IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HIS MAJESTY THE KING

-V-

DENNY PALMER

Transcript of the Reasons for Decision held before the Honourable Deputy Justice W. Grist, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 9th day of February, 2023.

APPEARANCES:

A. Lewis: Counsel for the Crown

E. McIntyre: Counsel for the Defence

Charges under s. 271 of the Criminal Code

There is a ban on the publication, broadcast or transmission of any information that could identify the complainant pursuant to s. 486.4 of the *Criminal Code*.

<u>INDEX</u> **PAGE** PRELIMINARY MATTERS 1 **EXHIBITS:** NO. **DEFINITION PAGE** COMPUTER INVOICE DATED MARCH 2006 **RULINGS, REASONS** DECISION 7

4	THE COLIDE: Council I wander if you could introduce	
1	THE COURT: Counsel, I wonder if you could introduce	
2	yourselves?	•
3	A. LEWIS: Yes. Good morning, your Honour. Alison	
4	Lewis appearing for the Crown this morning.	Lewis appeari
5	THE COURT: And?	THE COURT:
6	E. MCINTYRE: And Evan McIntyre, counsel for Mr.	E. MCINTYRE:
7	Palmer, who is present and seated to my left.	Palmer, who is
8	THE COURT: All right. I have a preliminary matter here	ΓHE COURT:
9	that I ask counsel to discuss and perhaps provide me	that I ask cour
10	with some information. Well, first of all, there was	with some info
11	considerable evidence that referred to a computer	considerable e
12	invoice dated March of 2006. I do not think we put that	invoice dated
13	in as an exhibit, and I think it should be. So I wonder if,	in as an exhibi
14	counsel, you can arrange for a copy of that to be	counsel, you c
15	presented as an exhibit, if it is not already. And I think it	presented as a
16	would be Exhibit 8	would be Exhi
17	E. MCINTYRE: I can do that by e-mail. I don't have the	E. MCINTYRE:
18	physical document with me, but I can e-mail a scan or a	physical docur
19	copy	сору
20	THE COURT: Right.	ΓHE COURT:
21	E. MCINTYRE: of the document, if need be.	E. MCINTYRE:
22	THE COURT: Right. I do not need it particularly in	ΓHE COURT:
23	hand today	hand today
24	E. MCINTYRE: Okay.	E. MCINTYRE:
25	THE COURT: but I think it completes the record in	THE COURT:
26	better form, if it is exhibited.	better form, if i
27	E. MCINTYRE: Okay. I can send that into Madam	E. MCINTYRE:
	1	

1	Clerk. That's no problem.	
2	EXHIBIT 8: COMPUTER INVOICE DATED MARCH 2006.	
3	THE COURT: Right. Thank you. Now, counsel, I	
4	would also ask you to think back and help with some of	
5	the uncertainties that were in some of the evidence as	
6	to the early days when Miss well, I call her RP moved	
7	in and subsequently when VP moved in. I am putting	
8	together a chronology of events here. I have focussed	
9	on 'C's birth date as being in March 2004, and I wonder	
10	if that is in fact correct.	
11	Other things fall into place better if in fact	
12	his date of birth was March 2003. That is just the way	
13	of the evidence. If he was born in March 2004, he	
14	would be 19 now and the next month turning no, he	
15	would be 18 now and turning 19 next month.	
16	You see, the evidence as I have it is that,	
17	and this comes from both well, all three witnesses in	
18	fact, RP became pregnant before she moved in. It was	
19	said to have been in 2002. If that was so, C. would	
20	have been born in 2003. If she in fact moved in, in	
21	2003 and VP moved in while she was pregnant and	
22	before C. was born, that would have been in 2003,	
23	again, if the birth date is correct. And if VP started	
24	school at 5 in September 2002, she would have been in	
25	grade 2 in 2003 and not grade 1 as some of the	
26	evidence suggests.	
27	E. MCINTYRE: Well, I think I can clear most of this up.	
	2	

4	O there is no averation O was been in March 2004	
1	C there is no question C. was born in March 2004.	
2	THE COURT: Yeah, I thought that was likely a solid	
3	date.	
4	E. MCINTYRE: It's a solid date. It's one that has been	
5	testified to before, and it's just for the witnesses when	
6	asked directly will tell you that. The uncertainty is of	
7	course there is some, as might be expected, a lack of	
8	certainty with in terms of when the relationship	
9	began, when Ms. RP moved in, and then when VP	
10	moved in shortly after that.	
11	THE COURT: Yeah.	
12	E. MCINTYRE: So I am looking at my notes from RP's	
13	evidence. She says she moved up in, or moved in with	
14	him they met in 2002, July or August. (As read):	
15	"When did you move in? A few months after we met."	
16	Was asked by the Crown (as read): "2002 or 2003?"	
17	She said, probably 2002, but not entirely sure.	
18	THE COURT: Yeah.	
19	E. MCINTYRE: And my understanding of the evidence,	
20	roughly is that VP moved in about six months after RP	
21	moved in.	
22	THE COURT: Right.	
23	E. MCINTYRE: I believe when I was cross-examining	
24	VP, she said that her mother was pregnant with C.	
25	when she moved in.	
26	THE COURT: Yeah.	
27	E. MCINTYRE: If C. was born in March 2004, that	
	3	

