IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES 1 3 IN THE MATTER OF: HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN 6 7 and -8 TERRENCE PATRICK NUGENT 10 11 12 Transcript of the Reasons for Judgment of Chief Judge 13 J. R. Slaven, sitting at Inuvik, in the Northwest 14 Territories, on Thursday, October 29th, A.D., 1987. 15 16 APPEARANCES: 17 MS. N. BOILLAT: On behalf of the Crown 18 MS. J. LILLEGRAN: On behalf of the Defend 19 20 21 22 23 24

Charges under Sections 238(5) and 3(1) CRIMINAL CODE

NWT 5349/0587

25

26

2

3

\*

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

THE COURT: I have heard evidence from five or six witnesses in this matter, and they are all pretty well in agreement and the facts are quite clear, I think.

Mr. Nugent parked in front of the Eskimo Inn. He intended to drink a quantity of liquor that day, and he went into the Sly Fox, in the Eskimo Inn, and drank quite a bit. He was apparently using his car as his living quarters, parking it at the Happy Valley Campground, which is quite some distance from the Inn.

For some reason, he returned to his car, he got in, he saw Constable White, he threw his keys in the back seat, and he says he knows enough about the law that if you've got the keys in the ignition or in your pocket or on your person, you can be convicted of care and control, so he got rid of the Constable White, in the sight of Constable Jones, came over and told him not to drive, to keep away from the car, or he'd be arrested. So, he left the car and he talked to some people and eventually went back in the Sly Fox. He had one drink and told the bar manager, Mrs. Martindale, that Constable White had given him a break -- maybe he felt he'd got a breakbecause when he first saw Constable White, he had the keys still in his possession, that he had told Constable White he had thrown the keys in the back seat. He had another drink and said he was going to get someone to drive him to the Happy Valley Campground.

He went into the coffee shop in the hotel and he met a friend, Samantha Tory, and asked her if she'd drive him home. She said, okay. He left, and she went as soon as she finished

her coffee, went out and found him sleeping in the back seat with his feet hanging out and the door opened. She pushed his feet in, put a pillow under his head; there was a blanket or a sleeping bag there, which she placed on the floor. She closed the door and left him. So, this was about 1:30 in the afternoon. She returned about five, and he was still asleep in the back seat.

At 6:20, Constable Hann received a phone call from a male person who refused to identify himself, saying that the accused had tried to start his vehicle, that the accused was drunk. And the caller was upset; he said he thought this was wrong. He stated that the vehicle was at the Eskimo Inn and it was a grey and purple vehicle.

Constable Hann got Constable Jones, who was still on duty, and took her along with him. It was her first day on duty in Inuvik, having recently been transferred here, and she told him of the dealings she and Constable White had had with the accused a couple of hours ago. She described the accused's vehicle.

Constable Hann and Constable Jones arrived at the Eskimo
Inn, and they found a vehicle matching the description
given on the phone and by Constable Jones, still in the same
place where Constable Jones and Constable White had seen it
earlier. At this time, the accused was asleep behind the
wheel, it appeared, directly behind the wheel, with his legs
sprawled, his head back and his arms at his side. It would
appear that between the time Samantha Tory last saw him in the

2

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27 back seat about 5:30, and now at about 6:30 -- he doesn't remember -- but he must have got out of the back seat and got in the front seat. The keys eventually were found jammed between the seat and the back door, so apparently they hadn't been moved.

I am satisfied on the evidence I heard that at no time after Constable White first approached the accused, at no time did the defendant intend to drive that vehicle. On the evidence before me, I think it is obiter, but I think it would be sufficient for me to find that he did not have the necessary intent so that he could be convicted of having care and control while impaired.

However, the issue, it is agreed, is that Constable Hann had reasonable and probable grounds to make the demand. accept, on the decision of the Saskatchewan Court of Appeal in R. v. Strongkill, that the evidence of the phonecall is admissible for the limited purpose of showing that Constable Hann had reasonable and probable grounds to believe that the accused had committed within the preceding two hours, as a result of the consumption of alcohol, an offence under Section The caller had 237, that is, of being in care and control. said that the accused had just started, just attempted to start the vehicle. Also, I think we can accept the evidence of what Constable Jones said to Constable Hann about the same person. He had the conversation on the phone in his mind; he had the information given to him verbally by Constable Jones in his

He found the accused in his car, as described. found the accused behind the wheel. He didn't see keys, but he saw a number of loose wires in the dashboard area. The accused immediately awoke. Constable Hann formed the opinion that he was impaired, having slurred speech, that he swayed, his face was flushed and his eyes were glassy. So, I am satisfied that Constable Hann had reasonable and probable grounds to believe the accused had committed within the preceding two hours an offence under Section 237. fact that he might not have been in care and control was not a reasonable excuse for Mr. Nugent to refuse to blow, although he apparently thought that it was. I believe he said, I'm not going to blow, I didn't have the keys, et cetera; and I think he well believed that he didn't have to blow. He had some knowledge of the law, and unfortunately, it wasn't sufficient.

Accordingly, I find him quilty on the charge under Section 238(5) and also the charge under Section 3(1) of the Narcotic Control Act.

(AT WHICH POINT THIS MATTER WAS CONCLUDED)

Certified a correct transcript,

Court Reporter

27

23

24

25

26