IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

EKAKSAK AMAGOALIK

Transcript of the oral sentencing delivered by His
Honour Judge R.M. Bourassa, sitting at Resolute Bay,
in the Northwest Territories, Thursday, February 12th,
A.D. 1987.

APPEARANCES:

MR. R. FLAHERTY

Counsel for the Crown

MR. J. VERTES, Q.C.

Counsel for the Defence

(Charge under Section 245.2 of the Criminal Code)

NWT 5349/0887

Well, once again the distillery brings another THE COURT: individual before the Court. There are a number of factors I want to deal with. There were a number of submissions. But first of all is the question of consumption of alcohol. I don't think I have ever seen it put quite in the terms as it has been put in the pre-sentence report. "The subject and many others do not regard alcohol as a problem in their lives but as a common item of consumption." The subject regards alcohol as not being a problem. He drinks, gets drunk and passes out. You brush your teeth, your mouth feels nice, you get drunk, and you pass out. It's a consumable. I am astounded, frankly, that after just about killing someonle that this man doesn't see alcohol as a problem in his life. I point out to Mr. Amagoalik that out of some five thousand cases a year that the Territorial Court deals with, ninety-five per cent of them involve drunks or people drunk at the time they committed their offences. It's present in almost every single act of violence. I would also point out to Mr. Amagoalik that you are more liable to die in the Northwest Territories of being shot, stabbed, beaten or otherwise, as the government calls it, through acts of 'misadventure', than any other thing that causes death -- old age, heart disease, cancer or whatever -- and it's precisely incidents like this, drunken parties where someone's sensitivities are offended because of some innocuous remark and a man like Amagoalik grabs a rifle, and the firearm is thrown into the situation of alcoholic excess. It's hardly surprising that someone

ends up bleeding or dying on the floor. In that regard, this Court can have very little sympathy or very little compassion for this accused. It's not as though it's something new.

This Court has come to this community on at least a dozen occasions. Everytime the Court comes to this community there are acts of violence on the docket. Everytime the Court has to deal with those acts of violence alcohol is involved.

I am asked to impose a sentence close to two years in the name of general deterrence. I am not optimistic, nor do I really in my heart believe that such a sentence would deter anyone. It would seem that the Court's so-called deterrent sentences are powerless when it comes to the effects and the rampages of alcoholic abuse, and this man is a living example, victim and the problem when it comes to alochol abuse. It is unbelievable that alcohol should be such an item in his life to reach something as a mundame consumable, and it is discouraging and disheartening, and it does not give the Court any optimism that a two-year term of imprisonment or a five-year term of imprisonment will make any changes in this man's life when it comes to consuming alcohol. positive change, Mr. Amagoalik, is that you don't drink a drop ever again. I don't know if you will learn that lesson. I tend to think not. It's going to be extremely difficult when you're released from jail and you come back to this community, because you won't be particularly welcome. Nobody likes to have a teetotaler in a room where everybody is getting drunk. But I can tell you as sure as we are all

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

NWT 5349/0687

3

5

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

sitting here today that the next drunken party, next week, next month, next year, someone else is going to be shot and stabbed and wounded, beaten, and as long as there are people like you who can't control themselves when they're drinking, it's going to continue.

I accept what has been submitted by Defence counsel that this is out of character. Were there any indication before me that this was in character by way of previous criminal record with previous charges of assault, for example, then I would not hesitate to consider imposing a term of imprisonment in excess of two years. I want to point that out to Mr. Amagoalik so he understands one thing. He has committed a terrible offence, he has made a terrible mistake, and he is going to pay a substantial price. old enough to learn from all of this. One thing you have to learn, Mr. Amagoalik, in my humble opinion, is to stay away from booze forever, for the rest of your life. If you don't and you get into trouble again, something like this, then I fear, and I don't say this by way of threat, simply by way of reality, you will be going away for many years. Learn your lesson, pay the price, and don't ever take another drop, Mr. Amagoalik, because you might just as well slit your If you don't learn your lesson, as I have said, own throat. then the arguments that protected you today, that this act is out of character, won't be available for you the next time. People will conclude that you're a violent man.

I believe it is extremely important that in

communities such as we have in the north, Resolute Bay with just around a hundred people, that it is of critical importance there be reconciliation between the victim and the offender and that there be a restoration of the community peace. There is no room for bad feelings. There is no room for what this Court is already aware of with respect to this incident where this accused has been attacked by a relative of the victim. It was a terrible incident, indeed.

Everyone is going to be paying a price. The only good that can come out of it is that people stop drinking. When this man is released from prison, life has to go on, and the victim will have to be satisfied with the price that this accused is going to have to pay.

The accused has pleaded guilty. While not at the first opportunity, I don't fault or view cynically in any way or to the prejudice in any way of the accused that he elected Judge and jury and re-elected and pleaded. I accept that he has pleaded guilty with good advice at the first reasonable opportunity, and that is to be taken in substantial mitigation. It is suggested in some cases that as much as one-third of an otherwise appropriate sentence should be deducted to reflect a guilty plea. The other thing, of course, that is disturbing about this case, disturbing for the accused, is that by operation of law it would appear that he is going to lose his right to carry a firearm, possess a firearm for five years. That could be increased to ten years. I would point out to this accused, and I would hope that he

would point out to his friends, that having a firearm is not a right. It's not something that we're born with. something that can't be taken away from us. It is a privilede, and it is a privilege that is lost when people abuse it. If people are going to use firearms to kill each other or shoot each other and scare each other, then they won't be able to have firearms. What is troublesome in this particular case is that, as best as I can determine from the pre-sentence report, which is a comprehensive one, the accused's whole livelihood is explicitly to do with firearms. He's a guide and a hunter, although he does some labour work from time to time. He does some local repairs from time to time. But obviously he has land skills, and that's how he lives. I accept that he doesn't provide for a family that way, but he is providing for himself. the provision that that gives him, he doesn't appear to hesitate to spread it amongst friends and relatives in the community. Taking away his privileges with respect to firearms is going to be, indeed, a very, very severe and harsh penalty. Not only will it be a great prejudice, in my view, to his own self-image, his own independence, but it may very well exacerbate the situation when it comes to drinking. Ιt may very well give the accused further cause to drink for depression. This Court remains extremely uncomfortable with a law signed in Ottawa, which on the one hand I would wholeheartedly endorse when it's used, as I'm sure the drafters intended it to be used, when someone robs a bank or uses a

firearm in the middle of downtown Kingston or Toronto. No
one ever thought of the Inuit or the Dene when they dreamed
up that one.

Stand up, please, Mr. Amagoalik. Is there anything you want to say on your own behalf before I sentence

thing you want to say on your own behalf before I sentence you? With respect to the offence, I am going to sentence you to a term of imprisonment of one year. I am going to endorse on the warrant a strong recommendation that you serve your time at the Baffin Correctional Centre and that counselling with respect to alcohol abuse be made available to you on a regular and continuing basis throughout your term of imprisonment. With respect to the Section 98 order, I believe I should consider this matter in some detail. Therefore, I am going to reserve making that order until I have considered it.

(AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS CONCLUDED).

Jill MacDonald Court Reporter