1	means that RP became pregnant with C. in around
2	June or July 2003.
3	THE COURT: Right.
4	E. MCINTYRE: So there is not the certainty you may
5	want, but if we use C's birth date as
6	THE COURT: Yeah.
7	E. MCINTYRE: an anchor point, that those are the
8	timelines we're left with.
9	THE COURT: All right. That helps. So thank you, Mr.
10	McIntyre. That suggests that RP moved in in
11	conjunction with the overall chronology, moved in, in
12	2003 and that VP also moved in, in 2003, and C. was
13	born in March 2004.
14	E. MCINTYRE: I think that is right, yes.
15	THE COURT: All right. Well, another feature comes to
16	mind. And I initially assumed that VP started school at
17	five years of age. There is no particular evidence on
18	that. It is just common that someone who is born in
19	November starts when they are five and completes
20	grade one when they are six.
21	E. MCINTYRE: Right.
22	THE COURT: If you are born after Christmas, then you
23	likely will start when you are six and complete when
24	you are seven, start grade one when you are six and
25	that is how many school districts work, but it is not
26	universal, and it is not necessarily the same for each
27	child. So the evidence given, again, by all of them,
	Δ

1	works better if VP actually started at six and not five,	
2	and then that better coordinates with the evidence of	
3	her being in grade one when she moved in.	
4	E. MCINTYRE: So there is no, and never has been in	
5	any proceeding, any evidence of how old she was	
6	when she started school.	
7	THE COURT: Right.	
8	E. MCINTYRE: There just isn't. We can approximate	
9	from various evidence what grade she may have been	
10	in various years, but those are actually also pretty	
11	uncertain too in the evidence, as far as I can tell.	
12	THE COURT: All right.	
13	E. MCINTYRE: So I just think we're left with that being	
14		
15	THE COURT: All right.	
16	E. MCINTYRE: a gap in the evidence or not certain	
17	in the evidence.	
18	THE COURT: Then I will rely on the fact that the	
19	evidence would suggest, again, without being definitive,	
20	that she was in grade one when she was first in the	
21	home, perhaps just completed grade one, and that this	
22	again was in 2003. All right. Now, with that	
23	understanding as the best we can do with the evidence,	
24	then, you know, the other features of the evidence tend	
25	to fall in place because there are enough concrete	
26	dates and in fact document dates that help with the	
27	chronology.	
	_	

1	E. MCINTYRE: Yes. And that was the purpose of	
2	leading those documents, is to try to establish	
3	something as best we could.	
4	THE COURT: All right. Crown, I realize you are at a	
5	disadvantage as you are sitting in, and did not expect	
6	anything of this sort when you first came in the room.	
7	Do you want a few minutes to think this over and	
8	perhaps talk to Mr. McIntyre about it?	
9	A. LEWIS: Yes, please. I would appreciate that.	
10	THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. And of course if you want	
11	to take instructions, I think that VP, for example, may	
12	be available by telephone. In any event, I will give you	
13	some time here to consider what just has happened.	
14	A. LEWIS: Thank you.	
15	(ADJOURNMENT)	
16	THE COURT: Yes, counsel.	
17	A. LEWIS: So thank you, Your Honour, for the	
18	opportunity to have that break. And Crown had the	
19	opportunity to consult with trial Crown during that break	
20	because obviously Mr. Johnson has a better	
21	understanding of the context with which your you	
22	posed your questions. I understand with respect to	
23	Your Honour's concern about the birth date of C., that	
24	evidence was before the court with respect provided	
25	by both the accused and RP with respect to C. being	
26	born in 2004, and there is no reason to disbelieve that	
27	evidence. There was also evidence provided with	
	6	

1	respect to a trip that was taken in August of 2004,	
2	shortly after he was born, and so Your Honour is left	
3	with the evidence before you and left to grapple with	
4	that evidence as it was presented.	
5	THE COURT: All right. I think I have gathered some	
6	information that is of assistance. Of course, as I said,	
7	these dates prior to, say, 2004 are not exactly definitive,	
8	but I think that the evidence is very suggestive of	
9	certain date-related evidence and placing it in a	
10	chronology, or placing it in what appears to be a logical	
11	chronology. All right. Well, dealing with this matter.	
12	(DECISION)	
13	<u>Facts</u>	
14	The accused is charged with sexual	
15	assault of his common-law partner's daughter VP	
16	between the 1st day of January 2003 and the 31st day of	
17	December 2010. VP was between 7 years and 13	
18	years of age during that span of time. The evidence	
19	relating to the charges is all events that occurred 13 to	
20	20 years prior to this trial.	
21	The three principal witnesses who gave	
22	accounts of events often could not be definitive in	
23	relation to dates, but certain dates were well accepted,	
24	and dated documents provided points of reference to	
25	help set a template and establish a chronology.	
26	VP's date of birth was in November 1996.	
27	Her brother C. was born to RP and Mr. Palmer on	
	7	

