R v McNeely, 2023 NWTSC 29 S-1-CR-2023-000035

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HIS MAJESTY THE KING

- v -

THADEUS MCNEELY

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Deputy Justice L. A. Charbonneau, sitting in Fort Good Hope, in the Northwest Territories, on the 27th day of July, 2023.

APPEARANCES:

B. MacPherson Counsel for the Crown

B. Wun

J.K. Bran Counsel for the Defence

Charges under s. 235(1), 268, 268 Criminal Code

1	THE	COURT:	This afternoon it is my	
2		responsibility to	impose a sentence on Thadeus	
3		McNeely for causing	g the death of Samantha Kelly	
4		and seriously injus	ring Lesley Drybones and	
5		Skylynn Cook. The	impact of what Mr. McNeely did	
6		on this community w	was profound. I do not need to	
7		tell anyone here th	nat. You were the ones who	
8		generously shared t	that with the Court earlier	
9		today. I know that	t there is nothing that I can	
10		say or do that can	actually truly reflect the	
11		immense harm that h	nas been caused or repair that	
12		harm. I listened of	carefully while some of you	
13		told me directly ak	pout the effects that these	
14		events had and when	n the Crown prosecutor read	
15		other Victim Impact	Statements to me; I also read	
16		carefully the one t	that was given to me but was	
17		not read in court.	The impact is on the victims,	
18		on their families,	on the first responders and on	
19		community members v	who waited in fear while the	
20		police were search:	ing for Mr. McNeely, and I do	
21		want to thank those	e of you who have shared those	
22		feelings with the (Court. I am very sorry for	
23		the impact that the	ese events have had. To	
24		Ms. Kelly's family	and loved ones, I am truly	
25		sorry for your loss	s and my heart does hurt for you	ı
26		The facts of v	what happened were repeated	
27		earlier today, and	I need to refer to them	

briefly, even though they are hard to hear,
because for anyone who will read my decision
later on they need to know what this case was
about, what I was sentencing Mr. McNeely for today.

I heard that on the day this happened he had been socializing with several people at a house in this community. They were drinking alcohol, people were coming and going. At around 8:30 at night, no one knows why, he armed himself with a knife and he stabbed Ms. Kelly and Ms. Cook.

Mr. Drybones came out of the bedroom where he had been. He was met by Mr. McNeely holding a knife.

They began to struggle and during that struggle

Mr. McNeely stabbed Mr. Drybones several times.

The community members and the RCMP got the victims to the Health Centre. Tragically

Ms. Kelly did die from her injuries because one of the stabs pierced her lung. She had a second stab wound under her arm. The other two victims lost a lot of blood, and they had to be medivaced. Ms. Cook sustained two stab wounds to the lower back which required some stitches.

Mr. Drybones suffered multiple stab wounds to the right collarbone area, his right upper arm, two on his chest, on his lower back and one of his fingers. I heard that one of the stabs into his chest pierced his lung and lacerated his liver.

As I sadly often have occasion to say, it is actually a miracle that there were not three deaths following these events given the nature of the injuries. Thankfully Mr. Drybones and Ms. Cook did recover, at least physically. From Mr. Drybones' Victim Impact Statement it is very clear that he still struggles a lot emotionally.

After having stabbed Mr. Drybones,
Mr. McNeely left the scene. He went into hiding,
and police looked for him for two days. He knew
that they were looking for him. At some point
during his time I heard that he told
acquaintances that he had done something bad and
that he had stabbed somebody. Eventually he did
contact the RCMP and turned himself in and
thankfully that happened without any further
incident. The knife that he used was never
recovered.

As I have already said, I realize that these events had a profound impact on this community based on what I heard from some of you today, and I thank you again for having had the courage to share that with the Court. Words cannot describe how tragic it is to have a life end in this way for no reason at all, again. I say "again" because, as Crown counsel has pointed out, this community has lost other young people in senseless acts of

violence fueled by alcohol and drug abuse. Each time it is unspeakably sad and tragic, and it has to stop. The Court can and does come in after the fact and sentences offenders. I can say a lot of things today that reinforce the message about violence and the harm that is caused by alcohol and substance abuse and how serious any stabbing is, whether serious injuries are inflicted or not, but the Court cannot stop these events from happening. The solutions have to come from the community itself.

