IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES 1 2 IN THE MATTER OF: 3 00358 4 HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN 5 - and -6 PETER JOHN ZOE and 7 GILBERT TATZIA a.k.a. CHARLIE TATZIA 8 9 AND IN THE MATTER OF: 10 11 HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN 12 and -13 GILBERT TATZIA a.k.a. 14 CHARLIE TATZIA 15 16 Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence delivered 17 by His Honour Judge R. M. Bourassa, sitting at Rae-Edzo, 18 in the Northwest Territories, on Friday, December O 19 A.D. 1987. 20 21 APPEARANCES: 22 MS. L. J. WALL On behalf of the Ccrown 23 MR. D. SPAULDING On behalf of the Defence 24 MR. V. FOLDATS On behalf of the Defence (Tatzia) 25 26 (Charges under Section 246.1 (Zoe and Tatzia) and 84(2) and 133(3) (Tatzia) of the Criminal Code of Canada.) 27

NWT 5349/0687

THE COURT: I thank both Defence counsel and, of course, Crown counsel for their thorough submissions.

In highlighting the particular concerns that the Court has to address and the particular principles that ought to be brought into play here, as has been pointed out, dealing with the sexual assault, it is punishable by a maximum of ten years imprisonment to the equivalent of a suspended sentence; and the Court has to apply principles and accept guidance from the Court of Appeal in determining what is appropriate for these offenders and for this offence; and notwithstanding the movements in a tarriff direction by some communities and some states in the United States, we still have today a highly individualized sentencing approach.

The whole process today is a sad one. I don't think anyone can leave here with a smile on their face or patting themselves on the back, one way or another. Both individuals before the Court—and I say that with respect to Zoe as well, notwithstanding his record—I don't think could be categorized (if we exclude this offence of sexual assault) as classic criminals. One can't help but sadly look upon the effects that alcohol has on two ordinary individuals and on an ordinary community. I find it just astounding the level of violence and destruction that a community can absorb, all attributable to alcohol, without rebelling or without reacting both on a community level and on a personal level.

NWT 5349/0687

> 6 7

> > 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

The individuals involved in the sexual assault both have problems with alcohol, self admitted, and were both on what can only be described as a binge drunk, going from place to place, partying until the bottles were empty, and then going somewhere else and looking for more bottles, 'party will you pass out.'

This community has seen people killed, shot, raped, frozen to death, babies taken from families because the parents abuse liquor, and that is only what the Court sees: goodness knows what else goes on because of liquor. tructive effects of liquor on individuals in a community are just astounding. Here are two individuals--and I accept the submissions of both Defence counsel in that regard--intoxicated, commit what is classified in law and by virtually every society in the world as a disgusting, heinous offence. I've never heard it described otherwise in aboriginal cultures, in European cultures, Asian cultures, anywhere. it's no good to say that they wouldn't have done this if they were sober; I recognize that they wouldn't have done it if they were sober, and I recognize very well there would be people walking around in this community alive today if they hadn't been to a particular party. But the fact the fact remains, that these two men both have a problem with alcohol. We can't separate the drinking John Zoe and the drinking Gilbert Tatzia from the sober Tatzia and sober Zoe. No one forces them to drink. There is none of what a lot of sources claim to be the group causes of drink here;

21 22

20

24 25

23

26

27

Tatzia has skills on the land and presumably could derive some self-respect from that. Zoe has skills, he is a journeyman plumber, earning up to \$36,000 at one point. He can derive self-worth and satisfaction from that.

It's really unfortunate, and I don't know how much longer that this culture of the bottle will endure. really unfortunate because it destroyd individuals, it destroys children, it destroys communities. I have the evidence of the victim before me that her young child, an infant, knows what 'hotknifing' is as a result of watching what adults do. I note this community and its leaders have struggled with varying degrees of success with the problem of alcohol in becoming a dry community, and going wet again, becoming dry again. The Court has no answers, but the Court is saddened to see two more individuals who have basically a lot going for them embroiled as a result of their love of alcohol and their inability to control themselves. As a result of that, their families are hurt, they themselves are hurt, an individual is incredibly victimized. It's really sad.

Mr. Zoe is quite correct, I believe personally, if I can make that observation, that no one can force-feed him alcoholic rehabilitation, and no one can stop him drinking. All the detox programs and probation services in the world can't stop him and Mr. Tatzia from drinking. As he indicates, he realizes it has to come from him and his heart. There is the centre of alcohol control and education, unfortunately

there are a lot of people in this community and other communities—I don't wish to singularize Rae, alcohol abuse occurs everywhere in Canada, south, north, east, and west—but unfortunately, it has to be made very clear that conduct like this is unacceptable. People are responsible for what they do, drunk or sober; and the terrible price that has to be paid by families, individuals, and the community as a result of this alcohol abuse goes along with the alcohol abuse. There is no escaping it. There is trouble at the bottom of every bottle. And not only is it bad for the adults involved, but the Court and counsel and the police, everyone involved. The community knows as well that little children, the infants that are harmed as a result of watching this kind of conduct and behaviour. They grow up believing that this is right, and we all know it isn't.

