IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES 1 2 4 IN THE MATTER OF: 5 HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN 6 7 8 and -10 TERRENCE PATRICK NUGENT 11 12 Transcript of the Oral Sentencing of His Honour Chief 13 Judge J. R. Slaven, sitting at Inuvik, in the 14 Northwest Territories, on Thursday, October 29th, 15 A.D., 1987. 16 17 APPEARANCES: 18 MS. N. BOILLAT: On behalf of the Crown 19 MS. J. LILLEGRAN: On behalf of the 20 21 22 23 24 25 Charges under Sections 238(5) and 3(1) CRIMINAL CODE 26 3(1) Navotic Control Act 27

NWT 5349/0687

THE COURT: Mr. Nugent comes before the Court, being a man of
28 years old, and there is only one blemish on his record,
which was many years ago and many miles away, when he was
convicted of an offence completely unrelated to what is in
Court today, for which he was ordered to pay a fine of
\$150.00 and placed on probation. That was in 1979 in British
Columbia, so I feel I can virtually ignore that and view this
man as a first offender.

He decided to have a few drinks on the 9th of July of this year. He was living in his automobile, at the time, so he took his automobile with him to the Eskimo Inn and drank at the Sly Fox. He realized that he shouldn't drive his vehicle and arranged for someone else to drive him home. He went to sleep in the car, unfortunately, and that person did not drive him home but left him there sleeping.

The police arrived and found him there, and they demanded that he give a breath test. Mr. Nugent, as is understandable for a person who makes his living from driving and is now driving as a taxi driver in Inuvik, understandably has some knowledge of the law. He knew well enough when he got in the car, having been drinking, that he should not have the keys in the ignition and not have the keys on his person, and he did that by throwing the keys in the back seat.

I am satisfied completely that he had no intention whatsoever to drive or to take care and control of that vehicle, thereby breaking the law. Unfortunately, with a combination of circumstances, the police had seen him there,

and from information they'd been given over the phone, the police had reasonable grounds upon which to demand that he blow in the breathalyzer. Mr. Nugent quite understandably felt that he did not have to blow in the breathalyzer, because he had not been driving, had no intention to drive, he did not have the keys about him, so that he was not in a position to even start the car, let alone drive it. Unfortunately, his knowledge of the law there was insufficient. If he had blown, he would still likely have not been convicted of anything, because, as I say, I am satisfied he had no intention of driving the vehicle. But unfortunately for him, he in a way falls between a crack in the laws, and although he had no intention of driving, he nonetheless was guilty of refusing to blow after a demand made by a peace officer, who had reasonable and probable grounds to make that demand.

There are certain minimum penalties laid down in the CRIMINAL CODE; that is, a \$300.00 fine, a three months prohibition from driving. They are stiff enough, but even more important, I am sure, to Mr. Nugent is that because of the Town of Inuvik bylaws, he will not be allowed to have a taxi driver's licence for a period of, I believe, two years, because he has been convicted under the CRIMINAL CODE. And I have made these lengthy remarks in case he wishes to apply to the Town to keep his taxi licence for use after he gets his driver's licence back, in case there is any leeway in their bylaws that would permit them to give him favourable consideration. Accordingly, for all of those reasons, I am

NWT 5349/0687

going to impose the minimum penalties that I am able to.
Stand up, please, Mr. Nugent.

As is required by law, I convict you of refusing to blow.

I direct you pay a fine of \$300.00; in default of payment,

ten days imprisonment. I will give you four months time

within which to pay that fine. And I prohibit you from driving

for the minimum period of three months.

I don't know what the Town's bylaws provide regarding convictions under the Narcotic Control Act. In any event, you have never had any narcotics charges before, and in view of the small quantity found and the circumstances in which you find yourself, I do not convict you on the Narcotic Control Act and I discharge you absolutely on that charge.

(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED)

Certified a correct transcript,

Debora Chipperf (eld) Court Reporter