1 March, or in March 2004. A towing receipt established 2 the family's move to New Denver, B.C. as occurring in 3 August 2004. A plumbing bill paid after the family 4 returned to Yellowknife linked this to January 2005. Mr. 5 Palmer's daughter by a prior relationship lived with the 6 family in New Denver, and her grade four report 7 indicates she completed the school year in Yellowknife 8 in June 2005. VP's mother RP met Mr. Palmer and 9 10 moved into his residence in the summer of 2003. VP 11 had been living in foster care during 2002 to 2003 and 12 had been placed with a family living nearby Mr. 13 Palmer's residence. VP moved back to reside with her 14 mother and Mr. Palmer at the end of the 2002-2003 15 school year. She would have been seven years of age 16 and finished grade one when she moved to Mr. 17 Palmer's residence. 18 RP became pregnant soon after meeting 19 Mr. Palmer and was pregnant when VP moved in. She 20 and Mr. Palmer became parents to a son C. born in 21 March 2004. And when C. was a few months old, the 22 family moved to New Denver in the West Kootenays of 23 British Columbia. A towing bill entered into evidence 24 dated this move to August 2004. 25 Mr. Palmer took work with his father and 26 the family stayed in New Denver until early in 2005, 27 when they were returned to the same home they had 8

lived in when they left Yellowknife. Mr. Palmer's daughter by a prior relationship, B., was living with the family during the time spent in New Denver and returned with them to Yellowknife. She was one to two years older than VP and was in grade four when VP was in grade two.

The girls had shared a bedroom in the mobile home in Yellowknife until B. returned to live with her mother in the summer of 2005. Mr. Palmer was a construction painter while working in Yellowknife. He had steady work and his hours were flexible.

Until C's birth, RP worked as a taxi dispatcher, working an early day shift and infrequently filling in on weekends and evenings. She took time off of work after her son was born. She did not work while the family was in New Denver or after the family had moved back to Yellowknife. She went back to school in October 2005 and found work with the government about one month after she completed her course in June 2006.

Both RP and Mr. Palmer indicated that the relationship was breaking down for several years prior to RP finally leaving the house shortly after a report made to the RCMP in March 2010. RP dated the breakdown to 2007 and said that after this she was still with Mr. Palmer on and off, spending time in the home to be close to the children. She said Mr. Palmer

1 was controlling and that it was clear to her that if she 2 left the home for good it would be without the children. 3 She said that after she began to work at 4 the government job she had her own money and began 5 to spend time in the evenings out playing cards and 6 later drinking with friends. However this was, it is clear 7 Ms. RP was periodically away from the home prior to 8 the final separation during the last years of the 9 relationship. 10 Ms. RP said she took VP to the police 11 station in March 2010 because of something she had 12 seen in the home. VP, then 15 years of age, did not tell 13 the police constable who interviewed her of any sexual 14 contact with Mr. Palmer. Ms. RP said that she left the 15 home permanently soon after. She said that she was 16 told by Mr. Palmer that VP did not want to live with her. 17 She said Mr. Palmer did not want her to leave, and if 18 she left, he made it clear it would be without the 19 children. 20 Following this, later in 2010, Mr. Palmer 21 decided VP should go to live with her mother. Mr. 22

Following this, later in 2010, Mr. Palmer decided VP should go to live with her mother. Mr. Palmer said VP was becoming a teenage woman and was best off with her mother. RP and VP moved to Grande Prairie the year prior to VP revealing sexual abuse by Mr. Palmer early in 2015. RP contacted the RCMP in Grande Prairie and arrangements were made for VP to give a statement when the two moved back to

23

24

25

26

27

1 Yellowknife in June 2015. VP was then 18 years of 2 age. 3 During the time VP lived with her mother, 4 2011 to 2015, VP said she only saw Mr. Palmer 5 infrequently when he was dropping off or picking up C. 6 She said she would not make eye contact or speak to 7 him during these times. 8 The first incident of sexual assault VP 9 gave evidence of, was her recall of being subjected to 10 intercourse when she was eight years of age. She said 11 she was attending the local elementary school that she 12 attended to grade six. She said her mother was not 13 home when Mr. Palmer came home from work. 14 He asked her to go to the master 15 bedroom where he took off his clothes and told her to take off her clothes. She said that she was standing at 16 17 the end of the bed and was told to lay on the bed, 18 following which, he lay on top of her. She said she was 19 doing what she was told, was scared, and did not ask 20 questions. 21 She said he touched her a bit and then 22 put his penis in her vagina. She said she did not know 23 how long it lasted, that he did not use a condom, and 24 ejaculated on her stomach area. She said that during this, she looked down and saw blood and that he told 25 26 her not to worry and kept going. She said there was 27 blood on the bed and on her and she did not 11

understand why or how. After, she was told not to tell anyone or say anything. She said she got up and said she was bleeding and went to her bedroom, dressed, and sat in her room and cried, that her vagina hurt inside and she was uncomfortable for the rest of the day and the day after.

