## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

#### HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- v -

#### TRACEY BEATRICE WOODS

Transcript of the Sentencing Hearing held before The Honourable Justice A.M. Mahar, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 25th day of March, 2019.

### APPEARANCES:

Mr. D. Praught: Counsel for the Crown

Mr. T. Bock: Counsel for the Accused

(Charges under s. 5(1) of the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act*)

# INDEX OF EXHIBITS

NO.	DEFINITION	PAGE
1	PRESENTENCE REPORT	7

1 I have -- I have reviewed the THE COURT: 2 presentence report and the materials that are filed on your behalf, Ms. Woods. I would ask 3 4 that the Crown perhaps read in the agreed facts 5 again just so that we can have a transcript that more accurately reflects today's proceedings, the 6 7 entirety. Thank you. Your Honour, the following 8 MR. PRAUGHT: 9 facts are agreed as proven without the necessity 10 of calling further evidence. 11 Tracey Beatrice Woods, the accused, was born 12 November 18th, 1972. Between March 9th and 17, 13 2017, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police K Division Federal Investigation Unit conducted a 14 15 drug trafficking investigation in Yellowknife, Northwest Territories called Project Glacier. 16 Project Glacier focussed primarily on suspected 17 18 dial-a-dope phone numbers. A dial-a-dope phone is simply a phone that receives calls from drug 19 20 users to purchase drugs. When a call comes in, 21 the operator arranges a meeting place with the 22 caller, meets them at the chosen location, and 23 sells them drugs. 24 On March 13th, 2017, at 4:54 p.m., an 25 undercover officer, UC, called one of the 26 suspected dial-a-dope numbers, 445-2612, to 2.7 purchase cocaine. The call went to voicemail.

At 5:41 p.m., the accused called the UC back from
445-2612. That conversation led to the UCs
picking the accused up at her home at
56 Rycon Drive in Yellowknife and the accused
directing the UCs to the Independent Grocery
Store located at 49 Street in Yellowknife to
obtain cocaine.

At 8:10 p.m., the UCs arrived at the Independent Grocery Store with the accused in their vehicle and proceeded to park. The accused then asked for money, and a UC gave her \$100. At the same time, the UC asked that the accused leave her cell phone with them before leaving the vehicle. The accused then exited the vehicle and entered the front passenger side of a white four-door Toyota Echo with Northwest Territories Licence Plate Number 326054 parked nearby.

The accused was in the Toyota Echo only a short time and was back in the UC vehicle within a minute. Once back in the UC vehicle, the accused handed a UC a plastic bag containing a 0.3-gram piece of crack cocaine. The UCs then drove the accused to her home at 56 Rycon Drive in Yellowknife, arriving at 8:16 p.m.

On March 14th, 2017, at 4:37 p.m., a UC called 445-2612 to purchase cocaine. The accused answered. The UC indicated she wanted the same

thing as yesterday, and the accused said that she would call the UC back. At 4:48 p.m., the accused called the UC back, and that conversation led to the UCs picking the accused up at her home at 56 Rycon Drive in Yellowknife and the accused directing the UCs to 97 Niven Drive in Yellowknife to obtain cocaine.

At 7:13 p.m., UCs arrived at 97 Niven Drive with the accused in their vehicle, and the accused directed the UCs to park at the far end of the building by the side door. The accused then made a phone call. A UC then gave the accused \$100, and the accused exited the vehicle, leaving her cell phone with the UCs before doing so. The accused then went to the side door of 97 Niven Drive and was let into the building by Lisa Schaeffer.

The accused remained inside the building for approximately five seconds and then returned to the UC vehicle. Once back in the UC vehicle, the accused handed a UC a plastic bag containing 0.3 gram -- a 0.3-gram piece of crack cocaine. The UCs then drove the accused to her home at 56 Rycon Drive in Yellowknife, arriving at 5:21 p.m.

On March 15th, 2017, a UC contacted the accused multiple times and was unable to reach

her. At 4:48 p.m., the accused texted the UC saying, "Just called. No go with -- let you know." At 4:51 p.m., the UC responded by text saying, "What? Just call me." The accused then responded by text saying, "Yes." At 7:14 p.m., a UC called the accused, and they had a conversation about obtaining more cocaine. The conversation ended with the accused indicating she would call when she gets some.

On March 16, 2017, at 3:25 p.m., a UC called 445-2612 to purchase cocaine. The accused answered and said she would call the UC back. At 3:48 p.m., the accused called the UC back, and that conversation led to the UCs picking the accused up at her home at 56 Rycon Drive in Yellowknife and the accused directing the UCs to McNiven Apartments located at 700 Gitzel Street in Yellowknife to obtain cocaine.

At 4:13 p.m., the UCs arrived at

McNiven Apartments with the accused in their

vehicle, and the accused directed -- directed the

UCs to a -- to park at the rear of the building.

The accused then exited the vehicle and walked

towards the rear door of the building but didn't

go inside. She then returned to the UC vehicle

and directed the UCs to exit to the left. The

accused then told the UC to stop the vehicle in

```
1
           the middle of the street, and they did so.
 2
           accused then exited the vehicle and walked
 3
           towards a woman on the stairs at the back of
           1452 Gitzel Street.
 4
 5
                The accused met with the woman briefly and
           then returned to the UC vehicle. Once back in
 6
7
           the UC vehicle, the accused handed a UC a plastic
           bag containing a 0.4-gram piece of crack cocaine.
 8
 9
           The UCs then drove the accused to her home at
10
           56 Rycon Drive in Yellowknife, arriving at
11
           4:26 p.m.
12
       THE COURT:
                               Thank you, Mr. Praught.
13
           facts have already been admitted, and I have
14
           already made a finding of guilt. What is your
15
           position on sentence?
       MR. PRAUGHT:
16
                               Your Honour, I note that a
           presentence report has been filed. I'd ask that
17
18
           that be made the next exhibit on the sentencing
           hearing.
19
       THE COURT:
                               Exhibit 1. I am providing
20
21
           that to the clerk now. The original is in
22
           this -- thank you. I have got the copies with me
23
           to -- have that back just yet.
24
           EXHIBIT 1: PRESENTENCE REPORT
25
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               And, Your Honour, I note that
26
           my friend has filed, I believe, two documents
27
           with the Court.
```

```
1
                               Yes, that is this.
       THE COURT:
 2
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               And the Crown has no objection
 3
           to those being made exhibits on the sentencing
           hearing.
 5
       THE COURT:
                               Very good.
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               I -- I do note a couple of
 6
7
           things that raise some questions for the Crown.
8
           The first was that there appear to be, perhaps,
           some duplications of some of the certificates
 9
10
           that are in the first book. And maybe that my
11
           friend can speak to some subtleties that the
12
           Crown didn't appreciate, but there appear that,
13
           maybe, there are some duplicates.
14
                And the second is that on a -- at tab 14 of
15
           the first book, there are -- no, I apologize.
           Oh, it's tab 2 of the first book, Your Honour.
16
           There are two sheets of paper that indicate times
17
           where counselling sessions took place.
18
       THE COURT:
19
                               Yes.
20
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               And I may be reading this
21
           wrong, but it appears that they go from
22
           February 2016 to August 2017. I just note in the
23
           table of contents, my friend has December 5th.
24
           may be reading that wrong, but are those the only
25
           two things that the Crown noted that were perhaps
26
           in error.
2.7
       THE COURT:
                               Thank you, Mr. Praught.
```

