IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

– and –

KIM B. MELENCHUK

Transcript of the oral Judgment of His Honour Judge T.B. Davis, sitting at Baker Lake, in the Northwest Territories, Monday, January 23rd, A.D. 1989.

APPEARANCES:

MR. B. PURDY

Counsel for the Crow

MR. W.G. PARRETT, Q.C. Counsel for the Defence

(Charge under Section 245 of the Criminal Code)

NWT 5349/0687

1 The charge today is that Kim Melenchuk, a police THE COURT: officer, assaulted Jason Ungungai while he was in the cells 2 on the 11th of August, 1988. 3 The evidence is not contradictory in any way in that Jason had been at a party and had consumed a substantial amount of liquor, and by his own evidence indicates that he was intoxicated and quite drunk He did not resist arrest when he was picked up at the time. on the street, having been observed by the police officer in a condition where he was staggering and arrested under The 10 Liquor Act.

> He went to the cells, and after his girlfriend was later brought into the cells and after he had had a short sleep for approximately an hour, Jason asked the police officer if he could use the telephone. He was escorted from the cell to the office in the police station and allowed to use the telephone, which he did. When he called his father and spoke to him in Inuktitut, the police officer heard Jasor say, "Thanks for calling the police," in a somewhat sarcastid tone and was under the impression that Jason was not being respectful to his father and was not obtaining a telephone number of a lawyer that was a relative from somewhere in Alberta or Saskatchewan. The police officer, therefore, had him finish his conversation, took the telephone from the Complainant, and then directed him back in the direction of the cells. This was observed to some extent by the guard who had been called in and who had given evidence that there was nothing so irregular that he took note of it in any way.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Shortly thereafter and after the police officer and the Complainant had gone around the corner away from the view of the guard and within seconds, he heard some choking sounds and did observe the police officer then with one of his hands on the neck of Jason Ungungai, which appeared to be choking him. The police officer gave evidence that he was, in fact, using a choke-hold on Jason, because in moving from the telephone back to his cell, Jason had turned quickly, and it was the opinion of the police officer that he had his arms and hands raised to the extent that he felt he must take control of the prisoner and avoid an assault. He held his hands on the throat of the prisoner until such time as he noticed that the resistance had diminished sufficiently that he then carried on and placed him in the cells and turned the light off so that the prisoner could go to sleep, at the request of the prisoner.

The Criminal Code requires that police officers restrict their amount of force to that which is necessary to control prisoners or other persons being arrested. It also authorizes the police officer to use whatever force is necessary to have control over persons when being arrested and when in cells and when in custody.

It would seem to me that on the evidence before me the police officer did not in any way apply excessive force, and therefore, although there was force used, the charge before the Court cannot be classified as an assault, because in the process of maintaining control in the jail or at the

1 2

3

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

scene of an offence the police officer must have, and under the Criminal Code does have, authority to apply whatever force is necessary to ensure that he can maintain appropriate and proper conduct of persons in custody.

Under the circumstances, I feel that there is no doubt in my mind that no excessive force was used. time, however, I do wish to say that Jason Ungungai appeared to me to me very truthful in the evidence that he had given. He did not exaggerate, and he did on a number of occasions say he did not remember various things. For that, I feel that it is appropriate that I indicate that it is the proper thing to tell the Court if a person does not, in fact, remember. In this case he indicated that he did not remember what, in fact, had happened, both in the reaction that he had or in how a number of things had occurred and what he had talked about to his father or to the police officer and other circumstances. It is helpful when the Court can feel that those persons who have given evidence, even if they are claiming that somebody has assaulted them, if the Court can feel that that evidence was given and truthful.

The Court has found that there was no excessive force used and that the force that was used was authorized and proper under the Criminal Code, and the charge against the accused is dismissed.

(AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS CONCLUDED).

Certified a correct franscript

MacDonald,