# IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

.

### REGINA

vs.

#### STEVEN LAWRENCE ITSI

Transcript of Sentencing before His Honour Judge
T.B. Davis, sitting at the Courthouse, Inuvik, in the
Northwest Territories, on Monday, December 11th A.D. 1989

D. A. McWhinnie, Esq.,

J. Lillegran, Ms.

W.M. Kiesewetter, CSR(A)

Appeared for the Crown

Appeared for the Defence

Official Court Reporter

(Charges under Section 4(1) of the Narcotic Control Act)

# INDEX

Submissions by Cr	cown	3
Submissions by De	efence	4
Speaking to Sente	ence	9
Sentence	pr 44 44 49 pr 44 44 48 48 48	11
Certificate of Tr	anscript	13

# INFORMATION (ON BEHALF OF HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN)

Form Formule 2 Section Articles 506 and 788

DÉNONCIATION

ADJUDICATION & DISPOSITION (AU NO	DM DE SA MAJESTÉ LA REINE) 89-725
JUGEMENT ET DÉCISIONS	03-123
CHARGE READ CHEF D'ACCUSATION	COURT NUMBER
22 Con L 60 / A///	NO DU TRIBUNAL (972.
DATE NUCE CASE OU SUGE DE PE	JUSTICE OF PEACE
CROWN ELECTION CHOIX DE LA COURONNE	No ou juge de Paix 919 9 B 2275 9 P
Summery Conviction Indictment	
Condemnation sommatre Accusation  ACCUSED ELECTION CHOIX DE L'ACCUSÉ	This is the information of : La présente constitue la dénonciation de
	_1
Juge de la Court erritoriale Juge at jury Judge Tuge	TAMES ROBERT ANDREWS
of sect of	ADRESSE Fort McPherson, N.W.T.
OATE JUDIE 22 -E-12 - JUGE OF JUGE 22 22	occupation a Peace Officer and a member of the
PLEA DEFENSE	Royal Canadian Mounted Police
Coupable Non coupable	hereinafter called the informant. ci-après appelé le dénonciate
It Sept G	
DATE NOGE OF WITTER NOGE OU NOGE DE PA	I IS THE INFORMANT MAS PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE:  S. LE GENOKATEUR A UNE COMMASSANCE PERSONNELLE OF L'IMPACTON  The Informant says that
FAILURE TO APPEAR DEFAUT DE COMPARAÎTRE	Le dénonciateur déclare que
Banch Warrant Issued: Mandat émis	OF THE INFORMANT DOES NOT HAVE PERSONAL CHOWLEDGE
manost anna [	X of the informant open not have respond thousand the provided of the second area with a set of commissance responsely of the informant has reasonable and probable grounds to believe
	and does believe that
DATE NOSE OR ASTICE - AGE OF AGE DE PA	
APPROPRIATE ENTRIES SHALL BE MADE ON THE REVERSE.	State the OFFINE SO GUES STATE TO STATE THE STATE TO STATE THE STATE THE STA
TOUTE MESURE PRISE AU SUJET DE CETTE DÉNONCIATION DOIT ÊTRE INSCRITE DANS LA CASE APPROPRIÉE AU VERSO	s
DISPOSITION DECISIONS	on or about the thirty-first day of August 1989
Convicted / A Somissed Withdrawn	at or near Fort McPherson, Northwest Territories,
Coupsble Acquitté Abandon L'	did unlawfully traffic in a narcotic, namely; cannabis resin, contrary to section 4(1) of the
Amende 300 =	Narcotic Control Act.
Surcharge	
Quiault:	<del>-</del>
Non comparation / Worth.	-
Défais de palement . Mulas .	
Suspended sentence:	
Conditional discharge: Libération conditionnalia :	7
Probation:   Gear - (60 his CSW)  Asstitution:	<u>-</u>
Restitution .	
Absolute discharge: Libération inconditionnelle :	
Imprisonment for: Oures de l'emprisonnement :	7
Order: Driving Décision Conduite	<del>-</del> -
	_
Order Firesims Décision : Armes à feu	_
Ordered to stand	,
Obligation de subir	
Stay of proceedings - 1000	<b>^  </b>
Suspension des poursuites	
STATISTICS RENSEIGNEMENTS PERSONNELS	<del></del>
J.1 M.A 1-7	
Date of birth: Date de naissance   1 6 0 2 71 Sex. MX F	]
DRIVER'S LICENCE NOL/PERVIS DE CONQUIRE Nº 1 MAR "AL STATUS / ETAT CIVIL	
single	1/1/
	SIGNATIAN OF PROGRAMAT - SIGNATURE OF OPHORE ATELS
Sworn before me Assermenté devent moi,	רניים ורניים ער בריים וריים ורים ור
this c day of ce lour de September	
<b>a</b> l.	F
19 89 . Fort McPherson	
in the Northwest Territories. aux Territoires du Nord-Quest.	1000.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00
ear initialità en latin. Anna	1
APPEARANCE PROMISE TO	
NOTICE APPEAR RECOGNIZANCE	Confirmed by:
	Confirmé par:
ORDRE DE PROMESSE DE ENGAGEMENT COMPARAÎTRE COMPARAÎTRE	OST CE OF THE PEACE + JUGE 26 PAIR SAYE