1 MR. PRAUGHT: But as I say, no objection to these going -- being made exhibits, Your Honour. 2 3 THE COURT: They have already been filed as exhibits. We can deal with that. There is 5 a -- Defendant Sentencing Exhibits, 25 tabs, and a further 7-tab sentencing -- Sentencing 6 7 Exhibits, part 2. And those are before me, and I have reviewed them. 8 MR. PRAUGHT: 9 Your Honour, I can advise the 10 Court that Ms. Woods does not have a 11 criminal record. With respect to the presentence report, it outlines that Ms. Woods is currently 12 13 46 years old. She was 45 at the time of the She is a Chipewyan woman. 14 events. She was born and raised in Yellowknife, although for a period 15 of time, she was with relatives in 16 17 British Columbia, I believe, between the ages of 8 and 11. 18 She did not know her biological father and 19 20 grew up with her mother and stepfather. 21 suffered abuse at the hands of her stepfather, 22 would appear, physically, emotionally, mentally. 23 And also in her young years, around the age of 8, 24 there is a suggestion in the presentence report 25 that she was sexually abused. It wasn't clear by 26 who to -- to me, but it appeared that it was on 2.7 more than one occasion that something in that

area happened. So a very traumatic upbringing,
no doubt, for Ms. Woods, a difficult childhood
with her mother and her mother's partner and then
away from her mother.

And it appears that that strain took a toll on her relationship with her mother. And it was severed for some time, that relationship, though currently it appears that they've mended their fences, and they now have a good relationship.

And there's, I think, a reference at one point in the presentence report to her mother being one of her best friends at this point in time.

13 THE COURT: Yes.

2.7

MR. PRAUGHT: She's had a difficult childhood and apparently a difficult adult life as well. She has struggled with addiction issues through her 20s and now into middle age, it would appear. She did attempt to address these issues in the past on occasion. The last time, it would appear, was about 17 years -- 16 years -- 17 years ago when she attended Edgewood; almost completed the program but didn't. It would seem that she was about 30 years old when that occurred.

However, of -- of note and of importance is the fact that Ms. Woods describes to the author of the presentence report that she was clean and

sober at the time that these offences took place and that she committed these crimes for the money, little as it was, to assist her in purchasing daily household items, essentially.

It appears that Ms. Woods has some support outside of her mother. She has support from her connections and friends at the CFNF as well as some support through the -- the educational institutions that she's been attending in the last year and a half or so.

Overall, it's -- it's a -- it's a presentence report that indicates a difficult life for Ms. Woods, a sincere attempt to really change the direction of her life and better herself, but it also, as the Crown has pointed out, indicates that there's some troubling aspects to the -- the acute circumstances around the events herself -- themselves.

Your Honour, the Crown is recommending a custodial sentence in the range of six to eight months for this offence. Taking in all of the considerations; the predominantly positive presentence report for Ms. Woods; all the steps she has taken to better herself since the charges were laid; the fact that she's pled guilty -- although the Crown would argue that should not be considered an early guilty plea. A hearing was

set for an entrapment hearing, and it was only on the day of that hearing that Ms. Woods re-elected and changed her plea. Nonetheless, she has spared the State the cost and resources of -- of conducting that hearing and then the trial, but it should not be considered an early guilty plea, the Crown would submit -- and the -- the circumstances of the offence itself, the Crown submit six to eight months is a reasonable position for this offence.

Just to address some ancillary orders before getting into some other mitigating and aggravating factors, Your Honour, a DNA order:

It's a secondary designated offence. The Crown would suggest that it's in the best administration — best interest of the administration of justice to make that order in this case given the nature of the offence, specifically the origin of the investigation, which was into dial-a-dope numbers in the city that ultimately led to Ms. Woods. A firearms prohibition order is mandatory, and given the recent jurisprudence, the Crown's not seeking a victim-of-crime surcharge.

The Crown would submit that this recommendation is on par with other similar offenders in this jurisdiction, Your Honour, and

```
1
           in support of that proposition, the Crown would
           like to just file two cases. I've informed my
 2
           friend of the cases that I intend -- I intend to
 3
           discuss. The first is R v Tobac of the
 5
           Northwest Territories Supreme Court case in --
       THE COURT:
 6
                               Yes.
7
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               And -- and the second is
           R v Grandjambe.
       THE COURT:
 9
                               Thank you. I think it is fair
10
           to say, Mr. Praught, that the Court has been
11
           faced with a number of offenders in this type of
12
           situation who have not only very limited or
13
           nonexistent criminal records, but also extremely
           sympathetic personal circumstances.
14
15
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Yes.
       THE COURT:
16
                               And the line of approximately
           six month has -- has moved in that direction
17
           largely as a result of the sympathetic
18
           circumstances of the accused. And I want to be
19
20
           clear that that is not simply a question of the
21
           Court moving in a -- in a lower direction from
           the usual -- from the usual tariff for this sort
22
23
           of offence, which is closer to a year. So I take
24
           the -- the Crown's suggestion with respect to
25
           sentence to heart in that regard. You certainly
           have taken into account the -- the recent case
26
27
           law coming out of the court.
```

And -- yes, and I just can 1 MR. PRAUGHT: 2 briefly touch on these cases, Your Honour, 3 because my friend may say they're distinguishable, and they certainly are in many 5 respects. Both Ms. -- excuse me. Both Ms. Tobac and Mr. Grandjambe had lengthy criminal records, 6 which is certainly distinguishable from 7 Ms. Woods. However, the Crown would submit that 8 9 they ultimately were less morally culpable 10 because the facts in these two cases were that 11 they were really severely addicted to crack 12 cocaine at the time they committed the offences. 13 In Ms. Tobac's case, she was using crack

In Ms. Tobac's case, she was using crack cocaine on a daily basis right up to the time of the offence and her arrest when she was, in fact, pregnant; and Mr. Grandjambe, on the occasions that he essentially middled the deals for the undercover officers, was attempting to use the cocaine immediately upon exiting the residence where the cocaine was obtained.

