IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

-V-

TREYVON JEFFREY STEVENS

Transcript of the Sentencing by Honourable Chief Justice L.A. Charbonneau, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 29th day of July, 2019

APPEARANCES:

T. Johnson:	Counsel for the Crown
P. Harte:	Counsel for the Accused
С	harge(s) under s. 5(2) of the Criminal Code of Canada

<u>INDEX</u>

		PAGE
SUBMISSIONS ON SENTENCING BY MR. JOHNSON SUBMISSIONS ON SENTENCING BY MR. HARTE SENTENCING BY THE COURT		6 11 15
EXHIBIT	S:	
NO.	DEFINITION	PAGE
1	AGREED STATEMENT OF FACTS	1

1	THE CLERK: Order, all rise. This sitting of the
2	Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories is now in
3	session. The Honourable Justice Charbonneau
4	presiding. Please be seated.
5	P. HARTE: Good afternoon, Your Honour.
6	THE COURT: Good morning, counsel. So the matter of
7	Mr. Stevens is for sentencing, this afternoon. Are you
8	ready to proceed?
9	P. HARTE: Yes, Your Honour. I ask that Mr. Stevens
10	be permitted to join me, if I may, please.
11	THE COURT: Certainly. I understand that some time
12	ago, a guilty plea was entered to this charge. You can
13	have a seat, sir.
14	P. HARTE: Yes, that's correct, Your Honour.
15	THE COURT: And we're at the stage of the facts being
16	presented to the court, correct?
17	P. HARTE: That's correct.
18	THE COURT: Can we proceed now? Is there anything
19	preliminary that needs to be addressed?
20	P. HARTE: I I don't think so. The only caveat is I
21	know that the the court frequently deals with a
22	606(1.1) inquiry on the record. I have spoken to Mr.
23	Stevens about that explicitly and have written
24	instructions on that issue. And so I just thought I'd
25	mention that to Your Honour.
26	THE COURT: Thank you, and I I think you must have
27	mentioned it last time as well, because it is recorded in

1	the clerk's notes. So I don't propose to inquire any
2	further into into those issues. Mr. Johnson?
3	T JOHNSON: Thank you, Your Honour. The Crown
4	has an agreed statement of facts for Your Honour.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	P. HARTE: I've signed that, Your Honour, as has my
7	client.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. So that will be marked as
9	Exhibit S1, but I will ask you to read it into the record,
10	please.
11	EXHIBIT S-1: AGREED STATEMENT OF FACTS.
12	T JOHNSON: Certainly, Your Honour. The facts as
13	alleged are that on October 17th, 2017, the Royal
14	Canadian Mounted Police conducted an investigation
15	into cocaine trafficking in the city of Yellowknife. On
16	October 17th, 2017, a Controlled Drugs and
17	Substances Act search warrant was executed on
18	apartment 207, Norseman Apartments, situated at
19	5009, 52nd Avenue in Yellowknife. The apartment was
20	being rented by a third party. As police were entering
21	the apartment, the accused, Mr. Stevens, jumped from
22	a second storey balcony to the ground below, injuring
23	his ankle. During his arrest, 12.5 grams of cocaine was
24	found on the ground underneath him. Mr. Stevens had
25	\$145 on his person.
26	Mr. Stevens admits that the cocaine was
27	possessed for the purposes of trafficking. During the

1 search of apartment 207, further indicia of trafficking 2 were located, including a cellphone with text messages 3 consistent with dial-a-dope operation. It is not alleged 4 that the cellphone belongs to Mr. Stevens. In the days 5 prior to the execution of the search warrant, Mr. 6 Stevens had been observed as a passenger in a Kia 7 Rio. The vehicle was parked in the parking place of 8 apartment 207 at the time of the execution of the 9 warrant on October 17th. 10 Further indicia of trafficking were observed in the 11 car, including a digital scale, a further two-point --12 pardon me, 29.1 grams of crack cocaine was located in 13 a small safe in the vehicle. It is not alleged that Mr. 14 Stevens possessed that quantity of cocaine. A 15 handgun and ammunition were found in a vacuum-16 sealed bag in a hidden compartment in the trunk of the 17 car. It is not alleged that Mr. Stevens possessed the 18 firearm or ammunition. 19 A number of exhibits were seized in the course 20 of the investigation. They are attached as Appendix A 21 of this agreed statement of facts. Mr. Stevens waives 22 any interest in those items and consents to their 23 forfeiture. Thank you. Those facts are admitted? 24 THE COURT: 25 P. HARTE: Yes, Your Honour. THE COURT: 26 So the plea is based on the possession

