IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

D A.

Transcript of the Oral Sentencing Delivered by His
Honour Judge R. M. Bourassa, sitting at Rankin Inlet in
the Northwest Territories, on Tuesday, March 15th, A.D.,
1988.

APPEARANCES:

MR. R. PEACH:

Counsel for the Crown

MR. D. MILLER:

Counsel for the Defence

(CHARGE UNDER SECTION 153 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE.)

NWT 5349/0687

.

THE COURT: D A is convicted of an offence of sexual intercourse with his stepdaughter. There is no issue of consent here. That is to say, I infer from what is before me that the victim involved acquiesced or went along with the conduct, the act of intercourse by A . That removes it from the category of rape, or as it is now known, sexual assault. Rape or sexual assault is intercourse without consent. The difference in penalty is that on the offence that he has pleaded guilty to, it is a maximum of two years in jail. On an offence of sexual assault it is a maximum of 14

Section 153, illicit intercourse with a stepdaughter, recognizes the fundamental fact that any sexual activity with a daughter or stepdaughter is morally wrong. Even having said that, one has to question the quality of the consent or acquiescence of the victim in this case, who is mentally retarded. Even the accused's wife recognizes that the daughter cannot recognize the difference between filial love and sexual love. Whatever the niceties of the distinctions act remains - an abuse of a handicapped individual, the the sexual abuse of another woman. It is sexual exploitation of the worse kind. It is like the fellow who bumps into the girl passed out in the bathroom, and has intercourse with her or the fellow that walks into a room and finds a woman passed out and has intercourse with her.

I find the explanation offered by Mr. A for this conduct as being pretty farfetched. At best, it is a rationalization. I have no evidence of previous abuse.

26

27

Ī

NWT 5349/0687

I am asked to take into account that he has been depressed from time to time as a result of this offence.

I don't think the court can do much with that really. I am asked to take into account the length of time from the offence to today's date, the 4th of September to the 15th of March. I appreciate that the matter is hanging over his head over that period of time, but I want to point out to Mr.

A and others that lawyers advise, they don't run your case. This matter could have been disposed of a long time ago.

I have read the presentence report over a couple of times, and I take into account the positive contents of it. I take into account that he has no criminal record, and that he has, as on that date in any event, pleaded guilty to the offence.

I don't think there can be any question that a jail sentence must be imposed. The abuse of women is distressing and all too common. As painful as it may be for individuals and for communities, people are going to have to learn that they can't just abuse women like this, exploit them sexually and do what they wish.

I would speculate, perhaps with some justification, that imprisonment in Yellowknife will be of significant hardship to this accused. I am told he is not in the best of health, and at his age I don't envy him being in a prison full of teenagers and 20 year olds.

This case reminds me of the William Ukpakitu case from Baker Lake who was an older man, I think in his late 50's or

early 60's who was convicted of sexual assault on a family member. He was given six months imprisonment. That was a lenient sentence given that sentences for offences such as that were usually around three years. Unfortunately, William Ukpakitu didn't learn his lesson because within a year of his release from jail he was doing the same thing again only the next time he went to jail for a much longer period of time. I say that because I want D A to clearly understand that whatever mistakes he has made, if they are repeated, there will be very little between him and a lengthy term of imprisonment.

The offence is not the worst example in my view, or the worst scenario within the offence.

In my view, while it may be on the lenient side, and I suspect it probably is, a sentence served in the community where he will be seen by the community, and see himself within the context of the community as serving his term of imprisonment would be appropriate. It will hopefully serve to reinforce and point out to others what happens to people who get involved in this kind of thing. It will be a reminder to this accused about the wrongfulness of what he has done, and at the same time, not be totally destructive to him in the years he has left.

Stand up, please, Mr. A. . Is there anything you wish to say before the court imposes sentence?

THE ACCUSED:

No.

THE COURT:

On this matter I am going to sentence you to

a term of imprisonment of 90 days. I direct that you serve the term intermittently. I ask for your assistance, Mr. Peach. I realize it is going to be a difficulty for the local detachment, but the Charter of Rights provides that all persons are entitled to equal benefit under the law. In Yellowknife, were he working, it would be served on weekends. There is no magic to the weekend here in Rankin. I am looking to impose a term to be served intermittently of four days a week. In order to be the least disruptive to the busy detachment, I am prepared to make that at any time during the week or weekend whenever is most reasonable from your perspective.

MR. PEACH: I can indicate, Your Honour, that the quards are usually most easiest to obtain and most frequently required on weekends in any case.

THE COURT: You are to surrender yourself into custody at the R.C.M.P. detachment in Rankin Inlet on the 18th of March, that is this Friday, at 8 p.m. You will be released from custody on Monday, the 21st, at 7:00 in the morning, and thereafter each Friday to each Monday until your sentence is served in full. While you are at liberty during the week you will be subject to a probation order to keep the peace and be of good behavior. You will have your client wait and sign the probation order.

MR. MILLER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That's all.

(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

NWT 5349/0687

· 23

NWT 5349/0687

Certified a correct transcript,

Laurie Ann Young

Court Reporter