So there was clear signs of extreme addiction for each of these individuals, which the Crown submit, distinguishes them from Ms. Woods in that, as I've already said, Ms. Woods was, in her own words, clean and sober at the time. She was 45 years old. She received these calls on the phone and then would hang up;

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

do some work to -- to find out where to obtain

it; think about it; call them back; consciously

making a decision to profit, as little as it may

have been, by -- by performing these activities.

So the moral culpability, the Crown would submit,

is higher for Ms. Woods.

Yes, she has no record, and yes, she's taken many, many steps to -- to move in the right direction since committing these offences, but there's a -- there's a balance that -- between the moral culpability and the personal circumstances that the Crown says puts these two cases in -- in the ballpark of Ms. Woods and -- and Ms. Tobac.

Ms. Tobac ultimately received six months custody and Mr. Grandjambe ten months custody, Your Honour. And I should say, just to complete the submissions on -- on the recommendation, it's not the Crown's position that the Maskell three-year starting point is applicable.

Although money was exchanged and Ms. Woods did profit, the Crown would submit that it's clearly commercial. We -- we're saying that it's not more than a minimal scale, and therefore, the three-year starting point -
THE COURT: It is a street-level

trafficking as opposed to --

```
1
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Yeah.
 2
       THE COURT:
                               -- a commercial trafficking.
 3
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Very minimal quantities
           involved.
 5
       THE COURT:
                               Yes.
                                     What does the Crown
           say -- and I was -- if I can pull back for a
 6
 7
           moment, I was, of course, aware of the original
 8
           application to have an entrapment hearing on
           these matters. When I was reading the
 9
10
           presentence report, what caught my attention was
11
           the fact that the phone in question was actually
12
           her ex-boyfriend's phone, who was in jail, I
13
           believe, at the time that the -- these
14
           transactions took place. And I am assuming that
15
           Mr. Bock is going to be telling me that Ms. Woods
16
           simply gave in to the temptation to answer the
17
           phone on the -- on the occasions in question and
18
           make a small profit when she was not actually in
19
           the business of dealing cocaine herself at that
20
           point in time, which would also explain the steps
21
           that she had to take in order to acquire the
22
           cocaine. Do you see that as mitigating under the
23
           circumstances?
24
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               I -- I can offer this.
25
           friend may take issue with some comments that
           I'll make now, but I can offer this: Her
26
2.7
           boyfriend, who had used that phone previously,
```

```
1
           had been in custody for ten months at that point.
           He was actually sentenced in February of 2017,
 2
           about a month prior to this offence. But in
 3
           March of 2017, he had been in custody on remand
 5
           and then serving for about ten months.
       THE COURT:
 6
                               You anticipated my question.
                               And secondly, grounds were
7
       MR. PRAUGHT:
8
           obtained. And I believe my friend ultimately,
           although it was the day of entrapment hearing,
 9
10
           came to the same -- this conclusion that there
11
           was a reasonable suspicion sufficient to inquire
12
           into that call that were -- grounds that were
13
           obtained post --
14
       THE COURT:
                               Okay.
15
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               -- post-Mr. Hein being
16
           incarcerated. So in -- in other words, the
17
           grounds were --
       THE COURT:
                               I understand.
18
19
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               -- were -- were formed after
20
           his arrest.
21
       THE COURT:
                               Thank you.
22
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               But I take Your Honour's
23
           point. Although it was a dial-a-dope
24
           investigation and contact with Ms. Woods was
25
           initiated through a phone, at the end of the day,
26
           it appeared she had to take some steps to obtain
27
           the cocaine to provide to the UCs. Now, we don't
```

```
1
           know how much she may have obtained on each of
 2
           those interactions; that's speculation. But she
           certainly had to go somewhere and -- at least
 3
           that's what she led the UCs to believe.
 5
           those are the facts, so --
       THE COURT:
 6
                               Okay.
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               -- it's not -- on -- on its
7
           face, it doesn't have the sophistication that a
 8
 9
           typical dial-a-dope would appear to have.
10
       THE COURT:
                               Okay. It does appear that
           she, sort of, fell into it after her boyfriend
11
12
           was --
13
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Yeah.
14
       THE COURT:
                               -- taken into custody.
15
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Perhaps it could be described
16
           as a crime of opportunity in this case, which is
           unusual for a dial-a-dope and usually the exact
17
18
           opposite. But in this case, it would appear that
           she saw an opportunity to make some money and --
19
           and took it.
20
21
       THE COURT:
                               And was poor enough to give in
22
           to temptation.
23
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Yeah.
24
       THE COURT:
                               Thank you.
25
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               So I -- I believe in my
           submissions, I've addressed most of the
26
27
           aggravating and mitigating factors already,
```

Your Honour. There was financial motivation.

Obviously the prevalence of this type of crime in our jurisdiction is always an important consideration and -- and is aggravating.

As far as objectives of concern, the Crown would submit that deterrence and denunciation should be the primary sentencing considerations today. Rehabilitation is always a consideration, and the sentence imposed, the Crown would certainly hope, does not impede Ms. Woods' progress to date. We do know that there are programs in custodial facilities to assist offenders that are detained, and we would hope that she would take -- if she is given a custodial sentence here today, we hope she would take advantage of all that those programs have to offer and continue in the -- the route she's going in now. So we submit that six to eight months with probation to assist in rehabilitation is a reasonable recommendation and should be followed.

Your Honour, in anticipation of my friend's recommendation, because we have talked about this a bit, I do have one more case that I -- I would file, and this is the case of R v Joe from the Northwest Territories Court of Appeal, and I did inform my friend that I would file this. And

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

```
1
           this is simply to support the -- the proposition
           that a suspended sentence is not an appropriate
 2
           or a legal sentence for this offence.
 3
       THE COURT:
                               I am certainly familiar with
 5
           the case.
 6
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               And I would specifically refer
7
           to paragraph 14 in this case -- or 18, I should
                 The case didn't address that issue head on
8
           say.
 9
           in the appeal, but that's -- that paragraph
10
           certainly would seem to -- seem to address it.
11
           So that's the -- that's the Crown's position.
12
       THE COURT:
                               Well, and this case is of
13
           assistance in terms of laying out the landscape
           that we work within, right?
14
15
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               That's right.
       THE COURT:
16
                               Thank you.
17
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Pending any questions
           Your Honour may have, those are my submissions.
18
19
       THE COURT:
                               Let me just double-check.
20
           What -- what, in fact, was the sentenced imposed
           in Joe?
21
22
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               At the end of the day, one
23
           year, I believe, was the sentence. And the
24
           Court of Appeal declined to stay that sentence
25
           because some time had passed, and Ms. Joe
26
           ultimately served a year, I believe.
2.7
       THE COURT:
                               Thank you. Thank you,
```

1 Mr. Praught. Mr. Bock.

2.7

Mr. Bock, I will just let you know my thoughts before you begin. I am certainly not intending to go beyond the lesser of the two numbers provided by the Crown of six months, so your function is going to be to convince me that six months is not required. But I am not planning on going above six months at this point.