VP related earlier incidents that did not involve intercourse. She said when she first moved in, after being in the foster home and in grade two, she woke up in the evening and walked out of her bedroom to get a drink in the kitchen. She said Mr. Palmer was in his boxer shorts, sitting at a computer off to the side in the dining room area. There was no one else in the house at the time.

After she got a drink, she said he asked her to come over and he put her on his lap where she sat with one of his arms around her. She said he asked her to pick one of the porn videos on the computer screen. He watched one of them for five minutes or so and masturbated as she sat there. She said she did not know what to do or what she was seeing on the computer screen.

After he finished, she said he told her not to tell anyone and to go to her room. She said she did not understand why she was not to tell anyone. She was scared and did not want to go back to the foster home and that she now was with her mom and did not

1 want to ruin this. 2 She said these events at the computer 3 happened a fair amount and incidents of intercourse as 4 happened in the master bedroom continued until she 5 left the house, the last occurring when she was 12, 13 6 years old, after her mother had moved out. She said 7 that incidents happened so many times she could not 8 recall many of them and that details were jumbled 9 together. 10 VP also related incidents that occurred when travelling with Mr. Palmer to visit his family in 11 12 British Columbia. The first she gave evidence of was 13 the last of these on a Christmas visit to Mr. Palmer's 14 sister in Kamloops. Ms. RP was in Edmonton and 15 joined them for the return trip to Yellowknife. 16 VP said there was only her and Mr. 17 Palmer and her brother C. in the car on the way to 18 Kamloops. She thought she was in grade seven in 19 middle school at the time. She would have been in 20 grade 7 and just turned 12 during Christmas 2008. C. 21 would have been four years of age. 22 She described Mr. Palmer unbuttoning 23 his pants and having her lean over the centre console, 24 covered by a blanket, to give him oral sex as he 25 continued to drive. She said this had happened only a 26 handful of times. Another she remembered was when 27 she was eight or nine when on a trip with Mr. Palmer 13

and C. in the summer to visit his family, again, when her mother was not there. She said C. was one year old or so, and on both occasions, he was in the back seat. During the summer trip, he was in a car seat. She said C. would have been asleep, or when older, perhaps watching a movie at the time of the sexual assaults.

During the summer of 2006, about 18 months after the family returned from New Denver, VP was 9 years of age and C. was 2. During this summer, Ms. RP had started work about one month after she completed her course in June 2006. RP said that she did not make the 2006 summer trip because of work. This evidence supports the fact that VP and C. travelled with Mr. Palmer the summer of 2006 and Ms. RP stayed in Yellowknife.

VP said that at first, during the first computer event, she did not know what was happening. But during the Christmas trip, she thought she knew when he told her not to tell that it was not right and that she did not think this happened to others her age. She also said that by the end of her time with Mr. Palmer the sexual events had become normal.

She said she did not tell the police when taken to the detachment by her mother shortly before her mother left because she was afraid of Mr. Palmer and did not want to get into trouble. She explained she

1 stayed with Mr. Palmer, though being scared of him, 2 because her mother's life was unstable when she 3 moved out. She said she was not sure that she had 4 wanted to stay with Mr. Palmer and that in the 5 circumstances she did not really choose who to stay 6 with. 7 She said she moved in with her mother 8 before the winter of that year and that her mother then 9 had her own place in Yellowknife. During the years 10 following, she said she was embarrassed by what had 11 happened to her and she was afraid of Mr. Palmer who 12 came by from time to time to see her brother. She said 13 she was trying to get over it and that it was hard when 14 someone who was to care for you does this. 15 She first told one of her friends and eventually told her mother. She said she needed to tell 16 17 someone. And Mr. Palmer then had a young daughter. 18 She said she did not want it to happen again. 19 In cross-examination, VP admitted that at 20 the earlier trial of these charges: 21 1) that she did not recall sharing a room 22 with B. when they returned from New Denver, 23 2) that in her previous evidence, she had 24 said that Mr. Palmer had first told her to go back to bed 25 before he had her come to sit on his lap at the 26 computer, a detail she did not provide in her evidence-27 in-chief, and that she had not said at a previous trial 15

1	that he ejaculated when masturbating,
2	3) she earlier had not recalled him
3	saying anything before the first incident in the master
4	bedroom and she now recalled more details of this
5	incident, and
6	4) she earlier had said he touched and
7	penetrated her vagina during the first act of intercourse
8	with his finger and that she now said he did not.
9	VP agreed there were discrepancies and
10	said these memories were mixed with others and that
11	she did not recall events then that she did now. VP
12	was shown a receipt for the purchase of a computer
13	dated March 2006 and asked if this was the desktop
14	computer she indicated in her evidence. Counsel
15	suggested if this was the one, then the event she said
16	happened when she was seven was not possible
17	because the purchase was dated March 2006 when
18	she was nine.
19	Her reply was that he had a desktop
20	computer before March 2006, that she remembered he
21	had bought a laptop at one point in time, but that he
22	had more than one computer over the time she was in
23	the home. The next day, counsel indicated that he had
24	been mistaken and the receipt was in fact for a laptop.
25	When questioned again, VP said there was an earlier
26	one than the laptop, and this agreed with the
27	proposition there was no laptop computer until after the
	16

