S-1-CR-2023-000044

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## **IN THE MATTER OF:**

#### HIS MAJESTY THE KING

- V -

# **CHASE STRAKER**

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by the Honourable Chief Justice S.H. Smallwood, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2023.

### **APPEARANCES:**

J. Andrews: Counsel for the Crown
T. Pham: Counsel for the Defence

------

Charges under s. 163.1(3), s. 163.1(4), and s. 346(1.1)(b) of the *Criminal Code* 

There is a ban on the publication, broadcast or transmission of any information that could identify

the complainant pursuant to s. 486.4 of the Criminal Code.

	INDEX	PAGE
RULINGS, REASONS		
Reasons for Sentence		3
	i	

1	T. PHAM: Your Honour, I have spoken to Mr. Straker
2	about this, but I find myself ill right now, and for that
3	reason, I am going to ask Mr. Straker to create a little
4	bit of space between us.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, Mr. Straker,
6	yesterday I had asked you if you wanted to say
7	anything and you said you might want to say something
8	today. So if you want to say anything before I pass
9	sentence, I will just ask you to stand up and you can
10	say whatever you wish.
11	THE ACCUSED: I stand today I stand before you
12	today as a criminal. I broke the law and, in doing so,
13	have caused pain on others that can't be lifted with just
14	a simple sorry. In fact, I'm not sure anything can be
15	done to remedy the hurt I've put on others, especially
16	on a young lady who put her trust in me which in turn I
17	took advantage of.
18	I've had a lot of issues which I realized after the
19	first time I went to rehab. I'm not sure why I didn't work
20	on it there, but part of the reason is embarrassment and
21	shame that follows. I can't imagine that even compares
22	to the embarrassment and shame I've caused others.
23	What I did is not only wrong, but it's cruel and
24	evil. I was reading the Victim Impact Statements, and
25	just hearing the pain that has been caused has deeply
26	disturbed me to the point where I couldn't sleep. I

haven't ever had time to focus on this up until I was put in jail.

As apologetic as I am, I believe that saying sorry will just cause more pain. I have a problem. I know for a fact that the best thing that I can do not only for myself but for my parents, my family and my community and for the world in general is to get help, which I'm ready to do and I will do as soon as I go down south.

The only thing, however, is that even when I do finish working on myself is that doesn't fix the pain that I caused, nor does it prevent other people from doing the same, but it will prevent me from doing this ever again. I did wrong and I claim full responsibility for my actions.

Since I've been in custody, I've done a lot of looking within myself and I recognize that I struggle a lot with attachment, jealousy, anger issues, poor decision-making, empathy, narcissism, sexual desensitization and sexual addiction. At the time of doing these crimes, I know I was doing cruel things, but didn't realize how much it affects someone and their family. I didn't know how much fear it puts into not only the victim but to people around them as well.

The fear and shame is something I can't even come close to imagining. I think of it as if I were to have a daughter who went through this and I feel

1 anger, sadness, fear and a feeling of hopelessness, for 2 I know that if I were to have a child that had this 3 happened to, I would try my hardest to seclude her 4 from people like me looking for her, which isn't what a 5 child's youth should be. 6 I hurt other people -- I hurt people other than my 7 victims. I hope that it's not too late for me and I hope I 8 can work on myself while I am still young so I can have 9 a relatively normal life for when I'm older. I want to 10 show the community that I can change. I never want to 11 hurt others the way I did. 12 I want to get better. I am hoping I can start as 13 soon as I go down south. So yeah, I think that's all I'm 14 going to say. THE COURT: 15 Okay. Thank you. Chase Straker has 16 entered guilty pleas to three offences on an indictment. 17 So count 2, that he did transmit child pornography 18 contrary to section 163.1(3) of the *Criminal Code*; 19 count 5, that he possessed child pornography contrary 20 to section 163.1(4) of the *Criminal Code*, and count 6, 21 that he committed extortion by threatening to release 22 intimate photos of a person with the intent to make 23 them send him intimate photos contrary to section 24 346(1.1)(b) of the Criminal Code. 25 Today it is my responsibility to sentence 26 Mr. Straker for these offences. The maximum

sentence for the transmit child pornography charge is 14 years imprisonment. For the possession charge, the maximum sentence is 10 years imprisonment. For both child pornography offences, there is a mandatory minimum sentence of one year imprisonment. For the extortion charge, the maximum sentence is life imprisonment.

The Crown and defence have presented a joint submission to the court that Mr. Straker should be sentenced to a period of imprisonment of five years less credit for his presentence custody.

The facts of the offences are detailed in an Agreed Statement of Facts which I will briefly summarize. On September 24, 2021, Dropbox, a cloud storage company, reported an upload made the day before to the National Centre for Missing and Exploited Children. This upload was thought to contain child sexual abuse material. The user's IP address was identified in this report as well as other information.

