IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:



HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

MARK RICHARD BELAND

Transcript of Reasons for Sentencing and Sentencing held before His Honour, Judge B.B. Bruser sitting at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories on Friday, July 27th, A.D., 1990.

APPEARANCES:

MR. M. DALE

MR. R. PEACH

Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the Defence

(CHARGES UNDER SECTION 271 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE

1	THE	CLERK:	Mark Beland.			
2	THE		Before I sentence Mr. Beland, do			
3		Counsel have anything further?				
4	MR.		No, sir.			
5	MR.	PEACH:	No, Your Honour.			
6	THE	COURT:	Mr. Beland, do you now want to say			
7		anything to the Court?				
8	THE	ACCUSED:	No, sir.			
9	THE	COURT:	Mark Richard Beland is charged that on			
10	or about July 14th of this year near the city of					
11		Yellowknife he	committed a sexual assault on R.J.R.			
12		that caused bod	ily harm to her. The Court accepted a			
13		guilty plea to	the offence of sexual assault. The			
14		Crown consented	to such a plea after it was offered by			
15		the accused. The	ne accused is therefore found guilty of			
16	committing a sexual assault that caused bodily harm.					
17		An order was made earlier directing that the identity				
18		of the complaina	ant and any information that could			
19		disclose her ide	entity not be published in any document			
20		or broadcast in	any way.			
21		The circums	stances before the Court are			
22		shocking. They	reflect a period of terror for the			
23		victim. Through	out the incident, Mr. Beland, you			
24		showed a contemp	tuous disregard for the feelings and			
25		personal integri	ty of the 24 year old			
26		complainant. You	ou attacked her in a residence in an			
27		isolated location	n. She was entitled to feel safe			

there. You were an invited guest at the cabin. She trusted you. You violated that trust. As her brother-in-law you abused the relationship that existed between the two of you.

The facts show that throughout the incident you were persistent in your determination to force yourself upon her. Despite her struggles, you managed two acts of sexual intercourse at two locations. To help yourself succeed in your selfish and cruel pursuit, you choked the complainant, stuffed a sock in her mouth, forced her out of the home and to a latrine where, when she continued to resist, you punched her in the face. Sometime after the sock had been removed, R.J.R. told you that you were killing her. She became exhausted and she couldn't fight anymore and the second act of sexual intercourse then occurred.

After you had accomplished your purposes, you left her wet, muddy and frightened and alone for a short period of time. When it was all over you threatened to kill yourself. The complainant was bruised, cut and emotionally upset throughout the incident and for awhile afterwards. Crown Counsel says that she has not been emotionally scarred. Quite properly Crown Counsel chose not to call upon R.J.R. to testify. He made that decision out of respect for her feelings.

Crown Counsel has characterized this as a major sexual assault. Defence Counsel says that it is not a major sexual assault. I agree with the Crown. This was a major sexual assault.

The key to a major sexual assault lies in the blameworthiness of the offender. There is a large measure of blameworthiness in this case. I have already referred to your contemptuous disregard for the feelings and personal integrity of your victim. As well, there is a strong sense of violation and outrage in what you did.

As stated in the Sandercock decision of the Alberta Court of Appeal,

"...to experience a sudden and real threat to one's well-being, a threat so intense that one must beg to be spared, tends to destroy that sense of personal security which modern society strives to offer and humanity so obviously wants".

The complainant has not yet completely forgiven you. I do not know why she would have to. She still harbours strong feelings about what happened. While it is true that she feels sympathetic toward you, and has helped you which shows her humanity and her compassion, I conclude that there has been and still is a degree of emotional or pyschological harm that you caused. I interpret those terms in a broad way,

not in a clinical way.

The starting point for a major sexual assault is three years imprisonment, assuming a mature accused with previous good character and no criminal record. A consideration of the aggravating and mitigating factors must be weighed to arrive at a fit sentence. The sentence may, after doing this, be three years, it may be less than three years or it may be more than three years.

The following are some of the factors in your favour that I have taken into account. The list is not necessarily exhaustive. There is the prompt guilty plea. By this you have spared R.J.R. from having to testify. You are being given substantial credit for doing so. The guilty plea also supports the remorse that you have shown and which this Court accepts as being genuine.

You have no record. It is apparent that what you did was not part of your usually mature character. The physical injuries to the victim were less severe than might have been expected given the considerable and prolonged violence which was used. She was lucky and so are you for that matter.

The complainant still accepts you as part of the extended family. Once again her attitude is commendable. The punishment which is about to result will reach past you and be felt by your wife and your

two young children. This will likely never leave them. It is a pity though that you did not think about them before you began your brutal attack.