MR. BOCK: Your Honour, I would submit that that is -- the case law, if you look in Alberta and you look here, there are all kinds of different examples. I would submit that certainly six months is probably an appropriate sentence. That's not what I'm advocating for.

I've got to know Tracey Woods over the past couple of years, and we've talked a number of times about her personal circumstances and just how difficult they are. And reading the presentence report, reviewing it for my submissions, I guess I was quite stunned, if that's the word, or, kind of, in -- just in -- in amazement, actually, with her past, how difficult her past has been on all fronts.

This is -- this is really an exceptional case, and I'm -- the theme of my presentation is that this is an exceptional case and her circumstances are very exceptional. And I have

to say that in all of the clients I've ever dealt with, I've never had anyone take the steps that she has taken over the past two years.

THE COURT: I was amazed by the exhibits that you filed on this.

MR. BOCK: And -- and she -- she provided those to me. And I've never -- I -- and perhaps that clouds my judgment in terms of what I would ask for on sentence, but I think it's only fair in this case, because as I say, I've never, ever had anyone that has taken the kind of steps to use community supports, to take advantage of people and resources as she has. And I have -- and she didn't have to do it; these were all on her own initiative.

So the theme that runs through my submissions is -- is that this is an exceptional case. This is a case where there's a lot of hope; where the client has made great, great strides. I want to point out that Lindsay Paul, the service coordinator at the women's shelter, is here; she did provide a letter. As well as Margaret Beauchamp is here, the director of the Centre of Northern Families. And -- and we talked before court, and -- and, you know, the -- the theme of that was just that the change in Ms. Woods is -- is unbelievable, and that's

positive; that's good for -- for society; it's good for Ms. Woods. She needs to continue that, and she needs to encourage that, and that needs to be encouraged. So I want Ms. Woods to know that whatever happens as a result of this -- these submissions and this hearing, she needs to stay positive and focussed.

In the submissions -- in the documents that I filed that I'm going to go through, there's a lot of very positive -- positive words, positive thoughts. She's done a lot in the community. I've never seen anyone who -- she's had her picture in the paper. She's -- she's done so much, and what really is sad is that this is all over what she -- a small profit of \$100 that she's -- she was in a relationship with someone who got her into fentanyl.

I would describe her as, sort of, a low-hanging target for their -- for the RCMP, and I think that's why, at least in my heart, I was very sympathetic to the idea of -- of the whole issue of entrapment, and that's --

THE COURT: Well, one of the -- one of the interesting issues with respect to entrapment is that nobody denies that the things took place, right?

27 MR. BOCK: Yes.

1 It is simply a question of the THE COURT: circumstances in which they engaged in it. 2 3 MR. BOCK: Exactly. So that was something that, you know, in -- in looking at 5 Ms. Woods' circumstances, what she told me, that that was -- that was, to me, a live issue. 6 7 So the defence position that I'm going to 8 put forth is a suspended sentence with 24 months 9 probation. She currently has a room at the 10 Centre for Northern Families. My submission 11 would be that she would remain there at the Centre for Northern Families except for school 12

and for -- with permission of her bail supervisor. I understand her personal support worker takes her on a daily basis, Monday to Friday, to get her medication, and then on the

weekends, the medication is picked up for her.

And she takes it in the form of blister packs,

and she's quite good with taking her medication.

If Your Honour does not think that that -and -- and to be honest with the Court, if there
is any case in the Northwest Territories where
that kind of sentence is -- it could be given,
it's just with everything that has been done
here. And I will talk more how this is a very
exceptional case.

In the alternative, the defence is

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 suggesting that the sentence should be lower than 2 the six months, that it should be 89 days so that 3 she can serve the sentence intermittently so that she can continue her programming, and that she 5 should continue to go to school. Ms. Woods has done so much; I don't want to see that 6 7 interrupted. It's in no one's interest that that 8 be interrupted. I know there is programming in a 9 custodial setting; however, Ms. Woods has shown 10 at her own initiative, she can take a number of 11 steps. 12 So in terms of background, we have the 13 presentence report. The Crown has pointed to a

So in terms of background, we have the presentence report. The Crown has pointed to a number of significant *Gladue* factors. I also provided a couple volumes of materials that dismiss -- that Ms. Woods had provided me over time. There are a couple duplications and an error in the date that is my oversight.

19 THE COURT: The picture is still clear,
20 Mr. Bock.

21 MR. BOCK: That -- there was so many materials.

The presentence clearly recounts her difficult upbringing. It's an amazing story of survival and resiliency. She had a difficult childhood in the presentence report, how she was victimized since she was very little. She was

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

26

1 exposed to substance abuse in her home at an 2 early age; she was exposed to various kinds of 3 physical and emotional abuse from her mother's ex-spouse; there's some indication that she was 5 sexually assaulted. And quite frankly, she's been on the streets on her own since she --6 THE COURT: Since she was 14. 7 MR. BOCK: -- was 14. And she stands -she's -- she's here today with no criminal 9 record, which is amazing. She has been in 10 11 unhealthy, abusive relationships; they've been 12 plagued with addiction issues. And it's 13 interesting -- the presentence report, I think, sums it all up quite nicely -- that -- there's an 14 15 indication that Ms. Woods said that throughout her life, she never felt loved or cared for. 16 On the other hand, today we're looking at 17 18 someone who has taken steps to change and to take advantage of supports. There is comments made by 19 Margaret Beauchamp in the letter: She's done 20 21 counselling; she's going to school; she's finding 22 housing; she does have a room now; she's dealing 23 with her addictions. There's some medical 24 letters in there. It seems that she may be able 25 to get part-time employment with the shelter. She's engaged in volunteer activities; in fact, 26 2.7 she's helping others and seems to be a bit of a

1 role model.

2.7

Importantly, and it's what we all talk about when we talk about wellness and addictions, that she's reached the point where there is stability in her life. She has schooling, housing, and she has her physical and psychiatric health. And as I said, the change is unbelievable. She also has the support of her mother. And I've just never -- again, I will say it if I have to say it a hundred times, I've just never seen a client that has gone through so much and made so much change on her own behalf.