of the 12.5 grams of cocaine, and, I suppose, the \$145,

1	but not anyt	hing else?
2	T. JOHNSON:	That's correct, Your Honour.
3	THE COURT:	All right. On that basis I will enter a
4	conviction c	on the charge of possession for a purpose of
5	trafficking o	f cocaine. Is there any further evidence
6	from the Cro	own at this hearing?
7	T. JOHNSON:	No, Your Honour.
8	THE COURT:	Any evidence from defence?
9	P. HARTE:	Just submissions, Your Honour.
10	THE COURT:	All right. Then I'll hear from the Crown.
11	SUBMISSIONS O	N SENTENCING BY MR. JOHNSON:
12	T JOHNSON:	Thank you, Your Honour. Crown takes
13	a position in	this case, Your Honour, that in light of the
14	circumstand	ces of this case, an appropriate sentence
15	would be a	custodial sentence in the range of two
16	years. Crov	wn will also be asking for a DNA order, as
17	well as a fire	earms prohibition under Section 109 of the
18	Criminal Co	ode.
19	l will	start by noting, Your Honour, that Crown is
20	not alleging	a criminal record in this case. As far as
21	Crown is av	vare, Mr. Stevens comes before you with no
22	no admis	sible criminal record.
23	In the	e Crown's submission, the the sentence
24	that is being	g proposed is reasonable, and and
25	necessary t	o achieve the goals of denunciation and
26	deterrence	that are are necessary in this case, owing
27	to a number	r of aggravating factors, which are present

in this case.

In particular I note that while we are dealing with a -- an offence under Section 5 of the *Controlled Drugs* and *Substances Act*, of course that covers a wide range of substances. We are here dealing with cocaine, which is a -- a hard drug, and a drug which has very serious impact in the community. As well, we are dealing with commercial scale trafficking.

Notwithstanding the relatively small amount found on Mr. Stevens, all evidence indicates that he was a -- a small part of a larger, more sophisticated operation.

So in the Crown's submission, that too is an aggravating factor, which calls for a strong denunciatory and deterrent sentence. And then of course finally, there is the impact of these sort of offences on the community. This sort of offence is all too prevalent in this community. And in the Crown's submission, judges faced with commercial trafficking in such sophisticated operations should be sending a long -- or should be sending a strong message to both the accused before them, in this case Mr. Stevens, as well as the community at large, that trafficking in hard drugs in this community will not be acceptable, and it will be met with long custodial sentences.

There is, of course, a guilty plea in this case, which is mitigating. However, I -- I would suggest that this is a -- while it is certainly mitigating that Mr.

Stevens takes responsibility for his actions, this is a quilty plea in the face of a -- a strong Crown case. That is to say, it's not a guilty plea where there were the same sort of substantial triable issues that you sometimes see in these sort of cases. So in that sense, while it is certainly something Mr. Stevens ought to get credit for, that is perhaps not -- not as much credit as might be the case, where there were serious, triable issues. Ultimately, Your Honour, what I expect you to hear today is a position from defence that they will be joining the Crown's position of two years. So I won't dwell too much more on the aggravating factors that I think bring this into the two-year range, unless Your Honour feels it necessary to justify that two-year range. I -- I would simply note, again, that we are dealing with commercial trafficking of a -- of a hard drug, of a sophisticated operation, and as Your Honour knows all too well, the impact that has on the community. And so I would ask for a -- a lengthy period of custody here today. The --THE COURT: You're -- you're taking the position, or the -- the -- the joint submission assumes the three-year starting point for this type of offence? Is -- is that --T. JOHNSON: It --

guilty plea, and that's how you got there?