1	MS. LILLEGRAN: Mr. Itsi is here for sentencing today.
2	THE COURT: Yes. Mr. McWhinnie, you are on this
3	matter?
4	MR. MCWHINNIE: Correct, Sir. If you will recall on
5	the 28th of November, there was a trial before you at Fort
6	McPherson, at the conclusion of which the Accused was found
7	guilty to the offense of trafficking in a narcotic. The
8	matter was adjourned to today's date for preparation of a
9	pre-sentence report, or actually an update on a pre-sentence
10	report. Is it before the Court?
11	THE COURT: Yes, I have the pre-sentence report,
12	but I had better have you give me " quick review, if you
13	remember, of the details of what was found, and what amount,
14	and how it came about, the trafficking; otherwise I will have
15	to read over my four pages of notes here.
.16	MR. MCWHINNIE: The circumstances, Sir, as I recollect
17	the evidence was that when the primary Crown witness, Mr.
18	George Vittrekwa, was taken into custody at Fort McPherson,
19	on the offense date, he was found to have in his pocket, two
20	grams of hashish. He provided information to the Court as
21	to where he had obtained it, and subsequently Mr. Itsi was
22	charged, and proceeded to trial.
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	MR. MCWHINNIE: Crown alleges a prior criminal record
25	for this individual.
26	MS. LILLEGRAN: That is admitted, Your Honour.
27	MR. MCWHINNIE: There is one additional conviction to



that. In September, I believe it was, of 1989. That would be a subsequent matter, Sir, and I am not intending to rely on it at this time.

THE COURT:

Thank you.

MR. MCWHINNIE: Sir, the amount of narcotic involved in this particular occasion is not terribly great, so the Crown is not seeking a lengthy period of incarcation; however, I think the case law here in the Territories, and indeed in many of the jurisdictions in respect to the offense of trafficking is quite clear - even on a first time offender situation - where it is clear that the trafficking was a matter of a monetary matter, and not simply a favour, the one person giving or lending his narcotics to another, but a situation where it's a commercial transaction, and the item is sold; Courts have actively discouraged it, and generally have imposed incarceration with very very few exceptions.

I must acknowledge, however, that this young man, although he has a prior criminal record - most, if not all of his prior involvement with the law, deals with matters when he was a young person, he only having become an adult on the 16th of February, 1989. So this would likely be the first adult disposition made with respect to him, and I think the Court of Appeal has made it clear on many occasions, that even in cases where gaol is called for, the length of that term must be tempered by the fact that this is a young person - a relatively young person - and as a first, to gaol as it were as an adult, the short sharp shock theory is often applied.



Given the range for a first time offender, in a relatively small amount of narcotic, is usually expressed in months, and not that many; it would be my suggestion that perhaps a period somewhere between thirty days and three months would be a range in which this Accused could be comfortably placed in the circumstances. Those would be my submissions.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. LILLEGRAN: Sir, I would agree with my friend that Mr. Itsi is before the Court as a first time adult offender, and that the principles of sentencing are correct to the point where the Court of Appeal has certainly indicated that a young person before the Court, facing a gaol sentencing should not be facing a lengthy one.

In the particular facts of this case
the quantity of the drug was very small; and as I can recall
the evidence, I think it was the sum of \$15.00 was paid.

THE COURT:

I think it was about thirty.

MS. LILLEGRAN:

Was it thirty? I'm sorry, I thought i

MS. LILLEGRAN: Was it thirty? I'm sorry, I thought it was fifteen; but in any event the sum gained by Mr. Itsi, certainly doesn't place him in the commercial, I would submit, trafficking category as we think of it, which is something that is carried on over a period of time for considerable gain. Here we have what would appear to be an isolated incident, and in a way, probably more towards the favour end from one point of view. Mr. Vittrekwa's evidence, as I recall, was that he had been drinking for several days in a row, and he had approached Mr. Itsi himself - it wasn't that he was being



solicited for it. It was something that he felt that Mr. Itsi could provide; and there is no question here of corrupting young people or whatever. If I can recall, Mr. Vittrekwa was in his mid to late twenties, or what have you, and it is certainly an isolated transaction.