So just to summarize some of her personal circumstances, Ms. Woods is 46 years old. She does have a half-sister and half-brother.

Ms. Woods is the eldest. She has a Grade 8 education from Mildred Hall. I understand in speaking to her that she might have done some further courses through the Pentecostal Church School in Chilliwack. She might have also spent a little bit of time going back to school at Sir John Franklin. However, due to the instability in her life, those efforts were not successful.

She is of Chipewyan descent. It says in the presentence report that she didn't meet her biological father, but I understand they met once

when she was 36. She -- pretty much born and raised in Yellowknife. Her mother went to residential school. There's indication in the presentence report that her mother was taken away from her parents at an early age, that her mother suffered her own trauma. And Ms. Woods has, sort of, connected the dots in her mind and believes that perhaps her -- her mother's own experience played a role in the dysfunctional, unloving way that she was parented.

For some of her childhood, she spent time with her mother and her mother's boyfriend; I'll refer to him as George (phonetic). They were both alcoholics. It was a very violent household; she remembers her mother being beaten. I understand that Tracey Woods would try to protect her mother and that she would run away from home. She remembers being physically and emotionally abused on a very -- on almost a daily basis. She remembers her stepfather saying that, Tracey is not my child; I do not like her. said she was treated as an outcast; that she was called a bastard; and that perhaps due to her mother's experience at residential school, she lived under very strict conditions.

This is a case where Social Services was involved. She went to live with her aunt in

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

British Columbia when she was around 8 to 11 years old. She then stayed with her mother and then has been on her own since. She told me that she stayed with friends, that she couch-surfed, and she also told me quite frankly that she stayed in stairwells of buildings.

(Indiscernible) no, there was no place to go. She struggled with staying in school.

She described that she had very few relationships, and the ones that she had ended in domestic abuse or infidelity. Most of the relationships that she fell into involved drug abuse. We talked a little bit about her -- her own children. She has four daughters; I understand they were -- they reside with three different fathers.

She's currently -- she currently has a -- a room at the women's shelter. She's picked herself up, and I'm going to talk about this a little more. She's taking Office Administrations and -- Office Administration and a trades program at the Native Women's Centre. She's working on schooling. I understand she's completed her Grade 10, is working on Grade 11, and has a goal of completing Grade 12 next year. She is doing counselling; she's seeing a doctor. She's a volunteer at the shelter; she helps with cooking

1 and cleaning. She's doing counselling at the Tree of Peace. 2 And she's been struggling with an opioid 3 addiction for some time, and according to the 4 5 letters on file, she is taking Suboxone and that she seems to have been stabilized. There is also 6 7 some indication of psychotic issues that -- but her situation is --8 THE COURT: 9 Well, that history would be 10 surprising if there were not. 11 MR. BOCK: -- due -- but she seems to be doing quite well at this point in her life. 12 13 So I provided a number of materials to the 14 Court, and I'm just going to go through them. 15 I'm going to start with the N volume first. as Your Honour pointed out, it may paint a 16 picture and current background for Ms. Woods, and 17 18 again, I would say it's phenomenal. The tab 1 is a letter from Lindsay Paul, the 19 service coordinator at the Yellowknife Women's 20 21 Shelter. It's positive; it speaks to her keeping 22 appointments and fulfilling her obligations so 23 that her rent is paid on time. There are some 24 positive comments, and I just want to quote: 25 (As Read) 26 I have witnessed Tracey really trying to make positive changes and 2.7 participate in any opportunity to do so, and I would suggest what runs

through the past year or so, couple years, has been that positive change, different decisions, and taking advantage of absolutely everything possible that's available.

Tabs 2 and 3 are letters from Dr. Harris.

One was written in November 2018, and the other one is from the 14th of March. Basically, the letters talk about her doing Suboxone treatment or therapy for a history of opioid use disorder, that there's been a long-standing history of psychosis; however, that has stabilized. And that -- the doctor notes that she has achieved stability in a number of areas, and again, this is on her own initiative.

Tab 4 is from the Native Women's Association up in Northwest Territories. This -- the documents here speak to her education and her goals, and it sums Tracey as someone who is highly motivated. And the documents outlines what she is studying, the -- the modules. And more importantly, at the bottom of the first page of that tab, that it states her goals. And it's worth putting on the record that she wants to get her GED; she wants to get Grade 12, to have a nice life, to get through these couple of months, to find a new place, to have a better home, and to learn Microsoft Office. So those are all building blocks of someone who wants to have a

positive future and -- and, quite frankly, to make a difference.

Tab Number 5 is a certificate. The program -- program is Foundations for Success.

Tab Number 6 is a certificate from October 2018; it's completing some business entrepreneurial skills, training. Tab Number 7 is useful because it is her resumé, and it outlines -- highlights her skills; it outlines -- it also outlines her -- her work history, types of jobs that she's had. I guess in the more distant past, that she's been a cook, a general helper; she's worked as a cashier; she's worked as a chambermaid, and she's worked as a waitress.

The next volume -- and these are just documents that -- I encouraged Ms. Woods to get some letters, but I also encouraged her to bring in anything where she was involved. So tab 1 is -- you'll notice that Tracey Woods is in the picture. It's taken from A Better Day. She's quoted in the article; it's where she received her computer, and it's something that she said that would -- would help her with her studies.

Tab 2 -- and I agree with the Crown that there are some issues with the dates. The purpose of this -- Ms. Woods had given me her schedule for counselling. Tab Number 3 is,

again, showing that she's -- the programming, 1 2 schooling, education she's taking is -- is 3 helping her with her basic skills, and it's a completion of a math -- math course, or most 5 improved in Math 120. Tab Number 5 is the completion of English 120. Tab Number 6 is a 6 7 duplicate of tab 3; I apologize for that. 8 Tab Number 7 is the completion of the English 120 Tab Number 8 is a duplicate. 9 course. 10 Tab Number 9 is an attendance certificate. 11 Tab Number 10 is an award that -- or it's a 12 certificate for -- for beating an award. 13 Tab Numbers 11 and 12 are a little more 14 dated, the letters from Dr. Harris, but they do 15 speak and provide some more information on her 16 medical history. I apologize, Tab Number 12 is actually an application that she filled out for a 17 18 disability. Tab Number 14 is another counselling log. Tab Number -- sorry, Tab Number 14 is a 19 letter from the Native Women's Association of the 20 21 Northwest Territories. It's just confirming 22 enrollment in adult education programming. 23 Tab Number 15 is another newspaper article. 24 Tracey Woods is carrying the Native Women's 25 Association of the Northwest Territories in banner -- banner. It shows she is involved in 26 2.7 the community and she's involved with issues that

are important to her. The next tab, tab 16, is a participation in a Personality Dimensions introductory session. It appears to be something to help promote self-help and self-awareness.

