IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

MICHAEL WILLIAH

Transcript of the Decision held before The
Honourable Justice A.M. Mahar, sitting in Yellowknife, in
the Northwest Territories, on the 30th day of July, 2018.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. A. P. Godfrey: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. L. A. Moore: Counsel for the Accused

(Charges under s. 271 of the Criminal Code)

No information shall be published in any document or broadcast or transmitted in any way which could identify the victim or a witness in these proceedings pursuant to s. 486.4 of the $Criminal\ Code$

Ban on publication of the evidence taken at preliminary inquiry pursuant to s. 539 of the Criminal Code

THE COURT: Michael Williah was arrested for sexual assault on the same day as the incident, December the 10th, 2016, and he has been in custody since that time. In March of 2017 he was convicted and sentenced for another sexual assault that happened before December the 10th, 2017. He received a sentence of 18 months, which he served while waiting for trial on this charge.

When we were in court last, May the 7th, the issue of remand time was addressed. At that point, Michael Williah had served 172 days of actual time which could be credited to this charge. On a 1.5 to 1 basis, his credit was 258 days. Today is the 30th, he has served another 84 days, and at 1.5 to 1, that should give him credit for another 126 days. 384 days is the total credit.

If my math is wrong, my intention is to give him a full 1.5 credit for all the time he has been in remand on this charge, and any error would be in calculation, not in intent. It should not require a formal review, just bring it to my attention, and I will adjust the matter accordingly.

In this matter there has been a publication ban with respect to anything that could identify

2.0

the complainant. I will refer to her as "P.M." and her common law spouse at "E.J." I believe I can continue to refer to Mr. Williah by name as the relationship could cover a number of individuals, and I do not believe that his name could then identify her.

After a preliminary inquiry, the matter was set down for trial, and Mr. Williah was found guilty on March the 9th, 2018. Briefly, the facts behind the conviction are that in the early morning hours of December the 10th, 2016, Michael Williah, P.M., and E.J. had all been drinking very heavily. They all ended up falling asleep at Michael Williah's house. P.M. woke up to find Michael Williah, her cousin, having sex with her. She yelled out for help, E.J. woke up -- he was sleeping close by -- pulled Mr. Williah off of P.M., and then proceeded to help her get dressed.

It is not exactly clear what happened next, but Michael Williah ended up being badly beaten. His leg was broken requiring a number of screws to keep it together. His house, which was a very modest little home, ended up being partially burned, and he was medivaced for his injuries.

Michael Williah testified on his own behalf.

He denied the assault; admitting that his semen

had been inside the victim but denying that he had sexual assaulted her. I found his testimony more a function of a deep wish that something had not happened than a heartless denial of the terrible harm done to the victim. The victim is his cousin, who he had a good relationship with.

E.J. was one of his oldest friends, who thought of him as a brother.

This was, in all directions, a heartbreaking incident, and I have no doubt that without the gross overconsumption of alcohol, it would never have happened. When Mr. Williah spoke to me during sentencing submissions, I asked him what he was going to do about this, and he told me he was going to stop drinking. I hope he does.

Michael Williah has a long criminal record containing about 25 criminal convictions. Of those criminal convictions there are three prior convictions for sexual assault: In 1996 he received 30 days; in 1998, six months; in 2007, he received a 18-month sentence after serving roughing eight and a half months in custody. This will be his first penitentiary term.

One of the principles of sentencing that was not substantially addressed in submissions is totality. Michael Williah has already served an 18-month sentence while waiting for trial on this

2.0

2.7

charge. Any sentence that I give him today is essentially consecutive to that sentence.

3 THE INTERPRETER: Sorry?

Any sentence that I give him today is in addition to that 18 months. One of the principles that judges have to keep in mind is that the entire length of the sentence should not be so great as to crush someone.

Michael Williah is 45 years old. He is of indigenous ancestry. He grew up in a small community, and it has always been his home. One of the sadder things I noticed in the presentence report is that he no longer feels that he can return to Whati. He does not feel safe. He does not really feel like he has a place there any more. I also hope that changes, because it is his only home, it is where the people that love him are, and rehabilitation is much more difficult without positive connections.

The Crown attorney asks me to consider a sentence of six to seven years. Defence counsel asks me to consider a sentence of three to five years.

Michael Williah has had a hard life. He has suffered a lot of loss and a lot of hurt. He lost a baby sister in front of him when he was just a small child. He had to rescue his brother

2.0

2.7

from a suicide attempt. A close friend that he felt responsible for drowned. He has a history of chronic substance abuse. He abused solvents for a five-year period of time between 15 and 20 years old. He started drinking at 22 years old, and he regularly drinks until he blacks out.