In any sentencing the judge has to take into account the circumstances of the person who committed the offence. Mr. McNeely is still quite young. I have a very detailed Presentence Report that talks about his personal circumstances and some of the struggles that he faced growing up. He was exposed to alcohol abuse, to violence and, sadly, as happens so often, he perpetuated that cycle. I have to say for having read many, many Presentence Reports over the years it never gets less sad. It never gets less sad to hear about the circumstances that some young people face in their early years. It also never stops being sad to read about the pain and loss that some of the young people experience through family violence, through neglect, through accidents, through crime, through

1 suicides.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The report was marked as an exhibit so I will not refer to it in all its detail. It is a matter of the record. But it does make clear that Mr. McNeely's circumstances as an indigenous offender growing up involved a lot of hardships. It struck me when I read the report that he seems to have insight about some things and maybe less about others. For example, when he was asked if he thought he could comply with conditions he said it depends on who he hangs out with. If he associates with negative people or unhealthy people he goes in that direction, but if he associates with positive people he is confident he can do better. I would say that there is absolutely no doubt that Mr. McNeely needs to take alcohol and substance abuse out of his life and find the company of people who have done the same otherwise the cycle will just repeat itself over and over again, and there will just be more hurt and more tragedy.

There are other areas, and this did cause me some concern when I first read the report, where Mr. McNeely's insight seems less clear. One reason the Court is not entirely clear that Mr. McNeely understands the impact of substance abuse in his life is that at one point in the report the author says that while he acknowledges that some of

the problems he has had are related to alcohol, he does not see substance abuse as a problem. I think it must be said, and at the risk of saying it repeatedly, alcohol and substance abuse is a problem for Mr. McNeely. His entire criminal record is related to that and what happened last September was related to it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Today Mr. McNeely's lawyer has said that he does want to take alcohol and drugs out of his life. It will be a long and difficult journey, but I really, really hope he sticks to that plan because I think that everything about his future almost certainly depends on it. Mr. McNeely has a good work history. It is clear he has some skills and he is able to lead a productive life when he decides to. He has also got land skills, traditional skills, and that too is very positive. It is often said that the ability to reconnect with traditional ways and with the land is a very important part of the process of rehabilitation and something that can really help people who have lost their way to find their way back, and I hope that that proves true in this case.

On the whole, there are many things in the Presentence Report that show that the principle of restraint and specifically its application as set out in the cases of R v Gladue,[1999] 1 SCR 688 and

R v Ipeelee, 2012 SCC 13 and many others applies in this case; in other words, some of these struggles go some way in explaining, perhaps, some of the bad choices that Mr. McNeely made and reduce his moral blameworthiness.

That is not to say that these circumstances are an excuse for the conduct though, and it is important to remember that the victims and those who are still today suffering from the consequences of his offences are also indigenous members of this community, some who have been exposed to similar difficult circumstances and now, on top of that, have to deal with this additional major trauma. But restraint is an important sentencing principle, and I have kept that in mind when considering my decision.

There are aggravating factors here, things that made this more serious. The first is that with respect to each of these three victims this was not a single stab wound. They were all struck more than once, particularly Mr. Drybones.

The second is that Mr. McNeely has a criminal record which includes other convictions for crimes of violence. He has been to jail for relatively long periods of time before. So before this happened he was on notice of the type of behaviour he is capable of when he is intoxicated. He was

actually -- and this is very aggravating -- on a release order in relation to another stabbing incident at the time that these events took place.

He is awaiting sentencing on that matter now but he was already facing those charges at the time, and he was on specific condition not to be in possession of a knife.

With respect to Ms. Cook, it is aggravating that she was in an intimate relationship with him at the time because we should be able to expect protection and support from our spouses, not violence.

It is aggravating as well that he left the scene. While other community members frantically tried everything they could to help the victims he just ran away. In addition, the fact that he remained in hiding for a few days meant that members of this community were afraid to go out, prisoners in their own homes, in their own community, which is obviously something that has made these already-traumatic events even more traumatic.

There are also mitigating factors. The most significant one is the guilty plea. It has provided the families and the victims with certainty of outcome. It has avoided the trauma of having to go through a trial where witnesses,

including the two surviving victims and the first responders, would have had to re-live these events and talk about them in a courtroom. I often say, having presided over many trials and having seen many, many times how hard it is on witnesses and families to have to come to court and testify, I know sparing them a trial is sparing them a lot.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

The guilty plea is also the clearest indication possible of Mr. McNeely's remorse. has apologized to the community today. I do not know Mr. McNeely but he certainly seems sincere in his remorse. Saying "sorry" is the first step and an important one, but, Mr. McNeely, making the real changes in your life, making this a turning point, is something else that can help the victims and their families and this community see that you truly do realize the harm that you have caused and that you will not go in that direction again. It will be a long road, but it could be the true measure, the true demonstration that you actually understand how much harm you have caused to never go there again.