Tab 17 is a report card mid-term showing her mark in sciences. The next tab appears to be her report card. There are -- positive comment, and again, stressing the positivity and drawing that -- her instructor said: (As Read)

She's always willing to try new ideas and works hard all the time. This is a formula for success.

Tab Number 19 is another letter from her instructor; again, it is positive.

I really like the article at tab 20, and I guess the headline really summarizes -- although she didn't say it, she is quoted in the article. It says, "Never give up and never be afraid to go forward," and I really think that that summarizes a lot of what Ms. Woods is all about. You will notice that her picture's in the -- in the bottom receiving her award, and she is quoted -- it talks a little bit about -- she says: (As Read)

"I feel thankful that I keep going and I keep pushing myself," she said, wiping tears from her eyes.

I understand -- she had told me that she'd broken her leg and that did interfere a little bit with some of her schooling, but she got right back to

it; she wrote all her exams and she passed. She
said, It was hard, but I still did it. She said,
I'm proud of myself. So it just speaks, again,
to the -- her resiliency and her being someone
who really, really tries to be a success and -and move forward.

The next tab, tab 21, is -- and that's -- again, we -- we have a perseverance award for her, and how much more appropriate could -- could that be given her circumstances? Tab Number 22 is a mathematics award. The next tab, tab 23, is a completion of science certificate. Tab 24 is a completion of an English course.

Tab 25 is another letter from Dr. Harris from August 2018. Indicates she is very compliant with the treatments that she receives.

I like the last -- the last sentence, I think, is appropriate. The doctor, Dr. Harris, says:

(As Read)

I would suggest that Tracey would be stabilized outside of these hard-won supports which have allowed her to move forward with her health and her future.

Tab Number 26 is just an acceptance notice that she received getting into the office training program.

And finally, the last tab in this booklet is a letter from Lindsay Paul from the

Yellowknife Women's Shelter. It's an extremely positive letter, and it really does -- it starts off by saying: (As Read)

This letter is to support Tracey Woods, who has been making positive strides within the community and with her life choices.

2.7

It talks about her positive attitude, her positive behaviour. She is paying her rent on time; she's doing schooling; she wants to go to Aurora College eventually; she's active in the community; she encourages others to participate. It talks about some of what she's interested and how she enjoys cooking, that she will often volunteer, helps enjoying others, and there's a reference to the newspaper article for that award. So those paint a picture of someone who is, in my view -- respectful submission, extraordinary, and I don't think we see someone like that with these charges -- with this charge before the Court.

So what can be said about Tracey Woods? So she has made great strides in overcoming her past. As indicated, she's been living on her own since she was 14; she's been exposed to the drug trade and opiates through past relationships.

And Ms. Woods, in many respects, has been a vulnerable participant in all of this. The

documents speak to her own efforts and motivation, and as I indicated, this motivation comes from her. It's not because of court orders or probation. So she's used practically everything possible to put the pieces of her life together that are available in the community.

So it's extraordinary that she's come this far and continues showing stability in terms of getting medical and psychological help. The updates from her doctor are very encouraging and positive. She's taken positive steps to deal with addiction issues; she's pursuing education; she's gaining life skills; she's motivated; she's resilient; she shows perseverance; she works hard; she's involved in the community and to some extent, acts as a role model.

We all -- and I understand that recently, there has been a drug treatment court for her attempts to establish that. I don't really know too -- too much about it, but when we see someone like Ms. Woods, that is really the outcome that we would like to see from people who have been dealing in many ways with their own trauma and with their own addiction. She's an example of someone who's making a change in her life, and she's making positive choices. She's -- she's gone from someone who has been an addicted

offender to someone who is undergoing ongoing rehabilitation.

And I really believe that Ms. Woods can be characterized -- or in the past could be characterized as someone who is -- is vulnerable. We all know the path to self-help is not a straight one; it's a very curved one, and it's because of various choices that we make. And defence submits that because of her relationship with Mr. Hein, it was very difficult for her to make those choices, and those -- that relationship had a lasting effect on her.

She's learned from her past mistakes. She has a letter that she's going to read. Defence submits that sending her -- while the Court is absolutely correct that in this jurisdiction, sentences most likely range from 6 to 12 months for this type of offence; however, defence submits that this is someone that sending her to -- for a period of custody would interrupt that progress, that for Ms. Woods, it could even be regressive. I understand that sending her to custody in something other than an intermittent sentence would most likely cause her to lose her -- her room, so there are consequences for Ms. Woods there.

And defence submits that supervised

probation is a restriction of her freedom, that suspended sentences, in the right circumstances, can be deterrent. Certainly a breach of a suspended sentence can result in revocation of the sentence and sentencing on the original offence, and defence submits that this is something that's -- in this case, is the -- in the public interest. It's really in the public interest when someone who is dealing with drugs appears to be -- have taken the steps to be rehabilitated and to take those stops on her own. And I wonder, Your Honour, what is it in the public interest that a conventional sentence of imprisonment would do that she hasn't already done?

And everything that I have reviewed, that I know about Ms. Woods -- the documents show that she is exceptional. In my view, a suspended sentence is something that is there for the Court to consider. A conditional sentence order is an illegal sentence or probation is an illegal sentence. But certainly, protecting the public -- protecting the public is served in this case by allowing Ms. Woods to continue down the path that she started on her own initiative, and I really applaud all of the people who have been supporting her and all of the community helpers

that she has had; two of them are here. And I
have to applaud Ms. Woods for -- for taking all
of the steps that -- that she has taken.

I do want to make a couple comments on the cases. I'm not going to say too much, because I think they're fairly obvious. Certainly in the case of *Tony Tobac*, it seems to me -- I'm not sure that she has the insight that Ms. Woods has. I'm not sure that she had made the positive kinds of changes that Ms. Woods has, and that Ms. Tobac also had a criminal record.