-- and then you've taken into account the

THE COURT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	1. JOHNSON: That's correct, Your Honour. The the
2	guilty plea, and the fact that Mr. Stevens is a first
3	offender. Now of course, that is taken into account in
4	the starting point. But in this case, I think it it certainly
5	justifies with the guilty plea going down from that three-
6	year starting point. And so that is where the two-year
7	comes from, Your Honour.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	T. JOHNSON: There is a small amount of pretrial credit
10	in this case. My understanding is that Mr. Stevens was
11	arrested on October 17th, 2017, and released on
12	October 23rd, 2017. For reasons I suspect my friend
13	will address more fully, defence is not going to be
14	asking for any credit for that time, and so Crown is not
15	seeking credit for that time. So ultimately, what I am
16	asking for is for Your Honour, today, to impose two
17	years on on top of the time that Mr. Stevens has
18	already served.
19	THE COURT: And you're not seeking I mean, I see
20	that there's a waiver of any interest in some of the in
21	the property seized. You're not seeking anything in that
22	regard, because there are ongoing proceedings, I take
23	it?
24	T. JOHNSON: That's correct, yes. There is still a co-
25	accused that is coming up for trial in October. I don't
26	remember the exact date right off-hand, but those will
27	be dealt with at that time. This simply establishes that

1	Mr. Stevens won't have any issue with the forfeiture at
2	that point.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And just because I wasn't the
4	judge when the plea was entered, was the plea entered
5	on the joint indictment? Or did did the Crown file a
6	separate indictment? I'm just it's it's is the
7	existing indictment is the only one I need to be
8	concerned about?
9	P. HARTE: That's my recollection, Your Honour.
10	T. JOHNSON: That's
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	T. JOHNSON: my understanding, I wasn't the one
13	that dealt with that at that time, but my understanding is
14	it was on a joint indictment, Your Honour.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16	T. JOHNSON: Subject to any questions from Your
17	Honour, that those would be the Crown's
18	submissions on sentence. I recognize they are
19	somewhat short, but we are here dealing with a a a
20	joint submission, and so I I'm certainly prepared to
21	to go further and justify that further, if Your Honour feels
22	it necessary. But I I do I think that the position
23	that's being put forward by both Crown and defence is
24	well within the acceptable range for this sort of offence,
25	Your Honour.
26	THE COURT: Yes. I don't have any questions, thank
27	you. Mr. Harte?

SUBMISSIONS ON SENTENCING BY MR. HARTE:

P. HARTE: Your Honour, my friend is correct. This is a joint submission. And with respect to the range, I'll -- for the -- the -- the -- non-request, if I can put it that way, for any credit with respect to time in custody. Mr. Stevens is here with his partner today. They've flown up from Edmonton to be dealt with before Your Honour. She's here for support, which is reciprocated, if you will, in the request that Mr. Stevens makes to serve his time in a federal institution, because that will give him an opportunity to serve his time closer to where his partner resides.

In any event, with that by way of explanation for the -- the waiver of any request for credit in relation to pretrial custody, I'll provide to you with the rest of my submissions. Mr. Stevens was born in Toronto, and grew up in the Morningside area. Shortly after he was born, his father departed for Edmonton, leaving his mother as a single mother with, ultimately, five kids. He had six siblings, one on his step -- sibling on his father's side, but he -- his -- his father left his mother with five children and was not paying support.

Unfortunately, as a result of that, and -- and maybe not surprisingly, he ended up in foster care for the whole of grade 10, and -- and that didn't do -- or didn't ultimately result in a very successful school year, and school after that became problematic.

He has other family members who have had similar struggles. His youngest brother is in trouble with the law in Toronto, and as I say, perhaps because of the factual situation, and a mom with five kids, it's not surprising that Treyvon finds himself where he does.

At -- at about age 20 -- he's 26 now -- at about age 20, he made a connection with his father, sort of out of the blue, ended up moving to Edmonton and -- and was initially employed at one of the Superstores in the -- on the road leading in from the airport to Edmonton, and worked there for a time, and then decided he -- he had more to offer, and frankly, from my dealings with him, that's clear. And ended up looking for work and other educational opportunities, found himself unfortunately coming up to Yellowknife to look for work. He had resumes with him, but he ended up making connections with people from Edmonton who were in a position to offer him money that he wasn't able to secure by way of employment.

