co | Signature of Informant | - 3 |
| Judge or Justice of the Peace in and for he Northwest Territories | Signature of Informant | |
| A Judge or Justice of the Peace in and for he Northwest Territories Appearance Promise to | Signature of Informant | 7 T |

DISTRIBUTION: 1. Clerk of the Judge's Court 2. Registrar of Motor Vehicles 3. Police Copy 4. Crown Attorney 5. Defence CRIMINAL CODE FORM 2 (Sections 455, 455-1 and 723) NWT 1961 - 80/1180

confirmed

Justice of the Peace

THE COURT: Pitseolak Mitsima is a 19 year old resident of Frobisher Bay in the Northwest Territories. He comes before the court for sentencing on four charges. He admits that some time in the early part of December, 1985, he lay on top of a three year old female child and partially removed her pants and ejaculated. The child later reported the incident to a probation officer and said that she had some pain near her vagina. There was no penetration, however, and after the accused had ejaculated, he left the child and went to his own bedroom in the same

of the offence he was on probation, and he admits that

in addition to that sexual assault he violated a probation

At the time

house where he and the child lived.

He is also charged and is convicted tonight of breaking and entering a residence at Frobisher Bay on the 4th of April, 1986, when on that occasion he was found to be in the property along with others, and they had gathered up some jewelry and trinkets. The co-accused in that same offence, with a substantial criminal record, although still very young in age, was sentenced to four months in jail for a similar offence. At the time of the break and enter, he admits that he was on an undertaking, and therefore, violated the undertaking by committing the break and enter.

Crown counsel has properly pointed out to the court that the appeal courts, and courts in general, have indicated that they must protect the public by being severe in the

order.

penalties imposed for sexual assaults, and that young children must be protected by ensuring that generally the courts impose a sentence that is considered as a deterrent to others, so that they will not commit the same offence.

In this instance the child was very young, and it appears that although there was ejaculation that had occurred, I can classify it still as a minor sexual assault because there was no penetration and no injury, and really not much violence, although there certainly was an intrusion with force on another person.

The Crown has properly pointed out that the public expects the courts to be very concerned and serious in the determination of what is appropriate in sentencing for this type of offence, especially when a child is defence-less and is in a relationship physically at least by living in the same house as an adult who breaks the law.

A similar offence occurred in Lake Harbour for which Mr. Michael, who was also a young man, had assaulted an infant child and recieved six months in jail, and because he had some psychological problems and was to take counselling as part of the penalty imposed, the Appeal Court did not overrule the six month jail term that was imposed at that time. I am of the opinion that the six months imposed, which was not changed by the Appeal Court, still would be probably the minimum that the court could consider on this type of offence.

In this instance, however, I do feel that it was

of a minor nature and one deserving of a sentence not to any extent in excess of what Mr. Michael received when he appeared before this court on April the 11th, 1986. So on the sexual assault charge in this instance, I am prepared to give Pitseolak the benefit of being in similar circumstances to the other person, and only impose six months in jail for this offence, recognizing by doing so, however, that it must be a minimum that the court could consider. Pitseolak, are you hearing me?

THE ACCUSED:

Mm'hmm.

THE COURT:

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Alright. Have you heard what I have been

saying?

THE ACCUSED:

Yes.

THE COURT:

In imposing the minimum sentence, Alright. I am also taking into account the fact that Pitseolak has not had any effective socialization and is a person who over the years has been bandied about from home, to home, and really does not much more than wander throughout the community on his own.

I think, therefore, that because the presentence report by the probation officer indicates that Pitseolak might be a good candidate for probation, it would seem appropriate that on this charge Pitseolak also be placed on probation for a period of two years. He will be required to report to the probation officer when and as directed. Since there are no similar offences in the past, I am not going to require any specific type of counselling. I will presume

that the probation officer can take care of that matter.

With regard to the break and enter offence, I notice that Pitseolak had two break and enter offences in the past, one in 1984 and one in 1985. On both he received periods of probation, and on one was required to do community service work. Unfortunately, Pitseolak did not really learn from that that he must refrain from participating in that offence, and I feel it is proper that a short term in jail can be imposed this time so as to emphasize to not only Pitseolak but others that if they repeat in break and enters they probably will end up in jail. On that I am going to therefore impose one month in jail to run consecutively.

On the violation of the undertaking that was in effect at the time, I am going to impose one month in jail, but I am going to allow that to be served concurrently. Do you understand what concurrently means, Pitseolak? You will be in jail, but it is not additional time. It is being served at the same time, but it will be on your record that you are in jail for violating the undertaking, and if you were to do it in the future, if you were to break any laws in the future, you will probably be expected to go to jail for a long time.

On the charge under Section 666 which related to the lst of December and was a violation of a probation order, I am going to impose also two weeks in jail, and I will allow that also to be served concurrently. Is there anything

else in the probation order that might be appropriate for the court to consider since we have all had an opportunity to read the presentence report? If there is something that you know should be included, I will hear from counsel. If not, it will just remain as it is.

MS. BOILLAT:

Sir, I have no submissions.

THE COURT:

Thank you.

(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

Certified a correct transcript,

Laurie Ann Young

Court Reporter

l