I would suggest -- and the last case comments I have are Melinda -- the Melinda Joe case, and I -- I submit, Your Honour, respectfully that the circumstances of Melinda Joe are in no way comparable to those of Ms. Woods. The issue -- the amounts -- well, her personal circumstances -- that when we come to the actual facts of the trafficking and the drugs, the amount of drugs was -- was much more, and I would respectfully submit that the sentencing judge was swept up in her personal circumstances, and the sentence in itself was illegal. And at the outset, the defence and Crown agreed that an appropriate sentence -- in fact, I don't believe I was asking for a suspended sentence with probation; I think I was

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 asking for something like six months in -- in 2 that case. 3 THE COURT: You were Ms. Joe's counsel? MR. BOCK: Pardon? 5 THE COURT: You were Ms. Joe's counsel? MR. BOCK: Yes, I was Ms. Joe's counsel. 6 7 And the issue, then, for the appeal -- because we wanted to skirt around the issue of what was 8 9 appropriate sentence. The issue for the appeal 10 was -- she had been on probation for, I think, 11 six months at the time of the appeal, and the real issue was whether or not she would qualify 12 13 for a stay of the custody and that she could serve the remainder of the sentence on probation. 14 15 Certainly to be fair, the -- Ms. Joe's behaviour on probation was lukewarm, that -- that 16 17 she was not -- she didn't take a lot of steps to -- to -- to seek counselling and to do all of 18 the things that you would expect someone would be 19 20 taking. So we didn't really -- we -- everyone 21 knew the sentence was illegal, and in her case, 22 we knew that the -- the sentence that she 23 received was not appropriate. My submission was 24 that the year was appropriate; I believe that that was Crown's submission as well. And the 25 26 issue was simply whether she passed the tests of 2.7 the Court and done the correct -- done the

appropriate things in order for her to have a stay of her period in custody.

> To the best of my knowledge, there is -there is still no case that -- that says that a suspended sentence with probation is an illegal sentence, but -- and I've done my best to research cases in the Northwest Territories and other jurisdictions, and all of the -- the cases really seem to point to, there has to be something really exceptional about this case in order to even consider that. Because we all know that -- we know the effect of trafficking; we know the effect -- whether it's the Yukon; there are all kinds of cases that talk about the effect of trafficking in the Yukon. There are all kinds of cases in the Northwest Territories that talk to the devastating effect of trafficking in -- in crack cocaine, other drugs in the Northwest Territories, how it disrupts families, how it disrupts communities.

> Certainly Melinda Joe (sic) has insight and knows how drugs can affect someone, and that this is a case, Your Honour, in defence submission, where the Court could consider possibly suspending the passage of sentence with probation. As I said, the Crown's position -- and six months is reasonable. I suggest that the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

```
Court, given all of the circumstances and the
1
           steps that Ms. Joe has -- has taken, consider
 2
           something certainly less, and that would allow
 3
           Ms. Joe to continue on with her progress.
 5
           don't want to see that progress stopped or -- or
           interfered with.
 6
                I know Ms. Joe has a letter -- a brief
 7
           letter that -- that she wrote, but unless there
 8
 9
           are any questions, those are my submissions.
       THE COURT:
10
                               Thank you, Mr. Bock.
11
           comments, Mr. Praught, before we move on to
12
           Ms. Woods?
13
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Just on the possibility of a
14
           suspended sentence, Your Honour, I think
15
           paragraph 18 in the Joe case is quite clear:
16
           Court does not say that the sentence of three
           years probation is illegal because the passing of
17
18
           that sentence was not suspended; it says it's
           illegal since a conditional sentence order was
19
20
           not available. So I think that -- they provide
21
           the reasons why they find that a probation is not
           a legal sentence. It's not because of what's
22
23
           suspended; it's because the CSO was not
24
           available, and that --
25
       THE COURT:
                               But I -- but I take Joe to
26
           mean -- meaning that I take from Joe in dealing
2.7
           with, what was on its face, an illegal
```

```
sentence -- the impact of the decision is more
1
 2
           the primacy of deterrence and denunciation in
           these sorts of -- these sorts of cases, right,
 3
           terms of what the -- what the Court decided to do
 4
 5
           with Ms. Joe in the end. So that is the -- that
           is the point that I take from it. I mean,
 6
 7
           clearly a suspended sentence is not an illegal
 8
           sentence, but case law would urge me to consider
 9
           something other than a suspended sentence.
10
           that a fair assessment?
11
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               The Crown would submit that a
           suspended sentence, given the language in Joe,
12
13
           would be -- would not be a legal sentence.
14
       THE COURT:
                               Would not be a legal sentence?
15
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               A legal sentence. And we
16
           would also --
       THE COURT:
                               Well, it would not be an
17
18
           appropriate sentence as defined by Joe. It would
           be legal; in other words, it is supported by
19
20
           the -- it is supported by the law. You can get a
21
           suspended sentence for manslaughter, but it would
22
           not be one that would survive appellate scrutiny.
23
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               I'm just going by the language
24
           in paragraph 18, Your Honour, where the Court
25
           says that the probation was not -- was -- is
26
           illegal, and the reason for that finding was that
27
           the CSO was --
```

```
1
       THE COURT:
                               Yes.
 2
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               -- not available.
                                                   So --
       THE COURT:
 3
                               But there is nothing in the
           Criminal -- and I do not want to get into an --
 5
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Yeah, no.
       THE COURT:
 6
                               -- argument with you,
 7
           Mr. Praught, but there is nothing in the
           Criminal Code that makes a suspended sentence --
 8
 9
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Yeah.
                               -- illegal, but I would take
10
       THE COURT:
11
           Joe as saying that a suspended sentence is not
12
           appropriate in a case like this.
13
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               Yeah, and -- and I appreciate
14
           the distinction Your Honour is making, and I
15
           just -- I -- I do -- I have the Geiger case as
           well as reference in that same paragraph in the
16
           Alberta Court of Appeal, which goes into the
17
           rationale for probation, as it were, not being
18
19
           appropriate. The CSO is tantamount with, more or
20
           less, the same thing in -- in the circumstances.
21
           And I guess the Crown's submission at the end of
22
           the day is that whether or not it's suspended
23
           doesn't change that fact.
       THE COURT:
24
                               Ms. Woods.
25
       THE ACCUSED:
                               Your Honour, I am very sorry
           for my wrong actions. I know that drugs are bad
26
27
           for people. I have gained my lifestyle. I have
```

1	been going to counselling, and I'm presently	
2	going to the Native Women's School working	
3	towards my GED. I have been spending my weekends	
4	with my family. I know that these changes have	
5	been made made a difference, and I am moving	
6	towards oh, sorry, now I'm moving forward with	
7	my life. I just want to say I'm sorry.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	
9	I will order a transcript of today's	
10	proceedings in their entirety. The facts were	
11	read in and the comments that were made by both	
12	the Crown and the defence form an integral part	
13	of the sentencing in this case, and I want the	
14	transcript to accurately reflect that.	
15	Mr. Bock, you did an exceptional job for	
16	your client.	
17	MR. BOCK: Thank you, sir.	
18	THE COURT: I often struggle with the	
19	distinction between rehabilitation, denunciation,	
20	and deterrence, because we end up dealing with	
21	individuals, people like yourself, Ms. Woods, who	
22	have made extraordinary efforts to try to get	
23	their lives together, and I give you full and	
24	complete credit for that, as well as the people	
25	who have assisted you. It is important work. It	
26	is important work that you are doing for	
27	yourself, and it is important work that has been	

done to help you.

