IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE OUTEN VS.

JIM RADDI



Transcript of the Oral Sentencing delivered by His Honour Judge B. A. Bruser, sitting at Tuhtoyaktuk in the Northwest Territories, on Wednesday March 7th, A.D. 1990

APPEARANCES:

MR. JOHN CLIFFE:

MISS JOYCE LILLEGRAN: >

Counsel for the Crown Counsel for the Defence

267 1 (a) CC; 264.1. (1) (a)

THE COURT:

This is a most unfortunate case. I have listened attentively to what Mr. Raddi had to say. I trust that what Mr. Raddi had to say will have its effect on other people in the community. At his age he has gone through a lot in his life and his words for the most part were words of wisdom.

I am sentencing him today for two of the more serious offences in the Criminal Code of Canada. The evidence of your wife, Mr. Raddi, which I chose to prefer over your testimony, shows the circumstances of what happened to have been most alarming. In effect you laid a beating upon her. I rejected, as I indicated, your version. The facts were related earlier in this courtroom at the request of the Crown, and I will not repeat them.

What you did was to terrorize your wife so much that she fled the home in the middle of winter without footwear and in fleeing the home, she was fleeing you, her husband.

Constable Mayo, who attended at the home in response to the complaint noticed that your wife was very upset and crying. In spite of what you had to say to the people in this courtroom, and in spite of what is in the presentence report, I intend to quote the words of Justice De Weerdt of our Supreme Court in a case he decided on November 28th, 1989. He was dealing with a man named Stanley Desjarlais who had beaten a woman and who had a record for beating women. You have a record consisting of one conviction for having beaten your wife. While the record is almost five

NWT 5349/0687

years ago, it is not very old.

Justice De Weerdt had this to say -- he was dealing with a man who was found guilty of assault with a weapon as you have been found. He sentenced that man to one year imprisonment, to be followed by one year of probation. Mr. Desjarlais's record was far worse than yours. Here are the words of the Supreme Court Judge, in part:

"... It doesn't take very much to be the kind of man that beats up on women. That sort of thing is beneath contempt. It is a sign of someone who is so weak that he looks for someone weaker than himself. If a man is strong then he can contend with himself and control himself and not take out his anger on those who are weaker than himself."

I understand that you were in a situation in which you exploded. I understand that you felt, without my passing judgment on your wife, that she was carrying on in an irresponsible manner. Nevertheless you had a duty under the law to control your temper. As you put it earlier in this courtroom, "... you best ought to leave and not put up with this". You said words to that effect. In my view those were wise words.

I believe that you are coming around in terms of your ability to control your drinking and in your ability to manage your temper. You still do have, (at least you did last month in this courtroom), an explosive side to your personality. I saw you explode two times in court. You have to deal with your alcohol problem and you have to deal

with your temper.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2

22

23

24

25

26

27

Taking into account everything including the record, what your lawyer has said, what the Crown has said, the facts of what happened, the contents of the excellent presentence report, most of which is favourable and all the other factors that I have to consider, I am of the view that imprisonment is needed. You are going to jail. The ultimate purpose of the criminal justice system is to protect the public. can do that by helping you, by stopping you from doing this type of thing again and I can do it as well by imposing a punishment which is firm enough to help to get your message across to other people in the community, that they too must not put themselves in a similar situation. I also consider public condemnation as a factor of sentencing. All of these things are important in protecting the public. Meighing all of those things along with what I said earlier, I feel that a fit period of imprisonment is five months on each count concurrent, along with probation for a period of twelve months after your release.

I recognize that jail will be hard on you. If it were not for your age and your medical problem, the period I can assure you, would have been longer. Jail may be hard on your family. The Department of Social Services and your family will have to get together to do what they can.

The probation terms of one year will be the following:
You will keep the peace and be of good behaviour. You will
appear before the court when and as required to do so by

the Court. Within 7 days of your release you will report to the probation officer and thereafter report when and as directed by the probation officer. Throughout the entire time you will be under the supervision of the probation officer. You will take counselling when, and as directed by the probation officer, along with any treatment directed by him or her. This is to include alcohol counselling anger management counselling and family counselling. You will, for the first six months of that term, abstain absolutely from the possession and consumption of alcoholic beverages. You will have no contact in a direct manner with your wife.

Finally I make an order under Section 100 of the Criminal Code on Count 1, prohibiting you from possessing any firearms, ammunition, or explosive materials for a period beginning today and ending five years after your release from imprisonment. Do you own any firearms, ammunition or explosive material? If so, how long will you need to surrender them in a lawful manner?

MR. RADDI: Well, I guess I got so much belongings in my house it's going to take me a while to gather my stuff.

THE COURT: Could you do that within 30 days?

You can't do it yourself, but you could authorize someone to do so on your behalf. I will give you 30 days to do that.

I see no reason not to impose the mandatory victim of crime surcharge in this case and I do that. The amount will be \$35.00. That is set by law. The default will be

WHT 5349/0687

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
0	
7	
8	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	ĺ
112	
19	
20	
20	
	-
21	-
	-
20	
22	1
23	J
24	1
	1
25	-
-0	
	1

MISS LILLEGRAN:

THE COURT:

five days consecutive. How long will you need to pay that amount?

MR. RADDI: I could pay it now.

THE COURT: I will give you until the end of this month, March 30th.

(DISCUSSION RE WEAPON)

THE COURT: Fine, I will do nothing about it.

Do you have anything further, Miss Lillegran?

(CONCLUDED)

No, Your Honour.

That is all then.

Certified a correct transcript

Peg Leighland, Court Reporter