IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:



HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

ARCHIE DOUGLAS WILSON

Transcript of the Oral Judgment of His Honour Judge B. A. Bruser, sitting at Fort McPherson, in the Northwest Territories, on July 4, A.D.1990.

## APPEARANCES:

MS. B. KOTHE

On behalf of the

MS. J. LILLEGRAN

On behalf of

(Charge under Section 266 C.C.)

t con Defence NATION AND SOLVEN NATIONAL SOLVE

WT \$349/0687

THE COURT:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

† 5349/0687

The accused, Archie Douglas Gordon Wilson, is charged in Count 1 with having committed an assault on Ellen Wilson, on or about the 13th day of April, 1990, in this community. The issue raised by Defence counsel has to do with the credibility of the complainant, Ellen Wilson. Defence counsel says that there is a direct contradiction of the evidence of Ellen Wilson as to what happened in the livingroom area. I agree with the Defence counsel that there is such a contradiction. According to Shirley Wilson, nothing happened in the livingroom area between the accused and Ellen Wilson. Shirley Wilson was there at all of the material time, and at no point does she recall having seen any argument between the two which led to the physical confrontation in that room.

I am mindful as well of what was said by Defence counsel regarding the assessment of the credibility This Court is entitled to accept all of the of witnesses. evidence of a witness, to reject all of the evidence of a witness, or to accept part but not all of the evidence of the witnesses.

I found Ellen Wilson to have been a most credible witness. I was impressed by her demeanor. I found that she testified in a straightforward manner, and did not attempt to exaggerate anything, nor did she apparently make any effort to minimize her involvment as to what occurred. By way of example, she readily admitted at an earlier date having taken an axe and gone after Mr. Wilson in some fashion. She admitted to wrestling with him, on the date that the Court is most concerned with, in the porch area. She said that she fought back when Mr. Wilson did what he did do in the livingroom, and that she pushed him onto the couch. She also quite readily admitted that she became angry after the group had been sitting around the livingroom/kitchen area for awhile. Because she got angry, she said she took a jacket to go out, and the accused grabbed her and gave her a push.

Shirley Wilson corroborated much of what Ellen Wilson had to say. For example, she recalls the accused annoying Ellen Wilson, which is what apparently led Ellen Wilson to become angry and to leave. She recalled that Ellen Wilson got up and went to the porch area, and that the accused followed her there. She supported Ellen Wilson's assertion that Ellen Wilson was not intoxicated while the events were going on.

Now I come to the direct contradiction I referred to earlier. Shirley Wilson said nothing happened in the livingroom area between the two parties. Ms. Lillegran argues that Shirley Wilson and Ellen Wilson might be found to be equally credible with respect to what happened in the livingroom. If they are found to be equally credible, then any doubt has to be resolved in favour of the accused; with that proposition I agree.

With respect to Shirley Wilson's testimony that nothing happened in the livingroom between Ellen Wilson and the accused, I took particular note that Shirley Wilson

was evasive in her demeanor when she gave that answer. Shortly after that answer, during the cross-examination, she said that she did not want to have anything to do with what was going on in the porch; she is a sister of the accused, and she is a friend of Ellen Wilson. It is apparent that she wants to remain on good terms with her brother and with Ellen Wilson. Her remark during her evidence that she did not want anything to do with what was going on in the porch area supports my finding that she was not telling accurately what went on in the livingroom between Ellen Wilson and the accused at the early point in time. She may have deliberately turned a blind eye to what was going on; I don't know. I accept completely the testimony of Ellen Wilson where there is a conflict between her evidence and that of Shirley Wilson.

I find that all of the other elements of

I find that all of the other elements of the offence have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt by the Crown, including lack of consent on the part of Ellen Wilson. I find as a fact that in the livingroom the accused grabbed Ellen Wilson by her clothes and neck area and pinned her against the wall, and in the porch he grabbed her neck area again, this time by her jacket, that is when the wrestling began in the porch area.

Could you stand, sir? For the reasons given I find that the prosecution has proven its case on Count 1 beyond a reasonable doubt, and I find you guilty. You may be seated.

(AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS ADJOURNED)

Certified a correct transcript,

Loretta Mott, Court Reporter