IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

TRAVIS KING

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Justice K.M. Shaner, sitting in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on the 18th day of June, 2018.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. B. Green and Counsel for the Crown

Ms. M. Chertkow:

Mr. P. Harte: Counsel for the Accused

(Charges under s.5(2) of the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act)

THE COURT: On May 27th, 2016, Travis King was arrested for obstruction of justice. He was taken to the Hay River detachment where he was strip searched. The legality of that search was challenged and determined through a voir dire to be legal. The search revealed that Mr. King was in possession of approximately 111 grams of cocaine.

On May 10th, 2018, sometime following the voir dire, Mr. King pled guilty to possession of cocaine for the purpose of trafficking and a conviction was entered.

I am going to start the substantive discussion with Mr. King's circumstances.

Information about him and his background was provided through a presentence report and also by his counsel.

Mr. King comes to this Court from tragic and difficult circumstances. This is something that we hear frequently and frankly, it never gets any easier to hear; it never gets any less concerning; and it never gets any less heartbreaking.

Mr. King is 21 years old. He is Indigenous of Chipewyan ancestry. He is one of seven children. His father and mother separated when he was born, and Mr. King had no relationship

with his father. His mother was responsible for raising him. According to Mr. King and based on what he told the author of the presentence report, his mother has struggled with alcohol addiction for a very long time. His upbringing was unstable and his home life with his mother chaotic. He was apprehended by social services numerous times starting at age 1.

At age 4 he was apprehended again and placed in a group home for six months, something which he says was an unpleasant experience. At age 5 he went to live with his grandmother and one of his brothers. She died when he was 11. At that time, Mr. King went to live with an uncle who he says abused him emotionally. He went back to live with his mother about nine months later and while it is not clear exactly when, at some point after he moved back in with her she lost her housing because of alcohol use. So the family, including Mr. King, became homeless.

For the next two years Mr. King survived by sleeping on friends' couches, in stairwells and outside. He relied on friends for food. He quit school. He completed Grade 9 before leaving school. When he was 16, Mr. King went to live with an aunt in Lutselk'e. He then returned to Yellowknife at 17 and moved in with a friend.

And at 18 he went to live with his cousin in Saskatoon. He returned to Yellowknife again briefly, and then he went back to Saskatoon and that is where he started selling cocaine, when he was 20 and living in Saskatoon. He made about \$600 a week doing this and he told the author of the presentence report that he did this so that he could make ends meet.

Mr. King has almost no legitimate work experience. He worked briefly for a construction company. He has no assets, and he has about \$7,000 in debt. He says he plans to use whatever period of incarceration is imposed on him to improve himself and among other things, he wants to finish high school.

Turning to the relevant sentencing objectives and principles, it is well-established that the primary sentencing objectives for trafficking in cocaine are deterrence and denunciation. The deterrence is both specific and general. That is, the sentence is to be designed to deter the specific offender from repeating the behaviour, and it must also deter others who might be tempted to traffic in drugs.

My colleague Justice Charbonneau noted in $\it R$ $\it v$ Mohammed, 2015 NWTJ 51 at paragraph 9 that the starting point for sentencing in cocaine

1	trafficking cases in the Northwest Territories is		
2	three years. She explained that this flows from		
3	the Alberta Court of Appeal's decision in R v		
4	Maskill, 1981 ABCA 50. In that case, the Court		
5	set out a three-year starting point. She		
6	indicated that there is no reason to treat the		
7	Northwest Territories any differently than		
8	Alberta. Justice Charbonneau then expressed the		
9	rationale for applying the three-year starting		
10	point here, and her remarks, which were recently		
11	adopted by the Northwest Territories Court of		
12	Appeal in the R v Joe 2018 NWTCA 1 at paragraph		
13	21 bear repeating. She said:		
14	The North is a very tempting market		
15	for drug traffickers, and judging by the number of drug cases that have		
16	been heard by the Territorial Court and this court over the last few		
17	decades, it is apparent that there continues to be a need to impose sentences that denounce this conduct and send a clear message that when people do get caught, they will face stern sentences no matter how young		
18			
19			
20	they are or no matter how good their background might otherwise be.		
21	Sadly, there are quite a few young people in the Northwest Territories		
22	who have learned that lesson the hard way.		
23	4		
24	The reason why courts have to be firm		
25	in their sentencing practices is very simple and was referred to this		
26	morning. Cocaine causes ravages and devastation in our communities. Yellowknife has seen its fair share of the collateral damage that crack cocaine has caused. The people who		
27			

become addicted to this drug harm themselves of course. They sometimes lose everything to it, their families, their work, and their health, but they also often harm others. Houses get broken into, people commit robberies, sometimes on the street in broad daylight or in small convenience stores or gas stations to get money to buy more drugs, or they break into homes and steal property. And they steal, in addition to property, the occupants' sense of safety in their own home, sometimes for a very long time. Some addicts get to the point of being so dysfunctional that they neglect their own children.