Manslaughter, by definition, is the unlawful killing of another person. Unlike murder, it does not involve an intention to kill. So the range of possible behaviour that can make out this offence is very broad. It can go from something that is

near an accident to something that is near murder, and because of that the range of possible sentences is very broad too. It goes everywhere from suspended sentence and probation to life imprisonment.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

Several years ago the Alberta Court of Appeal in R v Laberge, 1995 ABCA 196, gave guidance to trial courts by identifying categories of manslaughter. The court said that manslaughter fell in one of three categories: Where the offender has done something that is likely to put the victim at risk of bodily injury, that is the least serious of the three; when the offender has done something that is likely to put the victim at risk of serious bodily injury, that is the middle one; and the most serious type is where the offender has done something that is likely to put the victim at risk of life-threatening injuries. Mr. McNeely's conduct given the use of the knife and how he used it clearly falls in the most serious category. I did not hear Mr. McNeely's lawyer argue otherwise.

In another case the Alberta Court of Appeal talked about the range of sentences that are applicable to manslaughters that fall in that most serious category R v Willier, 2008 ABCA 33. In that case the Court said the range for that type of

conduct will be between eight and twelve years
imprisonment.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

But, of course, none of this is an exact science, and the cases that were filed by the Crown show that depending on the circumstances the sentences may be more or less. There are too many factors to list them all: Whether someone has a criminal record; whether someone has pleaded guilty or had a trial; whether there are other aspects of the circumstances that tip the scale one way or another.

To use the example of Mr. Colten McNeely's case, sadly also from this community, he received a sentence of seven years after trial for a manslaughter which involved a stabbing. McNeely, (Unreported, November 4, 2020, CR2018-000058, NWTSC). That, I think, is fair to say is very much at the lenient end of the scale and was based on the unique facts as they were found by the trial judge. Reading the decision it appears that the judge in that case found as a fact that Colten McNeely, before confronting the victim, had armed himself with a knife that was for his own protection because he was afraid of what the other person might do in that confrontation. That would be a significant factor as opposed to a case like this one where the offender armed himself and then went on the attack.

So, and I mention this because I am sure community members must wonder how these different types of cases can sometimes fit together and make sense of different outcomes in different cases; but Colten McNeely's case is very distinguishable, very different on its facts as found by the trial judge in that case. And I would go further and say that I see that case as an exceptional situation that led to an exceptional sentence based on the unique facts as found by the trial judge. Because I would think that a seven-year sentence, after trial, for stabbing someone to death, would be extremely rare.

So I accept that the range of sentence I should consider here is the one set out in Willier, between eight and twelve years.

Aside from the circumstances of what happened and what Mr. McNeely's circumstances are, a very significant fact in my decision today and one of the reasons I am able to give my decision this afternoon actually is that the Crown and defence have presented what is called a joint submission, which simply means that they agree about what the sentence should be. Usually on sentencing a judge has a lot of discretion to weigh all the factors and decide what the judge thinks that a sentence should be; but when a joint submission is presented

a sentencing judge in law is obligated to follow it unless the sentencing judge concludes that it is completely unreasonable.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Here the sentence that is being jointly suggested is nine years imprisonment on the manslaughter charge and two-and-a-half years for each of the aggravated assault charges but all to be served concurrently. Bearing in mind that there was a very early guilty plea or an early intention communicated to plead guilty, and taking all the circumstances into account, both the aggravating ones and the mitigating ones, I think this position that counsel have presented is reasonable. Had Mr. McNeely been convicted at the trial I don't think it would be a reasonable position. I don't think I would be able to agree with this if this was after trial and everyone had gone through the trauma of having to testify, and there hadn't been some of these advantages to a quick resolution, and that expression of remorse. But guilty pleas are given a lot of weight for reasons I have already given, and a sentence of nine years imprisonment for somebody who has pleaded guilty is actually a very significant one.

No sentence that I impose can bring Ms.