And at -- at the end of the day, the critical variable in terms of the -- identifying the person you're dealing with is, after he was released he went back to NAIT. He did the upgrading that was required to get himself into the carpentry program there, then completed the academic portion of the carpentry program, and worked as an apprentice -- a first-year apprentice carpenter until November.

Now, he ended up laid off and he was looking for work, but then decided that -- and he -- and he found a job, but he decided it didn't make sense to try and get back into an apprenticeship program, because he wouldn't be able to finish the year, as a result of the period of time that he's going to spend in custody. So he's been working, sort of, at what's become available, but at the end of the day, the -- the bottom line for him is, he wants to get back into carpentry.

The -- the fact of the matter is, in my submission, the fact that -- there's too many facts in that sentence. But in any event, he's -- the -- the proof in -- in the pudding is that he's gone back to NAIT, got himself qualified to work as an apprentice, learned his lesson from the -- the idiocy that he's found himself involved in here in Yellowknife. I think on the basis of that, I can represent that it's unlikely that he's going to find himself before the court again.

The relationship that he has with Ms. McCauley (phonetic), the strength of that relationship, it's about -- they've been together -- they've known each other for about five years, but been together as a couple for about two-and-a-half. And the fact that she's here with him today speaks to the strength of that relationship.

So on the basis of the fact that he's gone back to school, has earned himself a position as an apprentice -- a carpenter, as a result of the work that he's done

1	academically, the fact that he's here with somebody
2	who flew up from Edmonton to be with him in court,
3	suggests and and it's on the basis of those two
4	facts that I represent to the Court that it's unlikely that
5	you'll find Mr. Stevens before the court again, Your
6	Honour.
7	THE COURT: You're in agreement with the Crown's
8	request for the DNA order, and the Section 109 order?
9	P. HARTE: I the Section 109 order, I know is
10	mandatory. I think the DNA order is mandatory as well,
11	so I have no submissions with respect to that.
12	THE COURT: I don't think the DNA order is on drug
13	cases, because I seem to recall refusing to grant them
14	on occasion. But I they've also been granted on
15	many other occasions too, so.
16	P. HARTE: I I Your Honour, the only experience
17	that I've had with DNA was that it resulted in a client
18	being eliminated from a pool of potential offenders, so
19	it's difficult to it's difficult to make a strenuous
20	objection to the to the request of the Crown that a
21	DNA order be grant be granted.
22	THE COURT: It works both ways, I guess. Mr.
23	Johnson, are you able to assist me?
24	T. JOHNSON: I am in the process of looking to do that
25	right now, Your Honour.
26	THE COURT: I know the Crown usually seeks it. But I
27	don't think it's mandatory.

1	T. JOHNSON:	No, Your Honour, it appears that it is a
2	secondary d	esignated offence.
3	THE COURT:	Yes, a s. 487.04, paragraph well
4	actually, Par	agraph B of the secondary designated
5	defence defi	nition is what I'm looking at. Is that what
6	you're lookir	ng at?
7	T. JOHNSON:	It it yes, Your Honour.
8	THE COURT:	All right.
9	T. JOHNSON:	Paragraph B(i) of that. And it appears
10	that then und	der s. 487.051(3)
11	THE COURT:	It is discretionary.
12	T. JOHNSON:	It is discretionary, yes.
13	THE COURT:	All right. So this is a secondary
14	designated of	offence. Do you have anything you want to
15	add to your	what you've already said?
16	T. JOHNSON:	No, Your Honour.
17	THE COURT:	All right. Mr. Stevens, if there's anything
18	you'd like to	tell me directly, this is your opportunity.
19	THE ACCUSED:	No, Your Honour.
20	SENTENCING BY	THE COURT:
21	THE COURT:	All right. You can sit down. Well, it's
22	often said th	at sentencing is a difficult task, and it's
23	certainly nev	er pleasant to impose a jail term on
24	someone wh	no is obviously smart and has potential, and
25	whose time	could be much better spent than in jail.
26	That being s	aid, when the court is presented with a join
27	submission	that is well within the range, that makes the

decision less difficult, perhaps, than in some other cases.