In any event, trying to balance both the positive and negative factors involved here, counsel are quite right, the Court of Appeal has indicated that the starting point for consideration of a sexual assault or rape is three years imprisonment; and the trial court—this court—is entitled, after balancing and weighing the various factors involved, to move upwards or downwards from that starting point.

Factors which would persuade this court to impose more than three years imprisonment—and I think the primary factor, the greatest factor involved—is that the two men here are raping a woman. I find the sexual assault a predatory one. The circumstances of the offence, in my view,

express clearly the absolute contempt for that woman and for women; an absolute humiliation for the victim. men ended up with her in the car, and Tatzia began to demonstrate hostility towards her. Zoe went right along with it. I agree with what the Crown said, and that it ought to be taken into account, that this woman was virtually imprisoned or a prisoner, that being raped twice is more than two rapes, being raped by two different men on one occasion it is more than just two rapes, the violation is potentially increased. The treatment of the victim, the comtempt shown by the statement, I believe it was Tatzia, "She won't remember anything in the morning, she's drunk." The attempted fellatio by Zoe, the manipulation of this woman like some play thing, cannot be seen to be condoned. It is disgusting, it's terrible to treat anyone like that. The victim in this case, continues to this date to be victimized as a result of this offence; and as she stated in her evidence a few weeks ago, she continues to be blamed for this offence. The Court wants to make it very clear and make it very loud and clear that she bears no responsibility for this, and she bears no blame for this. She was preyed upon by two drunken There is absolutely nothing before me that would indicate an encouragement, a desire, a willingness, a wish, or a sufferance of what was visited upon her. These men are not before the Court as a result of her, in a sense, these men are before this Court because society cannot accept or tolerate people treating each other like this. As I said earlier,

3

5

6

\_

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

NWT 5349/0687

no society tolerates this that I've ever read about, heard about, or can conceive of.

Tatzia has no criminal record, and at the time was 18 years of age, which has to be taken into account. said that he was not particularly sophisticated. know that, and I am unaware of any authority that, somehow ties the length of sentence for a rape to 'sophistication.' think sophistication in many instances is referred to the effect that imprisonment will have. Taking someone who has lived his life in the bush and pulling him out of that environment and putting him in a federal penitentiary in the south I think rightfully is taken into account. Tatzia has lived his life in and around Rae-Edzo, traps to varying degrees or traps to a certain degree of success. He doesn't have the education that Zoe does. He doesn't have, perhaps, the life experience that Zoe has because of Zoe's education and because of Zoe's age. But he is an individual who admittedly, as set out in the pre-sentence report, has a problem with alcohol comsumption, and knows it. He knows what drugs are about. He participated voluntarily and willingly in this party, this on-going party, on the night in question; and he's the one that originally expressed the hostility against the woman involved. It appears it was his So, the question of sophistication or the issue of sophistication I don't think in this particular case warrants that great a weight. He doesn't have a record, and he is young; and I agree that that is an important factor.

7

8

9

10

27

20

21

22

don't want to impose a sentence that is going to crush him. We all make mistakes in youth, some bigger mistakes than others. This certainly was a very large 'mistake.' And I think there is a valid argument made that these matters have to be given a lot of consideration and weight in imposing sentence.

Zoe, on the other hand, has been around a lot longer, almost twice as long, in fact, in years. Zoe has a record going back to 1977; however, most of the record is liquor related, which just confirms what I was saying earlier that both of these men have a problem with alcohol. Neither of them have done anything about it. It's not as though they have to be taught the lesson that there is trouble involved with alcohol. There is enough trouble in this community surrounding the use of alcohol, in my view, that both of them should be well aware of that fact. event, Zoe's record, I don't attribute a lot of weight to it, because it is simply the record of someone with trouble with It doesn't manifest itself in previous convictions alcohol. for serious assault offences or sexual offences. I accept the evidence and refer to the evidence I've already received with respect to Zoe, that he is an ordinary man, fits into the community and has a lot going for him in many ways. has a family, he is reasonably stable and hardworking; and obviously, to a degree, that he is ambitious, that he's obtained his plumber's licence. Those are to his credit.

The difficult issue to decide in this particular

case, is the question of disparity, whether or not the Court ought to impose different sentences; and that is obviously what the court is being asked to do. I have difficulty with that, because for as many factors that would persuade me to impose different sentences, there are factors which seem to indicate identical sentences. The assault was Tatzia's idea. not Zoe's; Zoe went along with it. Presumably, therefore, Tatzia should receive a sterner glare from the Court. has a previous criminal record, Tatzia doesn't. younger than Zoe, but Tatzia displayed, even after the offence, a significant degree of contempt for this victim. Zoe went along with the rape. I believe he was the first one to attempt or have intercourse with the victim; and then, while the other was fondling her, attempted to force fel-Those are aggravating factors. latio.