Kelly back. And I know no sentence I impose can

undo the harm that was done. Courts do not have

that power obviously, but I think the sentence that is being proposed is proportionate to the seriousness of what happened and to Mr. McNeely's responsibility for it, and it takes into account the principle that I have to exercise as much restraint as possible in a case like this one.

Mr. McNeely is entitled to credit for the time he has already spent in custody. The defence lawyer asks that I give him the usual credit, which is a day-and-a-half credit for each day of remand. This is because on remand there is no remission and sometimes offenders do not have access to all the same programs as they do as serving prisoners. The Crown has not argued otherwise.

The Presentence Report does say that Mr. McNeely has been involved in three disciplinary incidents while on remand. The report does not give any details. But it is also clear that he has taken some programs. He is engaged in counselling, and he has taken concrete steps to try to begin the process to better himself. So under the circumstances I will give him the credit for the time he has spent in custody in the manner that his lawyer has asked especially since the Crown has not argued otherwise.

The other thing that is part of the joint submission is that there be two other orders made:

The first is a DNA order which is mandatory because this is a primary designated offence. The second is a firearms prohibition order that will commence today and end ten years from release. The defence has asked me to consider granting exemption, which basically means that under circumstances I could permit that Mr. McNeely have a firearm to carry out sustenance activities on the land, for example. I think those would be worthwhile activities for his own rehabilitation, but given the sentence that I am imposing today, I think there are still too many unknowns to grant that kind of an exemption today. He will not be back here for some time. His current plans, as I heard, are not to come back here, but he also has a lot of issues to deal with, such as alcohol and substance abuse, finding a way to address his own trauma and anger issues in a non-violent wav.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

So in my view it is best to allow the competent authority to consider down the road when he is released whether he should have an exemption like that and be permitted to use firearms in certain contexts. I think it is preferable for that decision to be made later with the benefit of what will happen in the meantime with his programming and his progress as opposed to doing it today. It is too far down the road for me to be

able to make a meaningful assessment of whether
that is a good idea or not today.

No one has made any submissions on the victim of crime surcharge. But given the length of the jail term I am about to impose I am not going to order a victim of crime surcharge.

Can you stand up please, Mr. McNeely. Mr. McNeely, for the manslaughter of Samantha Kelly, if you had not spent any time on remand I would have imposed a sentence of nine years as suggested by both counsel. For the ten months you have already spent on remand I will give you credit for 15, which means I sentence you to serve a further jail term of seven years and nine months. For the aggravated assault of Skylynn Cook, I sentence you to two-and-a-half years imprisonment but served concurrently, which means at the same time; it is not in addition to. And similarly for the aggravated assault of Lesley Drybones, I sentence you to two-and-a-half years imprisonment, again to be served concurrently. Please sit down.

Before we close court, I want to thank again the members of the community who have attended today. I thank again those who shared their feelings and the impact that these events had on them, and I sincerely hope that the end of the court proceedings will help you continue with your

own healing. I am not from here but I have dealt with many cases, including some from here and including some very, very horrible ones. I hope that together you are able to find a path forward so that these tragedies stop happening in this beautiful community. I think that would be a great way to honour Samantha Kelly's memory if at least all of this were to lead to change. If years from now people could look back to these terrible events and be able to say something changed after that.

Mr. McNeely, I know you are sorry about what you did and, as I said, the best way you can continue to show your remorse is to do the hard work and change your ways, maybe eventually become an example of someone who has been able to turn your life around because for you too it could be a way of honouring Samantha's memory in a very real way that goes way beyond the words.

I finally want to thank counsel for your work on this case, for resolving this difficult case.

Now that the proceedings are coming to a close, I have been asked to remind you that there are counsellors here today. They will be here the rest of this week and some until Monday I'm told that can assist you if you feel the need to talk to someone about everything that has been brought up by having the sentencing hearing here, and I have

Τ	also been asked to remind you that there will be a
2	healing circle this evening. It will take place in
3	the field and there will be another one in this
4	building on Sunday, and so I hope that that is of
5	some benefit to you all, and to the community as a
6	whole I wish you courage and strength as you
7	continue on your path.
8	We can close court, Madam Clerk.
9	(COURT CLOSED)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
4	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate
5	transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in
6	shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes
7	to the best of my skill and ability. Judicial
8	amendments have been applied to this transcript.
9	
10	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of Alberta,
11	this 13th day of November 2023.
12	
13	
14	
16	
17	Darlene Sirman, CSR(A)
18	Court Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	