On December 29, 2021, Snapchat, a social media company, reported an upload made the day before to the National Centre for Missing and Exploited Children. This upload was of three images containing child sexual abuse material from a given IP address.

The IP address identified Yellowknife, Northwest Territories as the approximate location of the upload.

The report was forwarded to the Yellowknife RCMP's Internet Child Exploitation Unit for investigation.

Investigation confirmed that the upload was child sexual abuse material, that is child pornography, and that the IP address associated with the upload was an address in Yellowknife where Mr. Straker resided with his parents.

On April 7, 2022, the RCMP executed a search warrant at Mr. Straker's residence in Yellowknife and seized two iPhones from his bedroom as well as a hard drive. Analysis of the devices revealed that Mr. Straker possessed 934 images of child pornography and 239 videos of child pornography which is also called child sexual abuse material.

The analysis also revealed that on September 23, 2021, and on December 28, 2021, Mr. Straker transmitted child sexual abuse material and that on December 26, 2021, Mr. Straker extorted a teenage girl, Madeline, to send him intimate images of herself, threatening to send intimate images of her to others if she did not.

The child sexual abuse material consisted of children between the ages of two to 12 years old engaged in sexual acts with adults and other children and animals. There were cartoon images as well as photographs and videos. It included adult males having

1 forced sexual intercourse with children.

The analysis of the phones also revealed that Mr. Straker engaged in sexually explicit conversations with unknown persons, including individuals that Mr. Straker knew were under the age of 18 years old, including a 15-year-old and a 16-year old.

In these conversations, Mr. Straker demanded naked photos and threatened these individuals when they expressed reluctance or did not comply with his demands.

Mr. Straker also engaged in conversation with Maddy and he threatened to send out explicit videos that he had of her if she did not provide him with additional sexually explicit material. He threatened to send the videos to her mother and others.

Through investigation, the police were able to locate Maddy who it was determined was a 15-year-old girl in North Carolina. At the time, Mr. Straker was on a probation order after having pled guilty to obtaining sexually explicit material from a 16-year-old person. He was under a number of conditions which prohibited him from communicating with females under the age of 16 unless in the presence of an adult, and he was not allowed to possess an internet connected device or to access social media. In the conversations that Mr. Straker had with these individuals, it was apparent

that he was aware that he was under these conditions and that he was in violation of them.

Mr. Straker is of Métis descent, and this requires me to consider section 718.2(e) of the *Criminal Code* and in imposing sentence to consider all available sanctions other than imprisonment that are reasonable in the circumstances and to pay particular attention to the circumstances of Aboriginal offenders.

Counsel have advised that Mr. Straker's personal circumstances as an Indigenous person have been taken into account in proposing the joint submission to the court. I do not have the benefit of a presentence report or a *Gladue* report, but I have heard submissions from defence counsel regarding Mr. Straker's background as an Indigenous offender.

He has been impacted by intergenerational trauma, the legacy of residential schools, and has unresolved trauma from his childhood. He was born into a family where his mother and grandmother had addiction issues. He was apprehended by Child and Family Services and custom adopted at the age of three.

He has faced challenges since then including mental health problems. He has sought help in the past for depression and has also faced challenges like the abuse of hard drugs for which he has also sought

1 help. 2 His parents have tried to access help for him, 3 but it is a struggle to access resources which is 4 something unfortunately the court hears on a regular 5 basis. Options for people who wish to seek help with 6 their issues can be difficult to access, or alternately not 7 geared to their particular circumstances. 8 It is difficult to know specifically what issues 9 Mr. Straker needs to have addressed without the 10 benefit of a presentence report, a risk assessment or a 11 psychological evaluation. Hopefully, while in the 12 federal correctional system, he can access the 13 programming he needs and get the assistance he 14 needs with his particular issues. 15 At this point, I do not think it is a stretch to say 16 that Mr. Straker is a risk to teenage girls and to any 17 female that he would enter into a relationship with. 18 Sexual offences against children are serious and 19 the primary sentencing principles are deterrence and 20 denunciation. The increase of maximum sentences for

Sexual offences against children are serious and the primary sentencing principles are deterrence and denunciation. The increase of maximum sentences for offences against children shows that Parliament intended for these offences to be punished more harshly and reflects the increased recognition of the gravity of these offences.

There is as well in the sentencing case law an increasing emphasis as reflected in the case of *Friesen*,

21

22

23

24

25

26

[2020] 1 SCR 424, from the Supreme Court of Canada on the important of prioritizing denunciation and deterrence for offences that involve children.

The internet, social media and cellphone have changed our lives forever. What teenager does not have a cellphone or one or more social media accounts? They allow friends to communicate instantly, to share photos, to exchange messages. They allow parents to check in on their children, but they also allow predators to take advantage of teenagers, and in the hands of someone like Mr. Straker, the cellphone becomes a weapon to extort young girls for his own pleasure.

