IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN,



- and -

MICHAEL ANGOTTITAURUQ

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence and Sentence delivered by His Honour Judge R. M. Bourassa, sitting at Gjoa Haven, in the Northwest Territories, on Tuesday, October 10th, A. D. 1989.

## APPEARANCES:

MR. J. CLIFFE

MR. A. MARSHALL

On behalf of the Crown

OCT 24 1989

On behalf of the Defence

NWT 5349/0687

THE COURT:

URT: The Court has to make a decision on how to sentence Michael Angottitauruq for the offence that we have all heard of today described by the Crown Attorney. This offence in this case is punishable by a maximum of six months jail, or a \$2000 fine, or both.

In trying to decide what to do, the Court has to look at the offence, the offender and what other Courts have done in similar circumstances. The Court has to try and balance all of the factors that are present and do the right thing with resepct to this man and this offence.

Looking at the offence: it is a shameful, despicable thing. It is an exploitation of a small child by an adult who has authority over her. Our children are our past as well as our future. Unfortunately, studies seem to show that children that are abused sexually, or physically, subjected to alcohol abuse, or other sins that parents can visit on them, grow up and do the same things to their kids. As adults we raise children the way we were raised.

The law is very clear; children who can't protect themselves will be protected vigorously by the law and the Courts. The law is very clear, and it is very simple; it very simply states what we all know in our hearts to be true, and that is that little children are to be loved, respected, fostered and raised to be good people. Abusive conduct with respects to small

children results in the harshest of sentences.

With respect to the offender, Michael Angottitauruq: I would make it very clear at the beginning that everyone is equal in the eyes of the law doctors, lawyers, M.L.A., or the poorest man in the community are treated the same way. I have a lot of information about the accused before me in a positive pre-sentence report, and I gather that he has a lot to be proud of, and a lot of positive things in his life. Dealing with him as a man, I point out that he has never been convicted of any criminal offence before. confessed completely to the offence that is before the Court at the very first instance. He has taken steps to resolve his problems so that they will not recur. the pre-sentence report he is described as a person with a solid personality, sincere and obviously responsible. He is skilled in the traditional ways, and he is able to make his way in the new world in business, politics and the wage economy. He provides materially for his family and his mother, and I think that there is every reason to believe that, conce this chapter in his life is closed, that the Court can have some confidence that whatever led to this offence will be eliminated, and he will not be before the Court again.

The Court wants to achieve certain things by imposing a sentence. What this Court wants to achieve is, first, restoration of the community peace;

\_ 27

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

NWT 5349/0687

reconciliation within the family; that this kind of conduct ends once and for all time; and, I have to agree with the Crown Attorney, that others who may find themselves in a situation of abusing their children be deterred - that is scared from ever even attempting this.

I have every confidence that once sentence is imposed and served, that the community will extend its forgiveness to Michael, and life will go on. But I think it has to be made abundantly clear that the Court will protect little children, and no man must do this kind of thing.

I have in mind the decision of the Alberta Court of Appeal in R v R., P., T., and S., and as well R v Adams (1981) 13 Man. R. (2d) Man. CA; R v Shalley(1983) 20AC 398, and finally the words of Tallis J., formerly of the N.W.T. Supreme Court, in R v Gordon (1984) 34 Sask.R. 232 CA. Balancing all of the factors before me as best I can, keeping in mind that the accused has paid a price already, and is paying a price today. Stand up Mr. Angottitauruq:

On this charge, it is the sentence of the Court that you be imprisoned for a period of five days. In addition to that, that you be placed on probation for nine months. You are to keep the peace and be of good behaviour. That simply means stay out of trouble. You are to report to the probation worker here in Gjoa Haven no less than once a month, and keep her informed as to

NWT 5349/0687

what is going on in your life, and how things are going. She can help you.

You are also to continue with your counselling with Dr. Clemmie on no less than four additional occasions. At the end of the nine months, it will be the end of the probation.

I would hope that the term of imprisonment can be served in the community. It is recognized it may be difficult, but that is my intention in fixing the days that I did. If it is possible, I want him to serve his term here.

Thank you, that is all.

(AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS ADJOURNED)

Certified a correct transcript,

Loretta Mott, Court Reporter

NWT 5349/0687