1 renovation was done, sometime after November 2006. 2 She was also shown a picture of the 3 dining room kitchen area. The picture showed a dog, 4 Buddy, who was in the home both before and after VP 5 moved in. It was suggested the picture did not show a 6 computer. VP said there was a computer there when 7 she was there and pointed out that the counter in the 8 picture was not there in that configuration when she 9 lived there. The picture, which does not show the 10 whole of the dining area, appears to be from before VP 11 or her mother began to live at the mobile home. Ms. 12 RP also noted the discrepancy and said Mr. Palmer 13 always had a desktop computer with a tower and a 14 screen. 15 Ms. RP's Evidence 16 17 Ms. RP provided evidence of her and 18 VP's time with Mr. Palmer. She said that in the years 19 prior to 2010 when the relationship with Mr. Palmer was on again, off again she stayed because he did not want 20 21 her to leave, and she knew if she was to leave he 22 would make sure it was without the children. She said 23 she thought she first took VP to the police detachment 24 in 2006, prior to the 2010 report. Counsel determined 25 through the police detachment there was no record of a 26 2006 interview. 27 She confirmed that B. and VP shared a 17

1 room during the balance of the school year in 2005, 2 after they returned to Yellowknife. She said that Mr. 3 Palmer did the bulk of the laundry, but she changed the 4 sheets at times. It was suggested to her on cross that 5 at no time was there blood on the mattress. She said 6 she had no recall of this, but if she did she would have 7 thought it would have been hers, that it was part of 8 being a woman. 9 In other respects, Ms. RP confirmed the 10 chronology. She supported VP's assertion that Mr. 11 Palmer always had a desktop computer and that the 12 photo of Buddy must have been from before she 13 moved in. Ms. RP said that Mr. Palmer and VP never 14 got along, that Mr. Palmer was loud and angry and 15 always found fault and grounded VP. 16 17 Mr. Palmer's Evidence 18 Mr. Palmer gave evidence in his defence. 19 He said he initially did not know Ms. RP had a child 20 when she moved in and that later learned VP was in 21 the care of Child Services in a nearby foster home. He 22 said that when VP moved in she was already in grade 23 one, that Ms. RP was pregnant when she moved in, 24 and that VP moved in before C. was born. 25 He related that he rented out the mobile 26 home to a friend when the family moved to New Denver 27 in the summer of 2004, returning early in 2005. He said 18

1 B. was with them when they returned and that she and 2 VP shared a room for the rest of the school year. 3 In respect of the Buddy photo, he said the 4 dog Buddy was with him for 13 years, until he died 5 when C. was little. He said the photo and the counter 6 was likely were likely old, and he had shortened the 7 counter at one point. 8 Mr. Palmer denied he owned a desktop 9 computer when Ms. RP moved in. He also said he did 10 not recall having a laptop. He said that when he 11 acquired a computer, it was put by the window in the 12 corner of the dining room. This was after he had 13 refinanced the mortgage. He was shown the 14 November 1, 2006, mortgage renewal statement which 15 still showed the original mortgage with his ex-girlfriend, which indicated the renovation was after the end of 16 17 2006. He again said that to the best of his recall he did 18 not have a computer until then. 19 Mr. Palmer denied VP's account of 20 having her watch porn with him. He said it never 21 happened. In regard to the evidence of VP of sexual 22 intercourse, Mr. Palmer said he and Ms. RP both did 23 laundry and there never was a bloodstain on the 24 mattress. He said there never was an incident of 25 intercourse with VP. 26 He recalled a trip to B.C. with VP at 27 Christmas when Ms. RP was in Edmonton. He said the 19

trips in the summer were always with Ms. RP or others. It was unclear what others could have been on the summer trips to B.C. except C., who initially would have been quite young.

Mr. Palmer recalled C. being five to six years old on the Christmas trip and not likely in a car seat. Mr. Palmer denied forcing VP to perform oral sex. He said he and Ms. RP split up for good after the 2010 report to the police. He said they had been back and forth before this final separation.

Mr. Palmer said VP chose to stay with him and her brother C. when her mother left and that he never told Ms. RP that she could not take VP or C. Mr. Palmer said said that the first years they were together were good. They were living as a family but that things went downhill sometime after Ms. RP got a job after C. was born. He said that after a while she began drinking and that he would be with the children alone.

He denied he touched VP for a sexual purpose. In cross-examination, Mr. Palmer described his job as eight to five but flexible, that he had his own vehicle and could come from time to time if he wanted to -- could come home from time to time if he wanted to. He said he and VP regarded their relationship as stepdaughter/stepfather. He said he did discipline her by grounding her but that there was no serious trouble and that punishments were minor.