Just to update the pre-sentence report, the reference there to Mr. Itsi going trapping with his Uncle James, and that having not taken place due to a misunderstanding. What had happened in effect was that at that time when he was supposed to go out, Mr, Itsi had been enrolled in a job entering course, which as Your Honour can see was never completed. Mr. Itsi had come to Inuvik to stay with his parents briefly; but I understand that his plans are that tomorrow his father intends to drive him back to Fort McPherson whereupon his Uncle James and a cousin will pick him up, and they will be going out to their winter camp, which is about 50 miles outside of Eagle Plains, which is a fair distance from Fort McPherson.

Mr. Itsi had worked last ~ well it would be this year, I think, for Sonix (phonetic) in March, doing some seismic work; and in a 21 day period he earned some \$2,000 from that, being paid at the rate of \$6.50 per hour, and that's the kind of employment where he goes out to the camp and stays there, and then comes back in - or can do that. He is expecting to be called back again, but if not he intends to stay out at the winter camp for the winter trapping season, and would also have some income from fur



as well if the Sonix job does not go through, although he expects that it will. He seems to be a young lad who gets along with other people, but is a very quiet and shy person; the kind of person that never having been in an adult goal would certainly experience it in a way that probably others, maybe even of his own age, would not, in terms of its effect upon him.

that for the last couple of years he hasn't had very much family support, but that there seems to be somewhat of a shift in that his parents are allowing him to take care of their house in McPherson, It appears that he has difficulty dealing in a group or a counselling situation, and he is not comfortable because of his withdrawn and shy nature. He does drink, but there is no indication that liquor was involved in this particular offense. There appears not to be.

THE COURT: What causes this young man not to be able to follow through? He does fairly well for four weeks in the Life Skill program; and then in the two weeks that might give him a chance to get better employment in a job entering program - a training ground that prepares people - he gets expelled, because he has poor attendance and a poor attitude.

MS. LILLEGRAN: Apparently Mr. Itsi has informed me that the individual that was doing that part of the program, and he, had some kind of a personality clash, and he just seems to withdraw when that sort of thing happens.



Well if everybody else in the program THE COURT: stays with it, then maybe he has to change his attitude and adjust. As grownups we run into all kinds of people that we don't necessarily get along with, but you have to adjust yourself in life to be able to get by. It's a shame that he isn't putting himself in a better position to get employment, by at least completing that job entering program. I don't have the initial or the original MS. LILLEGRAN: of the report in front of me; but this report alludes to that, that there have been a number of difficult times in his up-10 bringing, and the fact that he has almost been left on his own 11 12 for the last couple of years, due to --It says that he is still a troubled 13 THE COURT: young person, and that there are other programs that he needs. 14 15 Now I don't know what those other programs are, but maybe 16 reporting to a Probation Officer might be necessary, in order 17 to line him up for such programs as the Probation Officer 18 feels appropriate. 19 There seems to be some slight improve-MS. LILLEGRAN: 20 ment in his attitude in that the parents are now giving him 21 some responsibility in looking after the house; but it is 22 clear from the writer's comments that he still has a long way 23 to go and he would benefit from supervised probation, and 24 seemed to get that. It is something that will get Mr. Itsi's 25 attention to the point where he realises that he needs to 26 get serious about himself.

There is a general range in sentencing,



27

10

12

13

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

for offenses, and Your Honour, I think that a sentencing earlier today of a person previously with no record, simple possession, and the quantity was more than we have here. we have, as Your Honour found, a completed offense of trafficking, but what amounts to a very minor quantity, and almost sort of an offhand being solicited by the purchaser, if you will, who, according to his evidence, I think went to go and look for - I found it very difficult to hear, but --THE COURT: I didn't get the impression that he was indicating that he really was looking for it. They met, but I couldn't tell from the evidence, or my notes, that one was approaching the other. I can't tell. MS. LILLEGRAN; From my understanding, and I would have to look at my notes, but it seems to be that Mr. Vittrekwa runs into Mr. Itsi at the Bay, or knows he is going to be there or whatever, and arranges the transaction. not a situation where Mr. Itsi is a sophisticated trafficker of any kind; and in the circumstances of this case, although we have a complete trafficking offense, given Mr. Itsi's age, the fairly minor quantity of the drug; given the comments in the pre-sentence report, I don't know, Your Honour, if a gaal sentence really is required. There have been instances where people have not received gael sentences for possessing, simple possession of far larger quantities; but I don't see this as anything like a sophisticated trafficking at all, and there is certainly no indication - there is nothing on Mr. Itsi's record that such is the case, and I'm asking Your Honour to



consider the imposition of perhaps a fine, for which he will have to work, and that will make him get serious, and then he will start taking either the trapping or the Sonix work seriously, or the job entering program seriously; and it also appears that he would benefit from a fairly lengthy period of supervised probation, from what I'm inferring from this report.