This case is exceptional in terms of the history that you bring with you and the steps that you have taken to try to overcome your past and the handicaps that that past has burdened you with. You had a horrible childhood; you had a horrible life as an adult; you lived an out-of-control, vulnerable life from the time you were a little child, and the Court cannot help but be mindful of that.

One of the reasons why I have ordered that the full transcript be prepared as opposed to simply my reasons is that I do not believe that I can do justice in short form to all of the work that Mr. Bock has put into presenting your situation to the Court. The presentence report is excellent in its description of your life and the work that you have done.

The Court of Appeal has made it clear that I must give great weight to denunciation and deterrence, and that is general deterrence in this case. I believe that you are personally deterred. I do not believe you are going to do this again.

25 THE ACCUSED: No.

26 THE COURT: And it is important that the 27 Court send a consistent message when dealing with people that are facing the temptation to engage in the drug trade. And as Mr. Bock and Mr. Praught have, either today or on other occasions, clearly stated, it is a plague on our communities; it is not a simple question of recreation. Hard drugs -- especially opioids, as you well know, and crack cocaine -- cut a swath through communities that does damage that, in many cases, is almost irreparable. People are destroyed by it, and the Court has to recognize that.

I am going to do the utmost that I believe I can for you, bearing in mind the case law that has to guide my actions. I have dealt with the case of a young man who was engaged in the trafficking trade of cocaine who came before me on a guilty plea having spent a year in in-house treatment getting himself better. I gave him a six-month sentence. It was the best I could do under the circumstances.

Your circumstances are even more sympathetic than his. The life that you have suffered through that put you in a position of vulnerability where you gave in to this kind of temptation is one that I must take into account to a great extent. We often hear of the *Gladue* factors, the factors that we have to take into

1 account when dealing with Indigenous people. those factors are broadly defined. Your life 2 is -- is yours, and your particular life has been 3 particularly difficult, and I take that into full 5 account.

> I do not believe that I can impose an intermittent sentence. I can go below what the Crown is asking for. I think that the lowest I can possibly go in this exceptional case is four months. I am going to make a recommendation on the Warrant of Committal that Ms. Paul be contacted by Corrections in order to maintain whatever education and programming that you are currently engaged in. I am going to make a recommendation that you be considered for early release, both for education and for treatment reasons.

> I will make a recommendation that --Mr. Bock, perhaps you can -- are there any further suggestions you can make in terms of the strong recommendations that I can make at this point in time?

23 MR. BOCK: She was saying about getting her things together, but perhaps one of her supports that are here could assist her with that.

2.7 THE ACCUSED: Oh, sorry.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

```
1
       THE COURT:
                               I indicated at the beginning,
 2
           Ms. Woods, I am bound by the decisions of the
 3
           Court of Appeal, and I am bound by precedent,
           meaning other cases that have come before and by
 5
           parity. I do not think this Court has ever gone
           below six months before, not that I know of.
 6
 7
           four months is an exceptional sentence, and it
 8
           takes into account what I believe are truly
 9
           exceptional circumstances in your case, not just
10
           the hard life that you had, but all the hard work
11
           that you have done to get to this point. It is
12
           the lowest I think I can go. I wish that I had
13
           the option of a conditional sentence, because
14
           that is what I would impose in this case.
15
           not have that option. It would be an illegal
16
           sentence.
17
                Ancillary orders -- and, Mr. Bock, I was
18
           going to ask you, is there anything else you
19
           would like me to recommend in terms of the
20
           Warrant of Committal that may allow for further
           transition back?
21
22
       MR. BOCK:
                               Perhaps the presentence report
23
           could be attached to the Warrant of Committal.
24
       THE COURT:
                               I would have no problem with
25
           that. Do you have a problem with it,
26
           Mr. Praught?
27
       MR. PRAUGHT:
                               No, Your Honour.
```

THE COURT: The presentence report will be attached to the Warrant of Committal. Did you want any of the exhibits attached as well? What I will make as a recommendation on the Warrant of Committal is that the staff at Corrections review the documents that you filed, Mr. Bock, with a view to coming up with the most effective options for Ms. Woods.

What I am worried about, and what I want to strongly encourage Corrections, is that I want to make sure that this sentence does not undue the hard work that you made, Ms. Woods. I want Corrections to do everything that they can to make sure that -- especially the treatment that you have been taking and the education that you have been working on is maintained. I do not know what they can do, but I know that there is a lot of programming available at the institution here. And again, as I said, the temporary absence and the early release is something they should strongly consider.

Probation for two years: You will report within three days after your release and after that as directed; to take whatever treatment as recommended for you by Probation Services. You are to reside as directed by your probation officer, which I am hoping is back where you are

1 currently residing. The most that you would serve is two thirds of that, which is about two 2 and a half months. I am hopeful that after that 3 two and a half months -- and I am hoping it is 5 going to be a little less than that, given my recommendations here -- you can continue on with 6 7 the things that you are doing and get back on 8 track. That is my sincere hope, and I wish you the best on that. 9 10 With respect to the probation order, I do 11 not think anything beyond treatment and counselling is appropriate, and all I can do is 12 13 wish you the best. I will review that transcript prior to it 14 being published. Thank you, Madam Clerk. 15 there anything further, counsel? 16 MR. PRAUGHT: 17 Your Honour, the two -- the ancillary orders, have we been --18 19 THE COURT: Section 109 firearms order. 20 Thank you, Mr. Praught. Victims-of-crime 21 surcharge waived for reasons of hardship, and I 22 will make a DNA order under the circumstances, 23 given the nature of the offence and given the 24 fact that I do not expect your client to be 25 seriously disadvantaged by it. 26 Thank you again, Mr. Praught, Mr. Bock. 2.7 MR. PRAUGHT: Thank you.

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
4	
5	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
6	foregoing transcribed pages are a complete and
7	accurate transcript of the digitally recorded
8	proceedings taken herein to the best of my skill and
9	ability.
10	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of
11	Alberta, this 17th day of May, 2019.
12	
13	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723
14	of the Rules of Court
15	4
16	
17	Jocelyn Horne, CSR(A)
18	Court Transcriber
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	