IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

Ŭ

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

RONALD COPE

Transcript of the oral Sentencing delivered by His Honour Judge T.B. Davis, sitting at Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, Tuesday, November 22nd, A.D. 1988.

## APPEARANCES:

MR. R. PEACH

Counsel for the Crown

MR. V. FOLDATS

Counsel and Agent for the Defence

(Charge under Section 23 A.C.S.R.)

NWT 5349/0687

THE COURT: Ronald Cope admits that while he was passing through the Yellowknife airport about six p.m. on the 20th of October, 1988 he in a joking manner while partially intoxicated mentioned that he had a bomb in his pocket, to which the security persons operating at the airport followed their new policy under the Air Carrier Security Regulations and reported this incident to the authorities, the police, and in addition thereto vacated the airport. When he was arrested he had his luggage taken from the airport and was taken to the police station, consequently missing his flight and running into the inconvenience of stopping overnight in the city until another flight became available.

The accused acknowledged that he was embarrassed by what had happened and had apologized for the statement made as he was passing through the airport here in the Northwest Territories. Defence counsel has suggested there was no malicious intent, that it was a lighthearted action, and the accused was almost bewildered by what had happened as a result of his somewhat joking way of suggesting he had a bomb.

Crown counsel has properly pointed out that if a threat or a scare is made concerning bombs around airports, that there is always the potential of substantial harm and often such a threat or a scare can result in substantial inconvenience to the travelling public. It would seem to me that although a policy might be set down to vacate an airport upon a threat being made in a joking manner that that policy

has to be tempered with at least some judgment and common sense by the persons who are going to hear the statement made by a person and recognize that if it is in a joking way, the inconvenience should probably only occur to the joker, not to the entire airport, and that that person and his luggage should be put aside. But I cannot imagine that the policy would be reasonable to close down an international airport at Toronto if one drunk comes in and says he has a bomb in his pocket in a joking way.

Defence counsel has suggested that under the circumstances the accused has already incurred substantial inconvenience, embarrassment and expenses involved by arranging to hire counsel on his behalf to appear in Court when he was travelling back to the south from a temporary job in the Northwest Territories, and that by doing so he has recognized his responsibility after posting bail of \$200.00.

I am inclined to think that that is almost sufficient for a discharge. I do not feel a discharge in this instance would be in the best interests of the public, because there would be no apparent concern for deterrence.

Although I don't want to make the accused a scapegoat or set an example with him, I do feel that the Government of Canada has put in regulations because of a serious situation that can result, and it would be detrimental to that policy if the accused were to get a discharge at this time. I do feel that general deterrence must be a factor in sentencing

on this type of a charge. I don't feel that the accused himself requires much in the line of deterrence, and a small fine certainly would be satisfactory so that he will remember that this is not to happen again, and I expect it never will. But so as to not decrease the intended effect of the regulations that have been put in effect, especially for these circumstances, I am going to impose a fine in the amount of \$100.00, or in default thereof five days in jail.

MR. FOLDATS: Your Honour, with respect to time to pay, could the bail monies be applied? I have instructions to have the bail monies apply to the fine.

THE COURT: I would expect there would be no difficulty if that is in the Court's hands at this time to make the bail monies apply and send the balance to either counsel or to the accused.

MR. PEACH: Yes, Your Honour. That is not opposed.

THE COURT: Madam Clerk, could you make a notation, then, that the fine can be paid from the bail monies.

In closing on this matter, I do want to say that I would classify this instance as a somewhat trivial violation of that section in that there was no malicious intent and that it was just made in a joking manner. As a result of that, I do not in any way feel that this \$100.00 fine would be a precedent in the future for somebody else who happens to come before the Court for the same offence.

(AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS CONCLUDED).

NWT 5349/0687

Certified a correct transcript,

Jill MacDonald, Court Reporter.