1 In cross, Mr. Palmer confirmed that the 2 renovations of the mobile home did not happen until the 3 mortgage was refinanced and put into his name alone. 4 In cross, he said he got the desktop computer on the 5 refinancing but added that he could have got a 6 computer before, referencing the laptop acquired in 7 March 2006. When asked if he was sure he did not 8 have a computer before this, he then said he could not 9 say they did not have a computer in the kitchen area before this. 10 11 Mr. Palmer was asked if he sometimes 12 watched porn on the computer. He said he did 13 sometimes. In his evidence at the previous trial he was 14 asked the same question. His answer then was that he 15 only watched it one or two times when stuff popped up on the Internet. 16 17 When pressed that this earlier statement 18 was not true, he said that people had said a lot of bad 19 stuff about him, and he had said this, but that now he 20 was not going to say he did not not watch porn. He 21 was pressed that his watching porn sometimes, was 22 different than the one to two times he previously 23 described. His reply was that at the previous trial he 24 was frazzled and it was a hard thing and he 25 misunderstood the question. 26 Crown counsel then referred to his 27 previous statement that porn had popped up on his

screen. Mr. Palmer initially began to again give an answer as to how frequently he watched it, but when again referred to the statement that he watched it only when it popped up, he agreed he had searched for it.

Mr. Palmer said he did not recall a summer trip to B.C. when Ms. RP stayed at home. He was also referred to his statement that C. was five to six years old at the time of the Christmas trip and referred to his earlier statement that C. was at an age to require a car seat. He said he was not now sure if he required the car seat as he said before, suggesting that he was younger than the five-to-six estimate.

Counsel Submissions

Counsel for Mr. Palmer submitted it would be unsafe to convict on the evidence and there was no principled reason to disbelieve Mr. Palmer's denials of the sexual assaults. He stressed that the Courts analysis needed to confirm with $R \ v \ W.(D.)$ 1911 CanLII 93 (SCC), [1991] 1 SCR 742, and said that VP's evidence contained inconsistencies and that her memory was malleable. He said that if there were challenges to Mr. Palmer's evidence it should not lead to a rejection of all of his evidence and that at least his evidence should sustain a reasonable doubt. He dealt with differences in the evidence:

1) in respect of when a computer was in

1	the house,
2	2) Ms. VP's evidence that was
3	inconsistent with previous statements or in addition to
4	what she previously said:
5	a) as to what Mr. Palmer said to her prior
6	to her account of what happened when he
7	was on the computer,
8	b) her account in her evidence of his
9	having ejaculated during this event,
10	c) her account at trial that he did not
11	penetrate her with his finger prior to intercourse
12	when she said he did this at the previous trial.
13	Defence counsel submitted it was an
14	evasion to say that these memories were all jumbled
15	up. Counsel also referred to the fact that VP stayed
16	with Mr. Palmer when her mother left the home, which
17	he argued was inconsistent with abuse, and said her
18	situation that there were hundreds of incidents of sex
19	and assaults was unlikely in light of the family schedule.
20	Lastly, it was submitted that there was
21	only certain evidence of no more than one road trip
22	when RP was not there, being the Christmas trip to
23	Kamloops, and that if there had been blood on the
24	mattress, it would have been noticed by Ms. RP.
25	
26	Crown's Submissions
27	The Crown submitted that VP's evidence
	23
	23

1 of the existence of the computer was supported by Ms. 2 RP's evidence, that Mr. Palmer's account was 3 inconsistent. 4 VP's demeanour was described as her 5 struggling to present difficult events, and her evidence 6 was said to be unchallenged at its core. In contrast, 7 Mr. Palmer's evidence and demeanour was said to be 8 not forthright, as illustrated by his answers in relation to 9 accessing porn when he was first offered -- when he 10 first offered excuses for his previous evidence before 11 being forced into an admission. The Crown argued 12 there were ample opportunities for Mr. Palmer to have 13 committed the assaults described and that VP's 14 explanation for not living with her mother explained her 15 living with Mr. Palmer after the separation. 16 17 <u>Analysis</u> 18 This is a trial in which the evidence of the 19 essential elements of the offence charged is largely 20 focussed on the testimony of two witnesses. As 21 indicated at paragraph 44 of R v Bowers, 2022 ABCA 22 149, the steps of *R v W.(D.)* 1911 CanLII 93 (SCC), 23 [1991] 1 SCR 742, overlap with the assessment of the 24 witnesses' credibility. 25 But this process cannot become an 26 impermissible credibility contest, that is whether one 27 witness is believed over another. Such a contest