Both men have pleaded guilty. That is important. I accept what Defence counsel have said with respect to Tatzia, that the man had no recollection as a result of the consumption of alcohol. I can't fault him for having a preliminary inquiry or not pleading guilty; that is to say, at the first instance. At least at the preliminary inquiry he found out what went on. I won't comment on Zoe with respect to his version about what he recalls transpired other than to note he was highly intoxicated; and obviously, his recollection was wrong.

It seems to me that there are as many factors which would auger against treating these men differently as

NWT 5349/0687

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

there are that would indicate that they should be treated differently. What I am left with is that this was a joint rape by two men in terrible circumstances with a small element of additional violence, certainly an overwhelming element of restraint, total abuse, humiliation and degradation of the woman. I think that that event overshadows the others and requires that the court treat both men the same. With respect to the differentials, there is only one that troubles me, and that is Tatzia's age and the lack of a record. I think I have to take that into account, and I do.

I am not persuaded that both men ought to be treated differently. It seems to me that there are some elements in Zoe's position and background that warrants some credit that would as well serve to distinguish him from Tatzia; in other words, I think that there are mitigating factors available for both and can be applied to both, but in different ways, resulting that in my view they both ought to be treated the same way. There are mitigating factors, different factors, in each case that don't displace the one principle that they ought to be treated the same way. Their roles were too intertwined.

With respect to Tatzia on the other two offences: with respect to the 133 matter, to me the penalty is that Tatzia was released originally on the charge of sexual assault, he could have remained at liberty, he was unable to control himself in his drinking, and he was put in custody as a result of breaking his undertaking; and in my view

6

7

5

8

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

that is the appropriate penalty. He has spent the time in custody from the time he was apprehended. He put himself back in jail. I don't take it into account in sentencing on the sexual assault; however, I will impose a sentence with respect to that.

With respect to the firearms offence, I accept again what Defence counsel has argued. I can well imagine that this sexual assault charge has been preying on his mind for a period of time, it may very well be a pressure that would contribute to further offences or a loss of control, and I have to look at it and sentence in that context, and I do. I accept as well that on the firearms offence there must be a firearms prohibition, and I am loath to impose it. I can't see its logic, but my hands are tied. It will have an effect on Tatzia. I do intend, however, to impose that firearms prohibition from today's date. When they are released, part of that time will already have expired. sure counsel have pointed out to their clients that there are amendments pending to the Criminal Code which may allow or provide for relief. I can see no sense for that prohibition in this case, especially with respect to Tatzia; however, that is not for me even to comment on any further.

Stand up, please, Mr. Tatzia. Is there anything You wish to say before the Court imposes sentence?

MR. TATZIA: No.

THE COURT: On the charge of sexual assault, I am going to impose a term of imprisonment of four years. In addition to

that, there will be a prohibition of owning or possessing any firearms, ammunition, or explosive substances for a period of five years from today. I'll give you two weeks to surrender any firearms or dispose of any firearms you may have.

With respect to the charge of failing to comply with your undertaking, there will be a term of 15 days in jail concurrent.

With respect to the charge of careless use of a firearm, 15 days in jail concurrent.

I will endorse your warrant, Mr. Tatzia, with the recommendation that you be considered for early release or work release.

Stand up, Mr. Zoe. Is there anything you wish to say before the Court imposes sentence?

MR. ZOE: No.

THE COURT: On the charge of sexual assault, there will be a term of imprisonment of four years.

I hope both you men seriously reconsider your relationship to alcohol when you are released.

MS. WALL: Yes, your Honour, I must point out that the way

Section 98(1) of the Criminal Code reads, it appears the

firearms order takes effect when it is imposed, and it ex
pires no later than five years after release from imprison
ment: and that is the way it must be expressed. I don't see

any other way of expressing it under 98(1).

THE COURT: You are quite right. I stand corrected.

WT 5349/0687

	980 V 1981 V		
1	MS. WA	ALL:	And will there be an order for Mr. Zoe as well?
2	THE CO	OURT:	Yes.
3	MS. WA	ALL:	The same terms as for Tatzia?
4	THE CC	OURT:	Yes.
5	MS. WA	ALL:	One final point, your Honour, I am not sure
6	W	hether Ms	. Boillat addressed this matter, but I would ask
7	a	ın order i	ssue under Section
8	THE CC	URT:	Banning publication of the victim's name?
9	MS. WA	LL:	Authority for such an order is in Section 442 of
10	t t	he Crimin	al Code.
11	THE CO	URT:	Yes, I'll make that order. There will be no refer-
12	е	ence to he	r name in any publication or broadcast.
13	MS. WA	LL:	Thank you.
14	THE CO	URT:	Is that everything, counsel?
15	MR. FO	LDATS:	Yes, your Honour.
16	MR. SP	AULDING:	Yes, your Honour.
17	THE CO	URT:	Thank you, counsel.
18		AT WHICH	TIME THIS MATTER WAS CONCLUDED.)
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			1 AMM
24			R. M. Beyrassa, Judge Territorial Court of the
HAZARIOZEONYZERSKO ORGANICA			

Territorial Court of the Northwest Territories

27

25