Those are my submissions.

THE COURT: Thank you. Steven Lawrence Itsi is now 19 years of age, and was found guilty of selling \$30.00 worth of soft drugs, hashish, to another person on the 31st of August, 1989.

for the past two years, in that he has not had substantial family support, and has been in custody as a minor on a number of occasions in the past for consuming alcohol as a minor, breaching probation orders, and mischief charges. He did community service work before that in 1987, and had been on probation, and was in a custody receiving home as a young person at various time. He therefore is convicted of his first offense as an adult on this charge. He has worked as a fire fighter in the past, and has had some experience in trapping. He has also in 1989 taken a Life Skill program, but didn't have quite enough sense to control his own attitude and didn't finish off on a two week additional job entering program, because there was a personality conflict between him and a teacher. It is unfortunate, because the only person to



lose out on it is the student, not the teacher.

He has been a quiet and withdrawn young person, but gets along well with people. He talks to some close persons when he isn't feeling too happy or is somewhat depressed; and when he is under the influence of alcohol, he doesn't have much control of himself. He is abusive and a nuisance.

The Social Service officer seems to have analysed his situation and says that he needs further help, and should be encouraged to seek some help through programs. She is hoping that he is growing up to the point now where he will start to realise that he has to take responsibility for everything on his own, even though he hasn't had much support in the past.

It is perfectly proper for Crown counsel to suggest a short period of time in gaol for the Accused, because the Courts generally do not want to in any way have persons who traffic in drugs not be penalized; but because of the age of the Accused, and his background, I am more concerned at this time about his own rehabilitation, and feel that possibly a fairly substantial fine, which will require him to become involved with employment, and a period of probation on which he would be required to do community service work, and report and take counselling; might be of benefit to him and ultimately more value to the community. It would be nice if I could also impose on his record one day in gaol, so that he knows he has been warned that if he comes



back to the Court for any charges, he is likely to be in gaol. Instead of that I am going to impose a fine in the amount of \$300.00, or in default thereof one month in gaol. In addition to that he will be on probation for a period of one year, and shall report to a Probation Officer as and when directed. He shall perform sixty hours of community service work when and as directed. That makes the assessment, if you were given credit for \$5.00 an hour as is available in the Fine Options program, something in the vicinity of \$600.00 in value here that this is costing you today, Mr. Itsi. So I want you to make sure that you think this over and don't get involved with anything in the future,

I am also going to require that you shall participate in any counselling or treatment programs recommended by the Probation Officer.

Now do you understand what I have

said today?

1.0

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

18 MR. ITSI: M'hmm.

THE COURT: You will have to sign the probation order, and I think weld better have it so that you will return as well to the Court which is going to be over in the City

22 Council Chamber on Thursday afternoon - sometime between

2,30 and 5,00 to sign the probation order. Would that be

24 more suitable, Madam Clerk?

25 MS. LILLEGREN: Your Honour, I understand Mr. Itsi will

be leaving Inuvik tomorrow with his father, to return to Fort

McPherson, so he won't be here on Thursday. Perhaps he



1 1	might just stay.
1 2	THE COURT: All right, that's fine, the Clerk has
3	said that she will be able to prepare it today. Now this
4	offense occurred on the 31st of August, but under the circum-
ģ 5	stances I think that he doesn't have employment at the
6	present time a surcharge would be a hardship, so there is no
<b>7</b>	surcharge.
8	MS. LTLLEGREN: Sir, was there time to pay the fine?
9	THE COURT: I don't think we worked that out. When
10	can you pay the \$300,00?
11	MS. LILLEGREN: Perhaps three months, Your Honour, and
12	if he has any difficulty there, if he is also doing community
13	service work he could maybe make arrangements to use the Fine
14	Options program,
15	THE COURT: Yes, we will allow him three months
16	in which to pay. Maybe we had better put it at four months,
17	and he can possibly get involved with both, and it will be
18	easier to put it at four and have an extra month,
19	MS. LILLIGREN: Thank you, Your Honour.
20 21	<u> </u>
22	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED
23	
24	
25	
26	
· -	

## Certificate of Transcript:

I, W.M. Kiesewetter, CSR(A) Official Court Reporter, hereby certify that I attended at the above proceedings and took faithful and accurate shorthand notes, and the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability.

1 tomkiesewetter

W.M. Kiesewetter, CSR(A)

Official Court Reporter.

Dated at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta, on this 2nd day of January, A.D. 1990.