avoids the second question in R v W.(D.) 1911 CanLII 93 (SCC), [1991] 1 SCR 742, whether the accused's evidence raises a reasonable doubt, and the ultimate test, whether the evidence in whole proves guilt to the criminal standard. R v Dick, 2018 BCCA 343, at paragraph 27 is also on point. VP was between 7 and 13 during the times referred to in the indictment. In $R \vee W.(R.)$, [1992] 2 SCR 122, the Court endorsed a commonsense basis for taking into account the strengths and weaknesses of evidence given by a young witness. In some such cases, it has to be remembered the witness is often an adult person trying to make sense of observations as a child, inexperienced, and with little understanding of what is happening, perhaps at the hands of a person the child would expect to be a caregiver and responsible to keep the child safe. Further, children may be challenged in providing details like frequency, total numbers of occurrences, and in distinguishing one occurrence from another. Further, disclosures may be a considerable time after the event. In the case of VP, there were few inconsistencies with prior statements. And those referred to: what was said to her prior to the first computer event, whether Mr. Palmer ejaculated, and

whether he penetrated her with his finger before the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 first act of intercourse, were lesser details and could 2 well have been a product of VP trying to recall the 3 narrative from her early memories of the events. I did 4 not find her explanations evasive. 5 VP's account of the computer being in a 6 mobile home from the time she moved in was 7 supported by her mother's similar statement. And Mr. 8 Palmer's evidence on this score was at best uncertain. 9 I also have concluded there was an 10 earlier 2006 summer trip to B.C. with only VP, her 11 young brother, and Mr. Palmer in the car. This 12 evidence was supported by her mother and the 13 circumstances of Ms. R.P. having just been hired that 14 summer and having to stay home to go to work. 15 The two exhibits put to VP to discredit her 16 evidence did not have that effect. The laptop bill was 17 erroneously presented as the first time a desktop computer came into the house. VP replied that it was 18 19 not and that a laptop computer had been there and also 20 an earlier desktop. The Buddy picture suggested as 21 showing the dining room area without a computer was 22 recognized by her as showing a layout that had not 23 been there while she lived in the home, a fact 24 supported by her mother and later acknowledged by 25 Mr. Palmer. 26 I found VP's account of staying behind 27 after her mother left the home to be credible for the 26

1 reasons she gave. Her mother's living arrangements 2 were unstable at the time, and VP did not want to end 3 up in foster care. It was clear her brother was not to 4 leave with her mother. And in the circumstances, she 5 did not really have a choice, and she also had begun to think of the sexual assaults as normal. 6 7 In regard to the delayed disclosure and 8 her failure to disclosure to the police in 2010, her 9 explanation was that she did not want to get into trouble 10 and was embarrassed to have to tell of the sexual 11 assaults. In the context of a young teenager put in this 12 position, these statements have credibility. 13 Finally, VP was articulate in describing 14 the startling, to an eight year old, event of bleeding 15 during the first act of intercourse and of crying in her 16 room after the event and of not knowing what was 17 going on as Mr. Palmer masturbated in front of the 18 computer. 19 With respect to Mr. Palmer's evidence, 20 Mr. Palmer denied he committed sexual assaults during 21 his relationship with VP. As submitted by his counsel, 22 an accused cannot give particulars of an event that did 23 not happen, but his evidence can be tested through 24 attention to inconsistent statements, inconsistencies in 25 his own evidence, or in respect of inconsistencies in 26 respect of facts reliably proven. 27 Mr. Palmer's evidence given at the first 27

1 trial in respect of accessing porn was not the truth. A 2 knowing untruth given under oath is always serious and 3 can take away any confidence that a sworn witness will 4 otherwise tell the truth. Mr. Palmer's access to porn is 5 not to be taken as a matter of propensity, but the untrue 6 statement is significant because it was meant to 7 counter VP's evidence of the incident at the computer. 8 Mr. Palmer's evidence that the first 9 computer was acquired with money from a mortgage 10 refinancing that could not have occurred until 2007 was 11 unconvincing in light of the purchase of the laptop in 12 March 2006 and both VP's and Ms. RP's evidence 13 there was a computer there much earlier. 14 Mr. Palmer's evidence of there being only 15 the Christmas trip to B.C. without Ms. RP is also in conflict with the evidence I find reliable of an earlier 16 17 summer trip, in light of the circumstances of Ms. RP 18 having just become employed in the summer of 2006 19 and VP's memory of the event and the recall of her and 20 C's age at the time. 21 22 The Evidence of Ms. R.P. 23 Much of the evidence given by Ms. RP conformed to the chronology suggested by the whole of 24 25 the evidence. Her evidence of an earlier 2006 report to 26 the police is not supported, however. It seems clear 27 there was no concern in respect of VP being sexually 28

assaulted brought to police attention in 2006. This did not happen until March 2010. In other respects, as indicated, I accept her supporting evidence of the presence of the computer and her not being available to go on a summer trip in 2006.

The W.(D.) Analysis

I find VP's accounts of sexual assaults over the years indicated in the indictment to be credible and compelling. Her description of herself as a young person trying to understand and deal with sexual assaults by Mr. Palmer were particularly convincing of the fact she was being truthful.