I have to balance Michael Williah and who he is against the damage that was done to his victim. Sexual assault is a crime of profound intimacy. It causes damage that goes well beyond any physical damage. People are hurt in the most personal way, deeply, and recovery is difficult.

P.M. has specifically suffered as a result of this offence. She gave up her job. She stopped going out. She has struggled in her relationship with E.J. She continues to look for help. She is looking into a more intensive counselling program to help her with this.

Michael Williah was also sexually abused when he was only 9 years old.

I have thought long and hard about what a fair sentence would be in this case.

Michael Williah has not had an easy life. He has been bullied most of his life, and he will have a hard road to rehabilitation. The fact that he was highly intoxicated at the time of the offence is not a mitigating factor, it does not make what

2.0

happened less serious. It does make it sadder.

The fact that Michael Williah was badly beaten
after the offence, his house burned, does not
make it less serious, either. It does not have
an impact on sentence. It just makes the
situation sadder, when you consider that these
were people who all cared about each other before
this happened.

In my view, the way to take into account Mr. Williah's circumstances, the seriousness of the offence, and a very troubling criminal record is a sentence of four and a half years in jail.

I am always mindful of the direction given by the Supreme Court of Canada and the legislature and always intend to impose a sentence that is no harsher than it has to be.

I do note that four and a half years, taking into account the one-and-a-half year sentence that he already served during his time in remand is the equivalent of a six-year sentence for two sexual assaults. I am of the view, taking into account the significant *Gladue* factors in this case, and taking into account that Mr. Williah presents as someone who will be a vulnerable and fragile inmate --

- 26 THE INTERPRETER: Just wait.
- 27 THE COURT: -- that this sentence is

2.0

1 sufficiently severe to answer for what occurred.

2 He will get credit on a 1.5 to 1 basis for 3 all the custody attributable to this charge. By my calculation, it should equal 384 days. I am 4 5 not going to reduce the 4.5 years to days. It is a very simple calculation, 4.5 plus 365 plus 1 6

7 for a leap year. I am assuming Corrections can

do that. 8

9 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry?

10 THE COURT: The calculation is fairly

11 straightforward for Corrections Canada. They

12 will multiply 4.5 times 365, the number of days

13 in a year, they will add one because every

14 four years is a leap year, and they will subtract

15 384, which should leave you with about

three years and five months left to serve. 16

During the time that you are incarcerated, 17 18 you will have no contact with the victim in this matter. There will be a DNA order. There will 19 be a Section 109 firearms order. There will be a 2.0 21 Section 113 exemption, which allows you to apply for a permit so you can keep hunting and keep

22

23 living the way you want to live.

24 Counsel, am I missing any ancillary orders?

25 MR. GODFREY: SOIRA.

THE COURT: 26 A SOIRA for 20 years.

2.7 MR. GODFREY: Actually, we're asking for a 1 lifetime.

2 THE COURT: Sorry, a lifetime SOIRA order.

3 THE COURT CLERK: Length of the firearms?

4 THE COURT: Ten years.

5 THE COURT CLERK: Thank you.

6 THE COURT: Thank you.

7 Mr. Williah, you now have five criminal convictions for sexual assault. You will 8 9 hopefully take care of your problems while you 10 are in custody. Come out sober and stay sober. 11 If you get into this kind of trouble again, I 12 have no doubt that the Crown attorney will at 13 least consider asking the Court to keep you in 14 jail for the rest of your life, and that would be 15 a tragedy for everybody.

I will make a recommendation as well that
Corrections Canada at least consider keeping
Mr. Williah in the territory as he serves his
sentence. Michael Williah's level of education
and language will make it difficult for him to
access appropriate programming in the south. As
well, his family contacts, which are incredibly
important, will be made much more difficult if he
is not kept in the territory. I cannot order
Corrections Canada to keep him here, and I
understand that they have their own parameters to
work with. It is simply an encouragement that

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

1	they consider that.
2	Is there anything further, Counsel?
3	MR. GODFREY: Nothing from the Crown, thank
4	you.
5	MR. MOORE: Nothing further.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Williah. We will close
7	court.
8	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you, Your Honour. All
9	rise. Court is now closed.
10	
11	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
12	
13	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
14	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate
15	transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in
16	shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes
17	to the best of my skill and ability.
18	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of
19	Alberta, this 7th day of September, 2018.
20	
21	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723
22	Of the Rules of Court
23	
24	Zn
25	
26	Kaylene Davidsen, CSR(A)
27	Court Reporter