The prevalence of child pornography is also a concern. As has been stated, the proliferation of child pornography means that the sexual violence against children has also increased because these are real children who are victims to the horrors inflicted upon them by the predatory acts of adults. Improvements in social media and the prevalence of social media designed for young people means that new forms of sexual violence against children are enabled and there are new ways to access and abuse children.

Child pornography is relatively easy to find even for the unsophisticated internet user. The accessing and possessing of child pornography creates a demand

for the production of more child pornography, putting more children at risk and continuing the risk for those who already are subject to that abuse.

Once created, these images and videos are impossible to remove from the internet, and each time they are accessed or shared, the child victims depicted in them are revictimized. They live their lives knowing that the images and videos of their abuse remain out there and accessible and could be viewed by anyone at any time. They live in fear of recognition by strangers and deal with online harassment and stalkers who search them out. It is a hell that never ends.

The Victim Impact Statements that have been provided by the Crown from individuals identified in child sexual abuse material or their parents demonstrate the devastating and long term impact the creation of this material results in, and it demonstrates that the pictures and videos on the internet are not of some made up imaginary child, but that these are real children being subjected to real abuse by adult predators.

Turning to the aggravating and mitigating factors in this case, Mr. Straker is a young person who is 20 years old now. He was 18 and 19 years old at the time of the offences. He is young. That is mitigating. He is young enough that rehabilitation also has

to be a strong consideration despite his recent history. It will be up to Mr. Straker to take the steps to make the changes and rehabilitate himself. He has the support of his family, and from what I have heard today, he is determined to take those steps and hopefully he can get the help that he needs.

Mr. Straker has entered a guilty plea and has done so at an early opportunity. There is a real benefit to a guilty plea. Beyond being an acknowledgment of responsibility, it also saves the resources required for trial. It also means that those impacted by the offences do not have to go through a trial and victims do not have to testify about what happened.

Mr. Straker is also remorseful. I have heard from him today, and his counsel indicated this yesterday that he does have remorse and he takes responsibility for his actions, and I think that is a good step to demonstrating insight into his activities and to hopefully beginning to change his behaviour.

There are as well aggravating factors. It is aggravating that Mr. Straker had a prior related criminal record that was recent. In Youth Court in 2021, he was sentenced for three counts of extortion, two counts of failing to comply with conditions, and distribution of intimate images without consent.

He received a conditional supervision order and

22 months of probation. He was still subject to a number of conditions which he was clearly aware of. He flouted those conditions and engaged in the same behaviour that resulted in his prior convictions.

This case also involved the possession of 934 images and 239 videos of child sexual abuse material and the transmission of several images of child sexual abuse material. The child sexual abuse material that Mr. Straker possessed contained -- involved children between the ages of 2 to 12 years old being subjected to serious sexual abuse. As I said, these are images and videos of real children, young children, being horrifically sexually abused.

There is also the sharing of the child sexual abuse material by Mr. Straker. He transmitted it. He repeatedly demanded intimate photos from multiple teenage girls and used social media apps to engage with these girls. He threatened to expose them to others and to distribute their intimate photos to others. This behaviour is reprehensible and cannot be condemned strongly enough.

The Crown is seeking a number of ancillary orders, some of which are mandatory. There is the firearms prohibition order. It is mandatory that there be one pursuant to section 109 of the *Criminal Code*. So Mr. Straker will be subjected to a firearms prohibition

1 order that begins today and ends ten years after his 2 release from imprisonment. 3 These offences are designated offences 4 pursuant to section 490.012 of the Criminal Code, so 5 there will be a SOIRA order requiring Mr. Straker to 6 comply with the registration requirements of the Sex 7 Offender Information Registration Act. The duration of 8 that order is for 20 years. 9 These offences are primary designated offences 10 pursuant to section 487.051 of the Criminal Code and it 11 is mandatory that Mr. Straker's DNA be taken for 12 inclusion in the DNA databank. So there will be a 13 DNA order. 14 The Crown is also seeking a prohibition order pursuant to section 161 of the Criminal Code to prohibit 15 16 Mr. Straker from attending certain places where 17 persons under the age of 16 might be and to place 18 restrictions on his access to the internet. The Crown 19 has provided draft terms for this order which I have 20 reviewed. The defence is in agreement with the need 21 for an order and with the proposed terms. 22 Section 161 of the *Criminal Code* permits the 23 court to restrict Mr. Straker's activities for a period of up 24 to life. In this case, counsel have agreed to a ten-year 25 order. 26 In making these orders, it is important to ensure

that they are tailored to the offender and to consider the nature of the offences and the risk to reoffend. These orders are also punitive in nature as they restrict an offender's activities for a specified period of time. An order is important in this case because of Mr. Straker's predatory activities towards teenage girls which has persisted despite his prior convictions for similar offences.

