IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT

OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

JAMES LAFLEUR

MAR 4 1991

Transcript of Oral Sentencing by His Honour Judge T.B. Davis sitting at Ft. Norman in the Northwest Territories, on Thursday, February 16, A.D. 1989.

D. McWhinnie, Esq.,

G. Nearing, Esq.,

J. Heerema, Ms.,

Appeared for the Crown

Appeared for the Defence

Court Reporter

(Charges under Section 246 (1) of the Criminal Code)

THE COURT: James Lafleur is a 58-year old resident of Ft. Norman, in the Northwest Territories, who admits that on the 2nd of September, 1988, he had placed his hand upon the clothing of his five-year old granddaughter in the genital area, and as a result of that, violated the law by committing a sexual assault.

There has always been concern for the effects of assaults on children, and the hope is that this child will not be permanently adversely affected by the activity. It's obvious from the reports that have been made that the parents of the child are fully aware of the circumstances and are taking precautions to ensure that the child is getting whatever treatment is required or will be required for her to have no adverse results.

The actual touching in this case, of course, is of a minor nature, but the Court has expressed its concern in the past for persons who fondle or touch -- for adults who fondle or touch children, because the children are very vulnerable. And when there's a relationship of trust and that trust is breached, society must denounce the actions because society expects more of persons in trust than to at any time assault children.

A very helpful presentence report has been prepared indicating that the accused is a responsible and well-thought of person in the community, this being the first time that he has been before the Court for any purpose. He takes pride in his work, has been good to his



family. He's in rather poor health at times. And this has been of substantial embarrassment to him, for which he has through counsel and the social services officer indicated remorse.

On other offenses of a similar nature where adults have fondled children, the Court has imposed short periods of time in gaol for the purposes of denunciation and to deter the accused and others from committing similar offenses.

Defence counsel has pointed out that the more important aspect of the minor assault should be the rehabilitation of the accused to ensure that there's never a repetition of this type of activity. That has to some extent already been cared for in that the parents of the child have removed the child from the community in which the accused lives.

So as to follow through on the denouncing of this type of offense, I feel it appropriate for me to impose a very short time in gaol on the accused followed by some probation which will require counselling if and when the probation officer feels it appropriate. To acknowledge, however, the minimal aspect of this assault, I do not feel that the time in gaol need be long. But the attendance even for a short period to a person who has never been in custody and never been before the courts before will have, I'm sure, a severe effect. And I would hope that it also will notify the public that sexual assaults ordinarily do result in gaol terms unless there is some outstanding or exceptional reason not to have that result. I'm going to impose, therefore,



five days in gaol.

I suspect that that period of time can be served locally, or if not, it may be that the accused will wish to have it served on two different times intermittently, and I'll hear an application on that regard if there is some reason for doing so.

Ordinarily, would a five-day term be served in this community or outside? In the meantime, I'll indicate that the probation period will be for six months, and the accused will participate in any counselling or treatment programs recommended by the probation officer.

MR. MCWHINNIE: With respect to the incarceration disposition portion of this matter, sir, I understand that the facilities in this community are extremely limited, and it is unlikely, if the accused served a sentence straight out, that he would be here. They will likely have to transport him elsewhere.

THE COURT: He's already been in court a number of times and spending full days in court, and we often even give the days in court as a credit on these matters. I wonder if maybe we should give credit for the appearances in court previously and credit him up with two previous days and have a three-day sentence. Would that be possible to have it served here conveniently with the Police Officers or not?

MR. MCWHINNIE: The situation, I understand, sir, is that there aren't any civilian guards available in this



1	community at the present time. It's not so much an issue
2	for the Police Officers as the guards that are necessary in
3	these circumstances.
4	THE COURT: Does the Defence have any
5	submissions at this time?
6	MR. NEARING: No, I have not at this time.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. All right, that is the
8	direction of the Court at this time. The clerk will be
9	preparing the probation order, and that's for the six-month
10	period.
11	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)
12	
13	I, Jane Heerema, Court Reporter, hereby certify that I
14	attended the above Proceedings and took faithful and
15	accurate shorthand notes and the foregoing is a true and
16	accurate transcript of my shorthand notes to the best of my
17	skill and ability.
18	Dated at the City of Calgary, Province of Alberta, this
19	30th day of March, A.D. 1989.
20	
21	
22	Jane Heerema Der Juva Moddla Jane Heerema Court Reporter. G.M.
23	Jane Heerema / Court Reporter.
24	
25	
26	