> We do not just hear about cocaine in the *Criminal Code*. We hear about cocaine in family court frequently, and the Territorial Court hears about it in child welfare court frequently.

14 In this case, the Crown seeks a sentence of 15 the starting point which is three years 16 incarceration. Crown Counsel, Mr. Green, stated in his submissions that in arriving at this 17 18 position. He took into account that there are no 19 aggravating factors. He accounted for the fact 20 that Mr. King is young and has strong prospects 21 for rehabilitation and that Mr. King entered a 22 guilty plea, which, although, not at the earliest

of a very long and expensive trial.

Defence counsel argued that a sentence of 18 months followed by a period of probation is what is appropriate. As part of his argument,

opportunity, nevertheless, avoided the necessity

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

23

24

25

26

27

defence counsel submitted information from the Canadian Institute for Health Information on Alcohol Harm in Canada. He stated that alcohol causes significant harm, possibly more than cocaine and other drugs, and questioned why drug offences should be treated so much more seriously than alcohol offences.

Defence counsel also submitted an article published by the Centre For Criminology and Socio Legal Studies at the University of Toronto entitled "Issues Related to Harsh Sentences and Mandatory Minimum Sentences General Deterrence and incapacitation." The premise of it is that harsher sentences do not result in deterrence.

Respectfully, and without making any judgment on the merits of these arguments in the broader sense, they simply are not relevant in this forum. This is a trial court and a sentencing court. It cannot make or change policies or legislation. It cannot solve social problems, undo the past, or compensate for inadequacies or failings of social policies and infrastructure or for holes in the social safety net. As a trial court judge, it is incumbent upon me to determine the facts and apply the law as it has been written by Parliament or pronounced by the higher courts. And as a

sentencing judge, the tools I have to work with are probation or prison or a combination of the two.

Defence counsel also submitted statistics regarding the over-representation of Indigenous men in Canada's prisons as part of his argument on how the systemic factors identified in Gladue and more recently in *Ipeelee* must be considered in determining a fit and proper sentence for Mr. King. Those are highly relevant here. As defence counsel quite properly pointed out, the most important principle in sentencing is proportionality. That is, that the punishment must be proportional to the offender's degree of moral culpability. Among the many things that Ipeelee and Gladue tell us is that the systemic adversity in an Indigenous offender's background must be considered in determining the question of how much that offender is to blame.

As noted at paragraph 73 in Ipeelee:

Canadian criminal law is based on the premise that criminal liability only follows from voluntary conduct. Many Aboriginal offenders find themselves in situations of social and economic deprivation with a lack of opportunities and limited options for positive development. While this rarely — if ever — attains a level where one could properly say that their actions were not voluntary and therefore not deserving of criminal sanction, the reality is that their constrained circumstances may

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

diminish their moral culpability.

It would not have taken a great leap in logic to have predicted that Travis King would wind up in the justice system for one reason or another.

Quite frankly, I am surprised that this is your first stop, Mr. King.

matter of time. This is not the case of a young person just going off the rails. Mr. King has had no parental support and little adult guidance in his relatively short life. There was no one to ensure that he got to school, ensure that he was fed, or that he did his homework, and there was no one there to show him how to apply for or find a job and how to get up and go to work in the morning.

He did not have a reliable and stable home. He lived in chaos. He became a homeless teenager. He could not get to school because he was busy surviving. Theoretically, I suppose, he could have reached out to social services or another agency for help, but, realistically, that is a lot to ask of a teenager.

While I do not think that Mr. King is entirely blameless in this situation, I am confident in saying that he is not before this

Court simply because he made bad and deliberate choices. Realistically, he really did not have many choices.

What, then, is an appropriate sentence? As set out so well in my sister, Justice
Charbonneau's comments that I just noted, this is a serious offence. Cocaine wreaks havoc in our communities, and the Courts have to send a message that those who sell it will face serious penalties. The community must be confident that the Court will do what it can to protect members of the public from the impact of this highly addictive substance by imposing serious penal consequences.