Mr. Straker has expressed a willingness to seek help and is young, so presumably he is a good candidate for rehabilitation. I do not have a risk assessment, however, or a strong sense of the challenges he might face or the programming which might be best for him. So there also a need to ensure the safety of children and any female that he might be in contact with following his release from prison.

The terms of the order endeavour to do that. So Mr. Straker will be required to: you are prohibited from attending a daycare centre, schoolground, playground or community centre except while in the presence of an adult over the age of 19 years who is aware of your convictions;

You are prohibited from seeking, obtaining or continuing any employment, whether or not the employment is remunerated, or becoming a volunteer in a capacity that involves being in a position of trust or

1 authority towards persons under the age of 16 years; 2 You are prohibited from having any contact, 3 including communications by any means, with a person 4 who is under the age of 16 unless under the 5 supervision of an adult over the age of 19 who is aware 6 of your convictions; 7 You are prohibited from using the internet or 8 other digital network to access any content that violates 9 the law. While using the internet, you shall not delete 10 your browser history; 11 You are to provide any internet connected 12 device and any password used to lock the device to a 13 peace officer upon their request in order for them to 14 monitor the compliance with this order. 15 The duration of the order will be for ten years from the date of Mr. Straker's release from 16 17 imprisonment. 18 Mr. Straker has been in custody since his arrest 19 on October 6, 2022, a period of 13 months. At credit of 20 one and a half days for every day spent in custody, that 21 equates to 19.5 months of presentence credit which will 22 be deducted from the sentence that I am about to 23 impose. 24 The sentencing submission is a joint one. The 25 Supreme Court of Canada has said that sentencing 26 judges are required to follow a joint submission unless

to do so would bring the administration of justice into disrepute or would otherwise be contrary to the public interest. This means that my discretion to depart from a joint submission is extremely limited and can only occur when a joint submission does not meet the public interest test. The public interest test set out by the Supreme Court of Canada is a high standard and a joint submission should not be rejected lightly.

In this case, the joint submission is one that is within the range of appropriate sentences in totality. It would not bring the administration of justice into disrepute or be contrary to the public interest.

While I would have imposed a higher sentence for the extortion offence, I am prepared to impose the joint submission. In doing so, I take into account the circumstances of the offences, the sentencing principle of totality, the early guilty plea, and Mr. Straker's personal circumstances including his Indigenous background and his youth.

Please stand, Mr. Straker. For the offence of transmitting child pornography, I impose a period of incarceration of three years. For the offence of possessing child pornography, I sentence you to a period of incarceration of two years to be served concurrently. For the offence of extortion, I sentence you to a period of two years incarceration to be served

1	consecutively.
2	That is a total of five years imprisonment. From
3	
	that will be deducted your remand time of 19.5 months,
4	leaving a sentence to be served of 40.5 months or
5	3 years and 4.5 months. You may have a seat.
6	Now, there is also the Forfeiture and Return
7	Order that was submitted by the Crown seeking the
8	return of some exhibits and the disposal of others, and
9	that is agreed to as well by the defence. So the order
10	that was submitted, the Forfeiture and Return Order,
11	will go as submitted.
12	In addition, the Crown had sought to have a no
13	contact order on the Warrant of Committal, while
14	Mr. Straker is in custody that he have no contact with
15	H.C. and that the name of that person was to be
16	provided by the Crown to the Clerk, and I am not sure if
17	that has been done.
18	J. ANDREWS: Not yet, Your Honour, but the Crown will
19	do so.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And so that will be included on the
21	Warrant of Committal as well. All right. So I want to
22	thank counsel, Mr. Fane who is not here today, and the
23	defence counsel for your work on this case to resolve
24	this case and the submissions and getting this matter to
25	resolution. Is there anything else?
26	T. PHAM: Nothing further, thank you.

1	J. ANDREWS: Not from the Crown, thank you.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. So,
3	Mr. Straker, good luck. I hope that you are able to
4	access the programming that you need and that you
5	are able to get the counselling and other treatment that
6	you need while you are in custody.
7	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
19	Veritext Legal Solutions, Canada, the undersigned, hereby
20	certify that the foregoing pages are a complete and accurate
21	transcript of the proceedings transcribed from the audio
22	recording to the best of our skill and ability. Judicial
23	amendments have been applied to this transcript.
24	
25	
26	Dated at the City of Toronto, in the Province of Ontario, this
	18

1	1 <sup>st</sup> day of December, 2023.
2	
3	
4	Veritext Legal Solutions, Canada
5	
6	Veritext Legal Solutions, Canada
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
	19