There were no major inconsistencies in her account of the narrative and many of the aspects of her evidence challenged by the defence either were supported by other evidence, as in the case of the presence of the computer and the earlier 2006 trip to B.C., or successfully rebutted by her as in the challenges to her evidence said to be presented by the 2006 computer bill and the Buddy pictures.

The fact that hundreds of sexual assaults may not be a correct total is not inconsistent with a young person's recall of events that have taken over their lives. And if demeanour and lack of motive to fabricate are taken into account, and in my view they should not be major features in establishing credibility,

1	both considerations favour VP's evidence.	
2	In the ultimate, I reject Mr. Palmer's	
3	evidence of denial of sexual assaults. I do not find that	
4	his evidence gives rise to a reasonable doubt. And on	
5	the strength of VP's evidence and the supporting	
6	evidence provided at this trial, I conclude the Crown	
7	has satisfied the obligation to prove the offences to the	
8	criminal standard and convict the accused as charged.	
9	All right, counsel.	
10	E. MCINTYRE: So with respect to sentencing, we are	
11	going to ask for an adjournment for Mr. Palmer to get	
12	his affairs in order because he'll, of course, be going	
13	into custody and he does have two young children he	
14	needs to house and have his place taken care of. Prior	
15	to this appearance, my friend Mr. Johnson and I	
16	coordinated dates. Of course, we don't have the courts	
17	or your dates for sentencing.	
18	THE COURT: Yeah.	
19	E. MCINTYRE: The dates that are mutual to the two of	
20	us are May 18th and 19th, if that could work for Your	
21	Honour.	
22	THE COURT: All right. Give me that date again,	
23	counsel?	
24	E. MCINTYRE: Either May 18 th or 19 th .	
25	THE COURT: All right. I think I am available for both of	
26	those dates.	
27	E. MCINTYRE:	
	30	

1	THE COURT: I am pretty flexible for the month of May.	
2	So, counsel, should we set the 18 th ?	
3	E. MCINTYRE: Yes.	
4	A. LEWIS: That is agreeable, yes.	
5	THE COURT: All right. This matter is adjourned over	
6	for sentencing to the 18th of May.	
7	E. MCINTYRE: Oh, and before we break, there's he's	
8	currently on a recognisance. By consent, or my friend	
9	is going to re-open bail and simply add a reporting	
10	condition	
11	THE COURT: All right.	
12	E. MCINTYRE: for Mr. Palmer. I'm not sure how	
13	they're generally worded up here, if it's within two	
14	working days he has to call a certain number or	
15	something like that and then report	
16	THE COURT: Crown, can you assist?	
17	A. LEWIS: Yes. I would suggest that it be within 48	
18	hours that he report to a bail supervisor and then and	
19	thereafter as directed.	
20	E. MCINTYRE: Makes sense.	
21	THE COURT: All right. That will be added as a term of	
22	release. And as I have indicated, the matter is	
23	adjourned over for sentencing to May the 18th.	
24	Counsel, if you have any authorities that you want to	
25	rely on, then I would ask that you give them to me at	
26	least a day or so before.	
27	E. MCINTYRE: Certainly. I don't think it will be	
	31	

1	contested	. He was previously sentenced on the other
2	trial. And	it may
3	THE COURT:	Yes.
4	E. MCINTYRE:	I won't hold my friend to this, but it may
5	well be the	at we're simply asking for the same sentence
6	that he	
7	THE COURT:	Right. I appreciate that there was a
8	previous t	rial. I did not know that if it went to
9	sentencin	g or not.
10	E. MCINTYRE:	Yeah.
11	THE COURT:	And that will be something that at the
12	least wou	ld come into view on sentencing.
13	E. MCINTYRE:	Yes.
14	THE COURT:	And I leave it to counsel as to whether or
15	not in fact	it should be taken as determining the
16	sentence	to be imposed.
17	E. MCINTYRE:	Certainly. Thank you.
18	THE COURT:	We are adjourned.
19	A. LEWIS:	And if I may just ask before we adjourn, the
20	May 18 th -	-
21	THE COURT:	Yes.
22	A. LEWIS:	is that a 10:00 a.m. start time?
23	THE COURT:	I am sorry?
24	E. MCINTYRE:	10 a.m., May 18 th .
25	A. LEWIS:	10 a.m. start time for May 18th?
26	THE COURT:	Oh.
27	E. MCINTYRE:	That's the usual start time. That's fine, I
		32

1	think.
2	THE COURT: All right. Can leave that with counsel?
3	A. LEWIS: Thank you.
4	E. MCINTYRE: 10 a.m. is good. Thank you.
5	A. LEWIS: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	
8	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO AUGUST 29, 2023,
9	AT 10:00 A.M.)
10	
11	
12	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
13	Veritext Canada, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
14	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of
15	the proceedings transcribed from the audio recording to the
16	best of our skill and ability. Judicial amendments have been
17	applied to this transcript.
18	
19	Dated at the City of Toronto, in the Province of Ontario, this
20	2nd day of November, 2023.
21	
22	
23	Veritext Canada
24	
25	Veritext Canada
26	
26	
	33