The law is that when a joint submission is presented, the court has to follow it, unless it is clearly unreasonable. There is nothing unreasonable about the joint submission that is being presented to me today. For these types of offences, and this has been stated in many cases in this jurisdiction, the starting point is three years' imprisonment. That comes from originally a case in the Alberta Court of Appeal, but it has been applied in many, many cases in this jurisdiction. And considering that Mr. Stevens has entered a guilty plea, that he is still relatively young and has no prior record, it makes complete sense to me to impose the sentence that is being jointly proposed, which is a sentence of two years.

Ordinarily, the time Mr. Stevens has already spent in custody would be credited against that sentence, but in this case I am being specifically asked not to do that, because Mr. Stevens wishes to, as I understand the submissions of his counsel, receive a sentence that actually is in the penitentiary range. He wants to be able to serve it in southern Canada, because of his own circumstances.

I will just add that the reason the starting point for these types of offences is high, is because this type of activity causes immense harm in our communities. Against this is something that has been said in many cases that I won't refer to specifically now, but the fact that people use these drugs and develop, often, addictions to them, leads to all sorts of other crimes. It harms the lives of the people who become addicted, but it also causes a lot of harm to what we sometimes refer to as indirect victims.

Indirect victims are the people whose houses get broken into, so that items can be obtained and sold so that people can support their habits. Indirect victims are the children of addicts who find themselves not cared for the way they should be. Indirect victims are other members of the community who have to deal with the aftermath and the consequences of the social problems that come from people becoming addicted to these drugs. And we see manifestations of that every day on the streets of Yellowknife, on the streets of some of our other communities, and the same is true everywhere in the country.

This court and others have been imposing significant jail terms for trafficking in hard drugs for many years for that reason, and this court will continue to impose significant sentences to try to continue to convey the message that this is highly harmful conduct. Although it is a way to make money quickly, there is a price to pay for those who get caught.

I really wish Mr. Stevens had chosen to go back

to school, and start this carpentry program before succumbing to the temptation of making money quickly. I am sure that those who encouraged him to get involved with this, talked about the rapid profits and that no harm is done because this is being sold to people who want to consume it. I really hope that he understands now that that's not the case. There are many, many ways to make a living, and this is not one of them.

I am very glad to hear that he has taken steps to further his education and pursue lawful means of employment. I am also very glad to hear he has the support of his spouse. That says a lot. You are very lucky. Many people appear in this court to be sentenced, and they have no one supporting them. So you're very lucky, and I hope that you will prove worthy of your partner's support.

For these reasons, I will impose a sentence of two years, as has been suggested. There will be a firearms prohibition order under Section 109 commencing today, and expiring 10 years after Mr. Stevens' release from imprisonment.

I will also issue a DNA order. This is a secondary designated offence, and the order is not mandatory, but in the authorities' efforts to investigate and put a stop to drug trafficking, the ability to use this technology can be very helpful, and as defence counsel

1	has pointed out, it can also sometimes be very helpful
2	in excluding persons from the group of people being
3	investigated. So both orders will issue.
4	There will be no order today with respect to any
5	items seized in relation to this matter, because there
6	are ongoing proceedings, and my understanding is that
7	that will be dealt with at some later point. Is there
8	anything else that I need to address this afternoon from
9	the Crown's perspective?
10	T. JOHNSON: No, Your Honour.
11	THE COURT: Anything from defence?
12	T. HARTE: No. Thank you, Your Honour.
13	THE COURT: I hope things work out for you, Mr.
14	Stevens, and I hope we never see you again in this
15	courtroom for anything of the sort, because it does
16	cause a lot of harm.
17	I want to thank counsel for their work in resolving
18	this matter. A case that resolves is always a good
19	thing. And guilty pleas are also always a good thing,
20	because they represent the start, one has to hope, of a
21	person changing the course of their life. Thank you.
22	Close court.
23	THE CLERK: All rise.
24	
25	
26	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)
27	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
3	Neesons, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing
4	pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the
5	proceedings transcribed from the audio recording to the best
6	of our skill and ability. Judicial amendments have been
7	applied to this transcript.
8	
9	
10	Dated at the City of Toronto, in the Province of Ontario, this
11	4th day of September, 2019.
12	
13	V - 10
14	Kin Reen
15	Kim Neeson
16	Principal
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	