Also in your favour is the fact that you have been hard working and until July 14th were a productive member of the community. You surrendered yourself into custody several days after the crime, however, I do note that before then you had fled the Northwest Territories for Alberta. Your lawyer says your flight was due to the emotional strain of the situation. I cannot help but note that the victim did not flee. She suffered emotional strain.

Your flight I find was a weak attempt to escape the legal and emotional consequences of what you did. Those are some of the factors I have taken into account in your favour. On the other end of the scale are the many aggravating factors of the act itself. They have already been reviewed.

Mr. Peach has outlined the goals of sentencing. I will approach this somewhat differently than he did. In my view, the primary purpose of the criminal law is protection of the public and there are many ways to do this and these include discouraging you from committing further crimes. It is unlikely that you will be a repeat offender. Discouraging others from committing similar offences is another method of protecting the public.

The sentence must reflect the readiness of the Courts to imprison those who force themselves upon women. Giving effect to public denunciation, Mr. Beland, and condemnation of what you did, is another factor in the sentencing process. The sentence must reflect the general revulsion of the community to those who commit sexual assaults and reflect the need to protect women from those men who want to commit such crimes.

Another factor is your rehabilitation. The sentence must not be so harsh as to crush your hopes of renewing your life both in the community and with your family.

Your lawyer has informed the Court that for six of the days while you were in custody, the authorities deprived you of the opportunity to take proper care of your personal hygiene. That period will count for considerably more than six days. Having decided to approach this period of time in such a manner, it is not necessary to resolve the question of whether the conduct of the authorities amounts to infringement or denial of your Charter rights. Even if I were to conclude that Section 24(1) of the Charter applied, the sentence I have arrived at would have been the same, given the significant credit being allowed for the uncomfortable six day period.

Having balanced all the factors for and against

```
you and having taken into account all the other
  1
            principles of sentencing, I am of the view that a fit
  2
            sentence in the circumstances of this case is one of
  3
           two years less a day and that is the sentence of the
                    In addition I prohibit you from having in your
            Court.
           possession any firearms, ammunition or explosive
           materials for a period of time beginning on today's
 7
           date and ending five years after you are released.
                 Do you own anything of that nature?
                           Yes, sir.
10
      THE ACCUSED:
11
      THE COURT:
                           How long would you need to surrender
           it in a lawful manner, any firearms, ammunition or
12
13
           explosives? Mr. Peach?
      MR. PEACH:
14
                           Yes, I can indicate, Your Honour, that
           Mrs. Beland will of necessity have to deal with those
15
16
                      I suspect that ultimately they will be
           disposed of by way of sale, however, Mr. Beland for
17
           the next two years less a day will be denied access so
18
19
           perhaps a month.
20
      THE COURT:
                          30 days then.
21
      MR. PEACH:
                          Thank you.
22
      THE COURT:
                          I see no reason not to make the
23
           mandatory $35 victim of crime surcharge or in default
           three days consecutive. How long would your client
24
25
           need to pay $35?
26
      MR. PEACH:
                          Perhaps if we can ask again for 30
27
           days, Your Honour. Mrs. Beland will deal with that.
```

```
THE COURT:
                           30 days. Is there anything further,
            Counsel?
      MR. PEACH:
                           Yes, one other thing I would ask of
          the Court, Your Honour. Mr. Beland has indicated to
           me that in the past he has had a substance abuse
           problem which he's had largely under control for
           sometime, however, alcohol was involved in this
           incident as well and I wonder if the warrant might be
           endorsed simply with a recommendation as to substance
           abuse counselling while serving?
10
      THE COURT:
                           I make that recommendation.
11
12
      MR. PEACH:
                           Thank you, sir.
13
      THE COURT:
                           There will be a recommendation that
           alcohol and substance abuse counselling be made
14
           available to you at the first reasonable opportunity.
15
16
      MR. PEACH:
                          Thank you, Your Honour.
17
      THE COURT:
                          I might also make it clear since I did
           not elaborate upon it that the use of alcohol in cases
18
           such as this, Mr. Beland, does not excuse the
19
20
           conduct.
                     It helps to explain what may have been going
21
           through your mind but it does not excuse it.
22
      MR. PEACH:
                          Thank you, Your Honour.
23
      THE COURT:
                          Is that everything, Counsel?
24
     MR. DALE:
                          Yes, sir.
25
     THE COURT:
                          Thank you for your help, Counsel.
                                                              We
26
           will close Court.
27
      (AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS CONCLUDED)
```

	1			•	
	2	J	Contified		
	3		Certified a correct	transcript,	
STEEL COLOR	4	/	Bend SV	h mol O	
	5	(_	Brenda MacDougall Court Reporter	kugall	
	6		court Reporter/	·	
	7				
	8				
	9				
	10				
	11				
	12				
	13		•		
	14				
	15				
	16				
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				