Given the amount of cocaine involved and the seriousness with which this Court treats cocaine trafficking, it is my view that the 18 months of custody and following probation would not send the right message to either Mr. King nor to the community. At the same time, sentencing is an individualized process which must take into account the circumstances of the offence and of the offender. Deterrence and denunciation are the primary sentencing objectives, but that does not mean other objectives do not come into play. In this case, Mr. King, an Indigenous offender, comes to court with a background that is full of

adverse circumstances. These reduce his moral blameworthiness and have to be taken into account. He is also very young with no criminal record, and the potential for rehabilitation must be considered. The sentence imposed must reflect this.

In my view, an appropriate sentence in this case is one that combines a period of custody longer than what is proposed by defence counsel with a period of probation. The former takes away Mr. King's freedoms, something which all members of our society treasure and hold dear. It sends a message of denunciation and serves as a deterrent for Mr. King and others from selling illegal drugs. The latter, the probation, serves the objective of rehabilitation and what I view as much-needed structure and guidance which Mr. King has, to this point, lacked in his life. I am hopeful that Probation Services will be able to offer supports to Mr. King upon his release so that he can reintegrate into society and become a productive member of it.

Mr. King, please stand up. Travis King, this Court sentences you to a period of 30 months in prison, and this is going to be followed by two months probation. You can sit down.

From your incarceration, there will be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

deducted six and a half months which represents
the credit for presentence custody at the rate of
1.5 day for each day served. So you will serve
just under two years in prison.

The terms of your probation are going to be That you will keep the peace and be of these: good behaviour; that you will appear before this Court as you are required to; you will report to a probation officer within two working days of your release and thereafter as your probation officer directs; you will advise your probation officer in advance of any change of name or address or place of employment; and you will remain within the jurisdiction of the Northwest Territories unless you obtain prior written permission from your probation officer or you are required to leave for emergency medical purposes in which case you will inform your probation officer as soon as you can. And your probation will last for two years as well.

The Crown has asked, as well, for ancillary orders which I will rely on Mr. Harte to explain to you, Mr. King, but those are, first, a s.109 firearms prohibition which I will grant, and I will also grant the order requiring you to provide a DNA sample.

Counsel, before I go on, is there anything

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 else?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

2 Mr. Green?

3 MR. GREEN: May I just have a moment to

4 confer with my friend, Your Honour?

5 THE COURT: Certainly.

6 MR. GREEN: Thank you for that indulgence,

7 Your Honour. Nothing further from the Crown.

8 THE COURT: All right.

Now, Mr. King, you have heard me talk about you today in the third person. That is something that we do in court, more for the record, and by talking about you in the third person, I do not want you to get the impression that I was not thinking about you personally when I was coming to this decision.

So what I need you to do now is also listen very carefully because I have something to say directly to you. And that is that I hope that the combination of jail and probation that I have imposed on you today is going to serve not only as a deterrent but as an opportunity for you to rehabilitate yourself and to get assistance in reintegrating and settling into mainstream society.

Your life has been very difficult, and your circumstances have, without doubt, played a large part in you being in front of me today.

1		Neither of us of	can change the past, but you
2		can change the direct	ction of your future. You are
3		very young, and you	can choose a better path.
4		The choices that you	u make from this day forward
5		are going to determ	ine if you succeed or fail.
6		So choose wisely.	Upgrade your education, take
7		advantage of counse	lling opportunities and
8		programs, deal with	your past, and take
9		responsibility for	your life, connect with the
10		resources that are	going to be available to you
11		in the correctional	system, and get housing, get
12		training, get a job	. Stay away from criminal
13		activity. And that	may mean that you need to cut
14		ties with your asso	ciates from the past, because
15		if you get involved	in criminal activity, it is
16		going to become a re	evolving door, and it is never
17		going to stop. So	you need to change your
18		conduct, you need to	o change your path, and you
19		need to do that now	while you still have time.
20	THE	ACCUSED:	Yes, Your Honour.
21	THE	COURT:	Thank you.
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
0.5			

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
4	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate
5	transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in
6	shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes
7	to the best of my skill and ability.
8	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of
9	Alberta, this 20th day of September, 2018.
10	
11	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723
12	of the Rules of Court
13	
14	Laisteelankaro
15	
16	Karilee Mankow
17	Court Reporter
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	