IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

1

2

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

W: S

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence of His Honour Judge T. B. Davis, sitting at Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on Tuesday, March 22nd, 1988, A.D.

APPEARANCES:

T. HUMPHRIES, ESQ.:

On behalf of the Crown

V. FOLDATS, ESQ.:

On behalf of the Defence

(A charge under Section 246.1 CRIMINAL CODE)

о И

23

24

25

26

27

NWT 5349/0687

THE COURT: Mr. S , who is a 32 year old resident of Baker Lake, admits that on the 27th of February, 1988, he had pulled down the zipper on a young girl's clothing at 4:30 in the morning when she was asleep. This young 12 year old, who was a niece of the accused, woke when the accused was fondling her or touching her between her legs. She ran into an older brother's room, at which time the accused apologized for what he had done and left the premises.

It would be very frightening, of course, for any young person to wake up with an adult, in the middle of the night, fondling her, and the assault in that form of a sexual approach is certainly a serious offence, as was pointed out by Crown counsel, especially considering the problems that do exist in Baker Lake and in the Northwest Territories on these matters.

The Crown has suggested a short gaol term, which seems appropriate, in that the accused had been involved with one previous assault in September 1986, for which he served three months in gaol and was placed on probation. That's the only similar offence on his record, although he did at that time have a conviction, as well, for carrying a concealed weapon, for which he was fined two hundred dollars.

He has been a productive person with grade ten education. He took additional training in the financial field, as a banker, and also became a producer and technician for developing television programs with the Inuit Broadcasting Society, from which he resigned upon having a conflict with

ጸ

the manager. He had also been Mayor of Baker Lake, on one occasion and was appointed a ranger by the military authorities, which, it appears now, will no longer be an appointment available to him, because of the type of offence that is before the Court. He seems to have made a good impression on the persons involved with that ranger program.

It is unfortunate that these matters do occur. And I am influenced substantially in the position the Court must take on sentencing in these matters by the two cases that have been referred to the Court, that being a decision of Justice Marshall, the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories, reported at 1986 Territorial Reports, at page 69. In that instance, Justice Marshall indicated that the principle of general deterrence in matters of this type requires the imposition of a prison term, especially when children are assaulted sexually. In that case, the person had assaulted two young girls on two occasions. He also was an electronic technician, and a good one, who had regular employment always.

Justice Marshall referred to the case of Her Majesty the Queen v. Jaw, in which thirty days imprisonment was imposed for the intention of ensuring that the public know that to touch young children sexually, punishment for some period of time in gaol will be the natural result from a Court sentence. As Justice Marshall found in that case, it's probably not necessary to impose a long term in gaol, but some period does appear to be appropriate.

In the case of Her Majesty the Queen v. Mercredi,

5.

ß

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

Mr. Justice Power, who was a visiting judge in the Northwest Territories in May 1987, imposed four months in gaol on a person with a long criminal record. There had been no direct contact with the sexual organs of the child. The three children testified in Court, and they all seemed to be normal healthy children, who were not marked by the assaults and the fondling by Mr. Mercredi. They were, however, of course, The accused was prepared to take psychiatric counselling as a result of the offence, before he was required to do so by the Court. In that case, alcohol was a problem with the accused, as well as it is before the Court today, because Mr. S indicates that in his past when he's been consuming alcohol, it has caused him to get into trouble. And he has vowed that he is going to overcome that problem by not drinking in the future.

Mr. Justice Power showed the fondling as an offence that falls in the lower category of sexual offences, but that public denunciation and expression of denunciation by the Courts is still required to satisfy the principles of sentencing.

It would seem to me that a period somewhere between the two terms imposed, that being four months by Mr. Justice Power, and thirty days by Mr. Justice Marshall, would be appropriate to consider for the accused before the Court today, who has entered a plea of guilty to avoid the embarrassment of any child having to come before the Court to prove the offence. The accused, himself, acted in an impulsive way as a result of

the consumption of alcohol, and feels ashamed for what he had done, especially considering that he had been a productive person before that. He is going to lose respect in the community for a period of time, as well as a job as a ranger, and, therefore, has suffered to some extent in that regard, as well.

On the charge, therefore, it would seem to me that the shortest period of time that I could consider appropriate would be sixty days in gaol.

I am not going to place the accused on probation, because he has made a declaration to the Court today that he is going to try and overcome his alcohol problem on his own, and I expect him to make every effort to do so, because the sentence of the Court is probably half what it otherwise would have been without that approach.

In addition to that, I am not going to make an order under Section 98, because I don't feel that there was any violence involved, in that as soon as the child became awakened by the fondling, he immediately moved himself away and apologized for what he had been doing.

(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED)

Certified a correct transcript,

Debora Chipperffield, Court Reporter.