IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF: 6 7 HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN 8 VS 9 10 11 BERNARD LITTLE 12 13 14 15 16 Transcript of the Oral Sentencing Delivered by His Honour 17 Judge T. B. Davis, sitting at Yellowknite Northwest Territories, on Tuesday, June 29 Coupeth, W. D., 1988. 18 19 20 21 APPEARANCES: 22 MS. S. AITKEN: 23 MR. V. FOLDATS: Counsel for the Defence 24 25 26

NWT 5349/0887

27

(Section 245.1(1)(a) C.C.)

(Unedited by Presiding Judge)

E

THE COURT: Bernard Little was convicted of a charge that on the 17th of April, at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, in 1988, he did, in committing an assault upon an employee of a local hotel, threaten to use a weapon, namely a knife, contrary to Section 245.1(1)(a) of the Criminal Code.

The court found on that occasion, after hearing evidence submitted on a preliminary inquiry that was converted to a trial, that the accused was intoxicated, and when the employee of the hotel came upon him as a result of some complaints that there was noise, the accused turned around, and in his hand was holding a knife in front of him that was taken by the employee to be of some concern, so the employee immediately and without hesitation disarmed the accused, and put him to sleep by knocking him out while he was in an intoxicated condition.

The accused himself did nothing with regard to activities or movement, but the court made the decision that just the presence of the knife and turning around and holding it in front of him when he turned was such that he could be convicted under Section 245.1(1)(a) of the Code.

I therefore have to determine today whether or not holding the knife in that way was a violent act or the commission of an indictable offence in which violence against a person is used, threatened or attempted, and for which the offender may be sentenced to imprisonment etc. according to Section 98(1).

I don't feel in any way there was any use or attempted use of the knife, and at the time the circumstances were such that it may not have been that the accused was himself

threatening to commit violence, although he did have the weapon in his hand, and therefore was a threat with regard to using the weapon, that being a small knife, and the fact that he turned and did resist to some extent, and therefore caused the employee to be concerned and felt that he was assaulted by the actions of the accused in turning about when the employee approached.

I am not satisfied that it is necessary under Section 98(1) to find that he has in fact attempted to commit any violence or that there was any violence involved other than the presence of the weapon in this instance, and therefore, I do not intend to impose the Section 98(1) order which would be mandatory if I found that the offence was committed in which violence did occur by any of these methods.

The accused has been an active person in the community and on the occasion was intoxicated. The Crown counsel and Defence have both indicated that generally the circumstances were of a minor nature concerning the seriousness of the type of offence itself, and therefore, recommend that a suspended sentence and probation would be in order, even though the accused has some previous convictions in 1980 and '83 related to narcotics, and then in 1986 related to driving while over the alcohol limit. They are unrelated to the type of offence before the court today.

The recommendations that have been sent in by employers and organizations, or representatives of various organizations that have benefited from his involvement, as well as a copy of a

letter that he sent apologizing to the hotel management indicates that he has had remorse, and is a person who was acting out of character. I am going to give the benefit of his background then and his involvement to him, and accept the recommendations being made by counsel, and at this time suspend the passing of sentence.

I will place the accused on probation. It would seem to me that he has shown sufficient remorse that nothing more than the probation order requiring him to keep the peace and be of good behavior would be required, and therefore, the probation period will be for six months, and the statutory terms only involved.

The knife was of an ordinary type of pocket knife, and it seems that there is no reason to require anybody to purchase another one to replace it, especially since he uses it at times for his work which is involved with technical equipment for entertainment productions, and therefore, I am directing that at the expiry of the appeal period the knife can be returned to the proper owner.

MR. FOLDATS: Thank you, Your Honour. I will have Mr. Little wait until the probation order is typed so he can sign it.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you. Mr. Little, the clerk will be preparing that, and you will sign it when it is ready. Since the sentence has been suspended, you will have to be fully aware of the fact that if you were to in any way commit any offence or disobey the probation order during this period of time that it is in effect, for six months, you then can be

called back to the court and sentenced on the matter that is before the court today, so it is doubly important that you make sure you stay out of trouble during the next six months at least.

MR. FOLDATS: Thank you, Your Honour.

(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

Certified a correct transcript,

Laurie Ann Young

Court Reporter